

Please Help Me Find The Rule For This Table:0,11,32,63,104,155,21

Please Help Me Find The Rule For This Table: 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 21

Introduction

Deciphering patterns within sequences or tables of numbers is a common challenge in mathematics and logic puzzles. When presented with a series of numbers such as 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, and 21, the primary goal is to identify the underlying rule or formula that generates these numbers. Understanding the pattern can unveil insights into the sequence's structure, whether it's based on simple arithmetic, geometric progressions, polynomial functions, or more complex rules.

In this comprehensive guide, we will explore various methods to analyze the given sequence, examine potential patterns, test hypotheses, and ultimately attempt to establish the rule governing the sequence. Whether you're a student, educator, or puzzle enthusiast, this breakdown aims to enhance your pattern recognition skills and provide a systematic approach to similar challenges.

Understanding the Sequence: The Given Numbers

The Sequence

The sequence provided is:

- 0
- 11
- 32
- 63
- 104
- 155
- 21

At first glance, the sequence appears irregular, especially considering the sudden drop from 155 to 21 at the end. To analyze it effectively, let's list these numbers with their positions:

Position (n)	Term (a _n)
1	0
2	11
3	32

	4		63	
	5		104	
	6		155	
	7		21	

Initial Observations

- The first six terms seem to be increasing, with the exception of the last term, which drops sharply.
- The sequence doesn't appear to be a simple arithmetic or geometric progression.
- The last term (21) could be a typo, an outlier, or part of a different pattern.

Clarification Needed

Given this ambiguity, some considerations include:

- Is the sequence complete or part of a larger pattern?
- Could the final number (21) be a typo? Should it perhaps be 215?
- Are these numbers related to some external pattern, such as dates, codes, or mathematical properties?

Assuming the sequence as is, we need to analyze potential patterns in the first six terms separately from the last.

Analyzing the Pattern in the First Six Terms

Step 1: Calculating Differences

The first approach is to look at the differences between consecutive terms.

n	a _n	a _{n+1}	Difference (Δa)
---	-----	-----	-----
1	0	11	11
2	11	32	21
3	32	63	31
4	63	104	41
5	104	155	51
6	155	21	-134

The differences are:

- 11, 21, 31, 41, 51, -134

The initial differences form an increasing pattern:

- Starting at 11, increasing by 10 each time: 11, 21, 31, 41, 51.

But then the last difference is negative and large (-134), indicating a break

or a different pattern at the end.

Step 2: Pattern in the Differences

The differences (excluding the last) seem to follow:

- 11, 21, 31, 41, 51

which suggests an arithmetic progression with a common difference of 10, starting at 11.

Possible pattern: The differences increase by 10 each time, indicating that the sequence could be generated by a quadratic function, since the second differences are constant.

Step 3: Second Differences

Calculate the second differences (differences of differences):

Difference	Next Difference	Second Difference
11	21	10
21	31	10
31	41	10
41	51	10

All second differences are constant (=10), confirming the sequence is quadratic in nature.

Deriving the General Formula

Step 1: Quadratic Sequence Formula

A quadratic sequence can be expressed as:

$$a_n = An^2 + Bn + C$$

Our goal is to find coefficients A, B, and C.

Step 2: Setting up Equations

Use the known terms:

- For $n=1$, $a_1=0$:

$$A(1)^2 + B(1) + C = 0$$

$$A + B + C = 0 \quad (1)$$

- For $n=2$, $a_2=11$:

$$\backslash [4A + 2B + C = 11 \quad (2) \quad \backslash]$$

- For $n=3$, $a_3=32$:

$$\backslash [9A + 3B + C = 32 \quad (3) \quad \backslash]$$

Step 3: Solving the System

Subtract (1) from (2):

$$\backslash [(4A - A) + (2B - B) + (C - C) = 11 - 0 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [3A + B = 11 \quad (4) \quad \backslash]$$

Subtract (2) from (3):

$$\backslash [(9A - 4A) + (3B - 2B) + (C - C) = 32 - 11 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [5A + B = 21 \quad (5) \quad \backslash]$$

Subtract (4) from (5):

$$\backslash [(5A - 3A) + (B - B) = 21 - 11 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [2A = 10 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [A = 5 \quad \backslash]$$

Plug $A=5$ into (4):

$$\backslash [3(5) + B = 11 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [15 + B = 11 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [B = -4 \quad \backslash]$$

Use A and B in (1):

$$\backslash [5 + (-4) + C = 0 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [1 + C = 0 \quad \backslash]$$

$$\backslash [C = -1 \quad \backslash]$$

Resulting Formula:

$$\backslash [a_n = 5n^2 - 4n - 1 \quad \backslash]$$

Validating the Formula

Step 1: Test for $n=4, 5, 6$

- $n=4$:

$$\backslash [a_4 = 5(16) - 4(4) - 1 = 80 - 16 - 1 = 63 \quad \backslash] \text{ (matches)}$$

- $n=5$:

\[a_5 = 5(25) - 4(5) - 1 = 125 - 20 - 1 = 104 \] (matches)

- n=6:

\[a_6 = 5(36) - 4(6) - 1 = 180 - 24 - 1 = 155 \] (matches)

Step 2: Check the 7th term

\[a_7 = 5(49) - 4(7) - 1 = 245 - 28 - 1 = 216 \]

The calculated value is 216, but the sequence provided ends with 21, which is inconsistent.

Implication:

- If the sequence is truly generated by the quadratic formula, then the 7th term should be 216.
- The given last term, 21, appears inconsistent, perhaps indicating a typo or an intentional "trick."

Addressing the Last Term: 21

Given the derivation, the natural assumption is that the sequence follows the quadratic rule:

\[a_n = 5n^2 - 4n - 1 \]

which produces:

n	a _n	Formula Output
1	0	5(1)-4(1)-1=0
2	11	5(4)-8-1=11
3	32	5(9)-12-1=32
4	63	5(16)-16-1=63
5	104	5(25)-20-1=104
6	155	5(36)-24-1=155

The 7th term:

\[a_7 = 5(49) - 28 - 1 = 245 - 28 - 1 = 216 \]

which aligns with the quadratic pattern.

Conclusion: The last term "21" likely is an error or a misprint. The correct continuation of the sequence following the pattern would be 216 at n=7, not 21.

Alternative Patterns and Considerations

Could the sequence be related to other patterns?

- Sum of squares or cubes? No, the numbers don't match standard sums.
- Prime factors? No clear relation.
- External references? Could be related to dates, codes, or non-mathematical patterns.
- Modulo patterns? Unlikely, given the irregularity.

Summary

Based

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the pattern or rule governing the sequence 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 21?

The sequence appears to be based on the pattern $n^3 - 2n$, where n starts from 0: for $n=0$, $0^3 - 2 \cdot 0 = 0$; $n=1$, $1^3 - 2 = -1$ (but since the sequence shows 11, this suggests an alternative pattern). Alternatively, the sequence may be derived from a quadratic formula or a pattern involving squares or cubes; further analysis is needed.

Are there any common mathematical sequences (like squares, cubes, or factorials) that match these numbers?

The sequence does not directly match common sequences like perfect squares, cubes, or factorials. It may be generated from a polynomial rule or a custom pattern involving multiple operations.

Could the sequence be related to the differences between consecutive terms?

Calculating the differences: $11-0=11$, $32-11=21$, $63-32=31$, $104-63=41$, $155-104=51$, $21-155=-134$. The differences increase by 10 initially but then drastically change, indicating a more complex rule.

Is the last number '21' a typo or does it fit the pattern of the sequence?

Given the pattern, '21' appears inconsistent with previous terms; it might be a typo or meant to be '216' which fits a cubic pattern. Re-evaluating the sequence with '216' makes it more consistent with cubic growth.

If the last term is '216' instead of '21', what would be the rule for the sequence?

If the sequence is 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 216, it aligns with the pattern $n^3 - 2n$: for $n=0$ to 6, the formula $n^3 - 2n$ gives these values.

How can I verify the rule for this sequence using algebraic methods?

You can set up equations based on known terms and solve for the general formula, or fit a polynomial (quadratic, cubic, etc.) to the data points and derive the rule accordingly.

What is the most likely rule for the sequence if the last number is a typo and should be 216?

The most probable rule is $n^3 - 2n$, with n starting from 0: sequence terms are generated by plugging in $n=0,1,2,\dots,6$, resulting in 0,11,32,63,104,155,216.

Additional Resources

Please Help Me Find The Rule For This Table: 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 21

In the world of mathematics and pattern recognition, deciphering the hidden rule behind a sequence is both an intriguing challenge and a rewarding pursuit. Such puzzles often appear in educational settings, puzzle competitions, and even in real-world data analysis, where identifying the underlying pattern allows for predictions, classifications, or insights. Today, we delve into one such sequence: 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 21. At first glance, the numbers seem random, but with careful analysis, we can begin to uncover their secrets. This article explores the process of pattern discovery, considering various mathematical approaches, and ultimately aims to decode the rule governing this sequence.

Understanding the Sequence: Initial Observations

Before jumping into complex calculations, it's essential to gather initial impressions about the sequence:

- The sequence is: 0, 11, 32, 63, 104, 155, 21
- It contains both small and relatively larger numbers.
- The last term, 21, appears to break the previous pattern of increasing

numbers.

Key questions to consider:

- Is the sequence increasing, decreasing, or oscillating?
- Are there any obvious mathematical patterns, such as perfect squares, cubes, or known figurate numbers?
- Could the sequence be modular, repeating, or related to other number sets?

Let's analyze these systematically.

Step 1: Analyzing the Numerical Pattern

a. Differences between consecutive terms

Calculating the first differences helps identify if the sequence follows an arithmetic or geometric pattern:

- $11 - 0 = 11$
- $32 - 11 = 21$
- $63 - 32 = 31$
- $104 - 63 = 41$
- $155 - 104 = 51$
- $21 - 155 = -134$

The differences are:

11, 21, 31, 41, 51, -134

Observations:

- The first five differences increase by 10 each time: 11, 21, 31, 41, 51.
- The last difference is a large negative jump, which indicates a possible irregularity or a reset.

b. Pattern in differences

The first five differences show a clear pattern:

- Starting at 11, adding 10 each time:
- 11, 21, 31, 41, 51

This suggests the sequence might be generated by a quadratic formula, as constant second differences are typical of quadratic sequences.

c. Confirming quadratic nature

Calculate second differences:

- $21 - 11 = 10$
- $31 - 21 = 10$
- $41 - 31 = 10$
- $51 - 41 = 10$

Second differences are constant at 10, confirming the sequence is quadratic.

Step 2: Deriving the Quadratic Formula

Given the second difference is constant at 10, we can infer the sequence follows a quadratic pattern:

$$T(n) = an^2 + bn + c$$

a. Using known terms to find a, b, c

Let's assign:

- For $n=1$, $T(1) = 0$
- For $n=2$, $T(2) = 11$
- For $n=3$, $T(3) = 32$

Plugging into the quadratic formula:

1. $a(1)^2 + b(1) + c = 0 \rightarrow a + b + c = 0$ (Equation 1)
2. $a(2)^2 + b(2) + c = 11 \rightarrow 4a + 2b + c = 11$ (Equation 2)
3. $a(3)^2 + b(3) + c = 32 \rightarrow 9a + 3b + c = 32$ (Equation 3)

Subtract Equation 1 from Equation 2:

- $(4a - a) + (2b - b) + (c - c) = 11 - 0$
- $3a + b = 11$ (Equation 4)

Subtract Equation 2 from Equation 3:

- $(9a - 4a) + (3b - 2b) + (c - c) = 32 - 11$
- $5a + b = 21$ (Equation 5)

Subtract Equation 4 from Equation 5:

- $(5a - 3a) + (b - b) = 21 - 11$

$$- \ (\ 2a = 10 \)$$

$$- \ (\ a = 5 \)$$

Using $\ (\ a = 5 \)$ in Equation 4:

$$- \ (\ 3(5) + b = 11 \)$$

$$- \ (\ 15 + b = 11 \)$$

$$- \ (\ b = -4 \)$$

Finally, substitute $\ (\ a \)$ and $\ (\ b \)$ into Equation 1:

$$- \ (\ 5 + (-4) + c = 0 \)$$

$$- \ (\ 1 + c = 0 \)$$

$$- \ (\ c = -1 \)$$

b. Final quadratic formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \ [\\ T(n) &= 5n^2 - 4n - 1 \\ & \] \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Validating the Formula

Test the derived formula with the sequence terms:

- For $n=1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \ [\\ T(1) &= 5(1)^2 - 4(1) - 1 = 5 - 4 - 1 = 0 \quad \checkmark \\ & \] \end{aligned}$$

- For $n=2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \ [\\ T(2) &= 5(4) - 8 - 1 = 20 - 8 - 1 = 11 \quad \checkmark \\ & \] \end{aligned}$$

- For $n=3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \ [\\ T(3) &= 5(9) - 12 - 1 = 45 - 12 - 1 = 32 \quad \checkmark \\ & \] \end{aligned}$$

- For n=4:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ T(4) &= 5(16) - 16 - 1 = 80 - 16 - 1 = 63 \quad \checkmark \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

- For n=5:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ T(5) &= 5(25) - 20 - 1 = 125 - 20 - 1 = 104 \quad \checkmark \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

- For n=6:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ T(6) &= 5(36) - 24 - 1 = 180 - 24 - 1 = 155 \quad \checkmark \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

- For n=7:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ T(7) &= 5(49) - 28 - 1 = 245 - 28 - 1 = 216 \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

But the sequence's last term is 21, which is significantly different from 216, indicating a discrepancy.

Observation: The last term, 21, does not fit the quadratic pattern derived from the previous six terms.

Step 4: Reconciling the Anomaly – The Last Term

The inconsistency of the last term suggests it might be an outlier or a different part of the pattern. Possible explanations include:

- The sequence is a combination of two different patterns.
- The last term is a typo or intentionally different.
- The sequence resets or follows a different rule at the end.

Alternative considerations:

- Perhaps after reaching 155, the sequence resets or applies a different rule.
- The number 21 might relate to some other attribute, such as a modular pattern, a sum of digits, or a different positional pattern.

Step 5: Exploring Additional Patterns and Features

Given the quadratic pattern up to term 6, and the anomalous last term, let's consider other avenues:

a. Modular patterns

- Checking if the last term, 21, relates to previous terms modulo some number.

b. Digit-based patterns

- Sum of digits:
- $0 \rightarrow 0$
- $11 \rightarrow 1+1=2$
- $32 \rightarrow 3+2=5$
- $63 \rightarrow 6+3=9$
- $104 \rightarrow 1+0+4=5$
- $155 \rightarrow 1+5+5=11$
- $21 \rightarrow 2+1=3$

No clear progression.

c. Relation to known sequences

- The earlier terms resemble values related to perfect squares or cubes:
- $0 = 0^2$
- $11 \approx 3^2 + 2$
- $32 \approx 5^2 + 7$
- $63 \approx 8^2 - 1$
- 104, 155 do not fit neatly.

d. Pattern in index and value

Looking at the sequence positions:

n	T(n)	Observation
1	0	$0^2 - 0$
2	11	$3^2 + 2$
3	32	$5^2 + 7$
4	63	

Please Help Me Find The Rule For This Table 0 11 32 63 104 155 21

Please Help Me Find The Rule For This Table 0 11 32 63 104 155 21

Related Articles

- [In The Pst Program What Happens In The 2nd Step Of Acquisition ?](#)
- [Three Major Differences Between Human And Pig External Anatomy](#)
- [Write Down The Steps To Take If You Have Been A Victim Of SA Or SH](#)

[Back to Home](#)