

What Happened To The Democratic Party As The 1860 Presidential Election Approached?

What Happened To The Democratic Party As The 1860 Presidential Election Approached?

As the United States edged closer to the pivotal 1860 presidential election, the Democratic Party found itself embroiled in one of the most tumultuous and defining crises of its history. The party, which had long been a dominant political force, faced internal divisions, regional conflicts, and ideological battles that threatened its unity and future. Understanding what happened to the Democratic Party during this critical period requires examining the escalating tensions over slavery, sectional interests, and leadership struggles that ultimately reshaped American politics.

The Democratic Party's Fragmentation Over Slavery and Sectionalism

Regional Divisions and The Emergence of Factions

By the late 1850s, the Democratic Party was no longer a monolith representing a unified national platform. Instead, it had fractured into regional factions, primarily the Northern Democrats and the Southern Democrats, each with divergent views on slavery and states' rights.

- **Northern Democrats:** Advocated for popular sovereignty—the idea that territories should decide for themselves whether to allow slavery. They aimed to maintain the party's national appeal without alienating abolitionist sentiments in the North.
- **Southern Democrats:** Supported the expansion of slavery into new territories and believed in maintaining the institution of slavery as an essential part of Southern life and economy. They insisted on protecting slavery's extension and were wary of any concessions that might threaten their interests.

This ideological split created parallel conventions and conflicting candidate nominations, undermining the party's coherence and effectiveness.

The 1860 Democratic National Convention and Its Breakup

The Democratic National Convention, held in Charleston, South Carolina, in April 1860, exemplified the party's internal discord. Delegates from North

and South could not agree on a unified candidate or platform.

- The Southern delegates demanded a pro-slavery platform and endorsed John C. Breckinridge, a prominent Southern Democrat known for his support of slavery extension.
- The Northern delegates, emphasizing popular sovereignty and anti-slavery sentiments, backed Stephen A. Douglas, a seasoned politician and advocate of territorial self-determination.

When negotiations failed, the convention adjourned without selecting a candidate. A subsequent convention in Baltimore later saw the nomination of Stephen Douglas, but the division persisted, with many Southern delegates walking out in protest.

The Rise of Third Parties and Their Impact on the Democratic Party

The Role of the Constitutional Union Party and the Republican Party

As the Democratic Party grappled with internal conflicts, new political alliances emerged that further complicated the electoral landscape.

- **The Republican Party:** Founded in the 1850s as an anti-slavery party, it rapidly gained support, especially in the North. By 1860, Abraham Lincoln was its candidate, representing a platform opposing the expansion of slavery into new territories.
- **The Constitutional Union Party:** Formed by former Whigs and Know-Nothings, this party aimed to preserve the Union and avoid the slavery debate altogether. It nominated John Bell as its candidate.

The presence of these third parties split the anti-Democratic vote, making the race highly fragmented and diminishing the chances of a unified Democratic candidate.

The Impact on Democratic Electoral Prospects

The fragmentation of the Democratic Party meant that it lacked a single, cohesive nominee in the 1860 election. Instead, the party's divisions resulted in multiple candidates, each representing different regional interests:

- **John C. Breckinridge:** The Southern Democratic nominee, supported mainly

in the Deep South.

- **Stephen A. Douglas:** The Northern Democratic nominee, favored in the North and West.

This division significantly weakened the party's electoral strength and allowed Lincoln to secure a majority of electoral votes despite not winning a majority of the popular vote nationwide.

Consequences of Democratic Party Divisions in the 1860 Election

Electoral Outcomes and the Election of Abraham Lincoln

The 1860 presidential election resulted in a decisive victory for Abraham Lincoln, the Republican candidate. Lincoln's success was facilitated by the Democratic Party's internal split, which divided the pro-slavery and anti-slavery factions.

- Lincoln won with 180 electoral votes—enough to secure the presidency without carrying a single Southern state.
- The divided Democratic vote—about 40%—was split between Breckinridge and Douglas, preventing either from gaining a majority.

This outcome underscored the extent of the Democratic Party's disarray and its inability to present a united front.

Growing Secessionist Movements and the Collapse of the Democratic Party

Following Lincoln's election, Southern states viewed the result as a threat to the institution of slavery and their economic interests. Several states began to secede from the Union, forming the Confederate States of America.

- Southern Democrats, feeling betrayed and marginalized within the party, largely supported secession and the Confederacy.
- The Democratic Party itself fractured further, with many Southern Democrats aligning with the Confederacy and abandoning national politics.

The party's inability to reconcile regional differences and address the

sectional crisis led to its disintegration as a national political force.

The Democratic Party's Rebuilding After the Civil War

Post-War Reconstruction and Reconciliation

After the Civil War, the Democratic Party faced the challenge of rebuilding its national appeal amid the abolition of slavery and the upheaval of Reconstruction.

- It sought to regain influence by appealing to Southern white voters who opposed Radical Reconstruction policies.
- The party shifted toward states' rights, limited government intervention, and policies that sought to restore white supremacy in the South.

The Democratic Party in the Late 19th Century

By the late 1800s, the Democratic Party had largely reestablished itself as the political voice of the South and rural America, while continuing to struggle with its fractured identity during the tumultuous years leading up to the 20th century.

Summary: The Democratic Party's Transformation in 1860

The approaching 1860 presidential election marked a watershed moment for the Democratic Party. Its internal divisions over slavery and states' rights led to a split that irreparably damaged its national coherence. The party's fragmentation, coupled with the rise of third parties like the Republicans and Constitutional Unionists, resulted in a fractured electoral landscape that culminated in Lincoln's election and the subsequent Civil War.

The aftermath of 1860 saw the Democratic Party's decline as a unified national entity, replaced temporarily by regional factions and ideological divides. It would take decades of reconstruction, realignment, and internal debates before the party could reestablish a significant national presence, shaping American politics well into the 20th century.

Understanding what happened to the Democratic Party as the 1860 election approached provides crucial insights into the broader sectional tensions that led to the Civil War and the profound transformations in American political life during this pivotal era.

Frequently Asked Questions

How did the Democratic Party split influence the 1860 presidential election?

The Democratic Party divided into Northern and Southern factions over issues like slavery, leading to separate nominations for Stephen A. Douglas and John C. Breckinridge. This split weakened the party's overall unity and helped Republican Abraham Lincoln win the presidency.

What were the main divisions within the Democratic Party as 1860 approached?

The primary division was over slavery and states' rights, with Northern Democrats supporting popular sovereignty and Southern Democrats advocating for the protection of slavery. These differences caused a rift that resulted in separate conventions and nominations.

How did the Democratic Party's internal disagreements affect the national political landscape in 1860?

The disagreements led to a fractured Democratic vote, making it easier for Abraham Lincoln and the Republican Party to secure a majority in the Electoral College, ultimately resulting in Lincoln's election and increasing tensions leading to the Civil War.

What role did regional tensions play in shaping the Democratic Party's strategy in 1860?

Regional tensions over slavery and states' rights caused the Democratic Party to adopt different platforms for the North and South, which deepened the sectional divide and contributed to the party's failure to present a united front in the election.

Was the Democratic Party's split in 1860 a significant factor in the onset of the Civil War?

Yes, the party's split reflected and intensified sectional divisions, undermined national unity, and contributed to the election of Lincoln, whose platform opposed the spread of slavery, ultimately leading to the Civil War.

Additional Resources

What Happened To The Democratic Party As The 1860 Presidential Election Approached?

The year 1860 marked a pivotal turning point in American political history, and at the heart of this tumult was the Democratic Party. Once the dominant political force in the United States, the party faced profound internal divisions that ultimately contributed to its unraveling on the eve of the Civil War. To understand what happened to the Democratic Party as the 1860 presidential election approached, it is essential to delve into the party's

internal conflicts, regional tensions, candidate struggles, and the broader socio-political landscape of the era.

The Democratic Party's Pre-1860 Foundations and Ideology

Before exploring the disintegration, it's crucial to understand the Democratic Party's origins and ideological commitments leading up to 1860.

- Origins and Evolution:

The Democratic Party was founded in the 1830s, evolving from Thomas Jefferson's Democratic-Republicans. It championed states' rights, limited federal government, agrarian interests, and often opposed protective tariffs. Historically, it was the party most associated with the South and rural America.

- Key Ideologies and Policies:

- Support for slavery where it existed
- Emphasis on territorial expansion (Manifest Destiny)
- Advocacy for popular sovereignty in territories
- Opposition to federal interference in local affairs

- Internal Cohesion and Regional Divide:

While unified under these broad principles, regional differences began emerging more starkly in the 1840s and 1850s, particularly over slavery and economic policies.

The Growing Divide: North vs. South

By the 1850s, the Democratic Party was increasingly characterized by a regional split that foreshadowed its collapse.

- The Northern Democrats:

- Generally more moderate on slavery, supporting its containment rather than expansion.
- Advocated for protective tariffs and internal improvements.
- Supported popular sovereignty in territories (allowing settlers to decide on slavery).

- The Southern Democrats:

- Advocated for the protection and expansion of slavery into new territories.
- Emphasized states' rights and the preservation of the slaveholding system.
- Were strongly committed to the idea of maintaining slavery as a fundamental institution.

- The Breakdown of Unity:

As tensions over slavery intensified, the Democratic Party struggled to present a unified front. The sectional divide widened, leading to increased factionalism.

The 1856 Democratic National Convention and Its Aftermath

The 1856 election set the stage for the party's internal conflicts.

- Candidate Selection and Divisions:

- James Buchanan emerged as the Democratic nominee amid factional disputes.
- The party was torn between pro-slavery Southern factions and more moderate Northern Democrats.
- Buchanan's victory was partly due to his ability to unite these factions temporarily.

- Impact on the Party:

- The 1856 election revealed the deepening sectional fissures within Democratic ranks.
- The party's inability to reconcile these differences foreshadowed future splits.

The 1860 Democratic National Convention: The Crisis Unfolds

The 1860 convention was a crucible where the Democratic Party's divisions came to a head.

- Venue and Initial Expectations:

- Held in Charleston, South Carolina, with hopes of unifying the party behind a single candidate.
- The convention was marred by disputes over slavery and sectional representation.

- Factional Fights and Deadlock:

- Northern Democrats favored Stephen A. Douglas, advocating for popular sovereignty.
- Southern Democrats demanded a pro-slavery candidate committed to expanding slavery into new territories.
- Prolonged negotiations failed, leading to a split in the convention.

- The Split and the Formation of Two Factions:

- The Southern Democrats walked out, forming their own convention in Baltimore.
- The Northern Democrats nominated Stephen Douglas.
- The Southern faction nominated John C. Breckinridge of Kentucky, a strong pro-slavery candidate.

- Impact on the Election:

- The division resulted in two separate Democratic tickets, severely weakening their electoral prospects.
- This fracture allowed Abraham Lincoln and the Republican Party to consolidate the anti-Democratic vote.

The Role of Regional and Ideological Factions

The 1860 Democratic Party was effectively split into three main factions, each representing different regional interests and ideological commitments:

1. Northern Democrats (Stephen Douglas):
 - Advocated for popular sovereignty.
 - Supported a moderate approach to slavery, opposing its expansion but not advocating immediate abolition.
2. Southern Democrats (John C. Breckinridge):
 - Supported federal protection and extension of slavery into territories.
 - Emphasized states' rights and the preservation of slavery as central to their platform.
3. The "Dixiecrat" or Pro-Slavery Faction:
 - Essentially the Southern Democrats who rejected the Northern Democrats' platform.
 - Their nomination of Breckinridge underscored the regional split.

This fragmentation eroded the Democratic Party's ability to present a cohesive platform, rendering it incapable of effectively countering the Republican surge.

Consequences of the Democratic Split

The consequences of these internal divisions were profound and immediate:

- Electoral Impact:
 - The split in the Democratic vote meant that Lincoln, running on the Republican platform, could secure a majority in the Electoral College without winning a single Southern state.
 - Lincoln's victory in November 1860 was the first Republican win and a direct consequence of Democratic disunity.
- Loss of Southern Support:
 - Southern states felt increasingly alienated from the Democratic Party's Northern wing.
 - Many Southern Democrats viewed the party as no longer representing their interests, leading to calls for secession.
- Emergence of the Confederacy:
 - The Democratic Party's failure to unify contributed to the secession of Southern states after Lincoln's election, culminating in the formation of the Confederate States of America.

The Broader Socio-Political Context

Understanding what happened to the Democratic Party also requires examining the broader socio-political landscape:

- Slavery and Expansion:
 - The debate over whether new territories would be free or slave-holding was central.
 - The Kansas-Nebraska Act (1854) and the Dred Scott decision (1857) intensified sectional tensions.
- The Rise of the Republican Party:
 - The Republican Party, founded in 1854, positioned itself as an anti-slavery party.
 - It drew support from anti-slavery Whigs, Free Soilers, and anti-slavery Democrats, further fragmenting the traditional two-party system.
- Economic and Cultural Divisions:
 - Northern industrialization contrasted sharply with Southern agrarianism.
 - These economic differences reinforced regional identities and political allegiances.

The Aftermath and Long-Term Impact on the Democratic Party

Post-1860, the Democratic Party faced significant challenges and transformations:

- Reconstruction and Reconciliation:
 - After the Civil War, the party struggled to reunify the North and South.
 - It adopted different platforms in subsequent decades, often reflecting regional interests.
- Reconstruction Era Politics:
 - The party became dominant in the South, advocating for states' rights and, later, segregationist policies.
 - In the North, it remained a significant but less dominant force until the 20th century.
- Party Realignment:
 - The Civil Rights Movement and subsequent political shifts led to realignments, with the Democratic Party becoming more progressive in the North and more conservative in the South.
- Legacy of 1860:
 - The events of 1860 demonstrated how regional and ideological divisions could fracture a major political party.
 - It also highlighted the importance of party unity in national elections and the potential consequences of sectionalism.

Conclusion

As the 1860 presidential election approached, the Democratic Party found itself at a crossroads, torn apart by regional, ideological, and personal divisions over slavery, states' rights, and expansion. The party's inability to reconcile its internal factions led to a historic split, with Northern and Southern wings nominating separate candidates. This fragmentation not only facilitated Abraham Lincoln's victory but also contributed directly to the outbreak of the Civil War and the subsequent reshaping of American politics.

The 1860 Democratic Party saga remains a cautionary tale about the dangers of internal discord within a political entity and underscores how deeply regional identities and unresolved national conflicts can threaten the stability of democratic institutions. Its legacy continues to inform discussions on party unity, sectionalism, and the importance of ideological cohesion in American political history.

[What Happened To The Democratic Party As The 1860 Presidential Election Approached](#)

What Happened To The Democratic Party As The 1860 Presidential Election Approached

Related Articles

- [In Considering Its Marketing Strategy A New Venture Will Typically Concentrate On](#)
- [How Is The Multiple-server Wsus Deployment Option Deployed, And How Does It Operate?](#)
- [Based On This Passage Of The Onssey, One Can Conclude That The Ancient Gregs Getyves](#)

[Back to Home](#)