

WHICH BEST EXPLAINS WHY SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

WHICH BEST EXPLAINS WHY SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT OF 1854 WAS A PIVOTAL PIECE OF LEGISLATION THAT DRAMATICALLY RESHAPED THE POLITICAL LANDSCAPE OF THE UNITED STATES. IT SPARKED INTENSE DEBATE AND CONTROVERSY, ESPECIALLY AMONG SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS, WHO LARGELY SUPPORTED THE BILL. UNDERSTANDING THE MOTIVATIONS BEHIND THEIR SUPPORT REQUIRES EXAMINING THE POLITICAL, ECONOMIC, AND IDEOLOGICAL FACTORS THAT INFLUENCED THEIR STANCE. THIS ARTICLE EXPLORES THE PRIMARY REASONS WHY SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS BACKED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT, PROVIDING A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THEIR INTERESTS AND PERSPECTIVES.

THE DESIRE TO EXPAND SLAVERY INTO NEW TERRITORIES

PRESERVATION OF THE SLAVE ECONOMY

ONE OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT REASONS SOUTHERNERS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT WAS THEIR DESIRE TO EXPAND THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY INTO NEW TERRITORIES. THE SOUTHERN ECONOMY WAS HEAVILY RELIANT ON SLAVERY, PARTICULARLY IN AGRICULTURE-BASED STATES WHERE COTTON, TOBACCO, AND OTHER CASH CROPS THRIVED. THEY VIEWED THE EXPANSION OF SLAVERY AS ESSENTIAL TO MAINTAINING THEIR ECONOMIC PROSPERITY.

- **PROTECTION OF SLAVEHOLDING INTERESTS:** SOUTHERNERS SAW THE LEGISLATION AS A WAY TO ENSURE THAT SLAVERY COULD SPREAD INTO THE KANSAS AND NEBRASKA TERRITORIES, WHICH WERE PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED FREE LANDS UNDER THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE.
- **ECONOMIC CONTINUITY:** BY ALLOWING SLAVERY TO EXPAND INTO NEW TERRITORIES, SOUTHERN PLANTERS AIMED TO SECURE FUTURE MARKETS AND LABOR SUPPLIES FOR THEIR PLANTATIONS.

REJECTION OF RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY PREVIOUS LAWS

THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT EFFECTIVELY REPEALED THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE OF 1820, WHICH HAD PROHIBITED SLAVERY NORTH OF THE 36°30' LATITUDE LINE. SOUTHERNERS VIEWED THIS REPEAL AS A WAY TO REMOVE RESTRICTIONS ON THE SPREAD OF SLAVERY.

- **STANDING AGAINST NORTHERN OPPOSITION:** MANY SOUTHERNERS BELIEVED THAT RESTRICTING SLAVERY'S EXPANSION WAS UNFAIR AND THREATENED THEIR ECONOMIC INTERESTS.
- **ADVOCACY FOR POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY:** THE ACT ALLOWED SETTLERS IN EACH TERRITORY TO DECIDE WHETHER TO PERMIT SLAVERY, ALIGNING WITH SOUTHERN BELIEFS THAT STATES SHOULD DETERMINE THEIR OWN LAWS.

THE POLITICAL STRATEGY TO PROTECT SLAVEHOLDER RIGHTS

STRATEGIC USE OF POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY

SOUTHERNERS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT BECAUSE IT EMBRACED THE CONCEPT OF POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY—THE IDEA THAT RESIDENTS OF A TERRITORY SHOULD DECIDE WHETHER TO ALLOW SLAVERY. THIS APPROACH WAS SEEN AS A WAY TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF SLAVEHOLDERS AND ENSURE THEIR INTERESTS WERE REPRESENTED.

- **EMPOWERING LOCAL DECISION-MAKING:** SOUTHERNERS BELIEVED THAT LOCAL SETTLERS, RATHER THAN CONGRESS, SHOULD DECIDE ON SLAVERY, THEREBY PROTECTING THEIR ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL INTERESTS.
- **COUNTERACTING NORTHERN ANTI-SLAVERY MOVEMENTS:** BY SUPPORTING POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY, SOUTHERNERS AIMED TO PREVENT NORTHERN ABOLITIONISTS FROM IMPOSING RESTRICTIONS ON SLAVERY.

SECURING POLITICAL POWER AND INFLUENCE

SUPPORTING THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT ALSO ALIGNED WITH SOUTHERN EFFORTS TO MAINTAIN POLITICAL INFLUENCE IN NEW TERRITORIES AND FUTURE STATES.

- **STRATEGIC EXPANSION OF SLAVE STATES:** BY ADVOCATING FOR SLAVERY IN KANSAS AND NEBRASKA, SOUTHERNERS HOPED TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF SLAVE STATES, THUS BALANCING OR ENHANCING THEIR POLITICAL POWER IN CONGRESS.
- **COUNTERING FREE-STATE MOVEMENTS:** THE ACT WAS A TOOL TO COMBAT THE SPREAD OF FREE STATES AND FREE-SOIL IDEOLOGY, WHICH THREATENED THE SOUTHERN WAY OF LIFE.

ECONOMIC INTERESTS AND LAND POLICIES

ACCESS TO NEW LAND FOR AGRICULTURE AND SETTLEMENT

SOUTHERNERS VIEWED THE OPENING OF KANSAS AND NEBRASKA TO SLAVERY AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC GROWTH THROUGH THE SETTLEMENT OF NEW LANDS.

- **ENCOURAGING PLANTATION EXPANSION:** THE LEGISLATION FACILITATED THE GROWTH OF PLANTATION AGRICULTURE IN THE WESTERN TERRITORIES.
- **ATTRACTING SOUTHERN SETTLERS:** THE PROMISE OF SLAVERY-FRIENDLY LAND POLICIES WAS A WAY TO ATTRACT SOUTHERN FARMERS TO SETTLE IN THESE TERRITORIES.

SUPPORT FROM SOUTHERN LAND SPECULATORS

MANY SOUTHERN INVESTORS AND LAND SPECULATORS SUPPORTED THE ACT AS IT INCREASED THE VALUE OF LAND IN THE TERRITORIES AND OPENED NEW MARKETS FOR INVESTMENT.

- **PROFIT FROM LAND SALES:** EXPANSION AND THE POSSIBILITY OF SLAVERY MADE LAND IN KANSAS AND NEBRASKA MORE ATTRACTIVE TO INVESTORS.

- **ECONOMIC INTEGRATION:** THE ACT HELPED INTEGRATE SOUTHERN ECONOMIC INTERESTS WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF WESTERN TERRITORIES.

IDEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS

DEFENSE OF STATES' RIGHTS AND SOUTHERN HERITAGE

FOR MANY SOUTHERNERS, SUPPORTING THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT WAS ROOTED IN A BROADER IDEOLOGICAL COMMITMENT TO STATES' RIGHTS AND THE PRESERVATION OF THEIR CULTURAL IDENTITY.

- **OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL RESTRICTIONS:** THEY BELIEVED THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY OR THE RIGHTS OF STATES AND TERRITORIES TO DECIDE THEIR LAWS.
- **DEFENSE OF THE SOUTHERN WAY OF LIFE:** THE LEGISLATION WAS SEEN AS A WAY TO DEFEND THEIR SOCIAL AND CULTURAL PRACTICES ROOTED IN SLAVERY AND PLANTATION ECONOMY.

REACTION AGAINST NORTHERN ABOLITIONISM

THE RISE OF ABOLITIONIST MOVEMENTS IN THE NORTH ALARMED MANY SOUTHERNERS. SUPPORTING THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT WAS ALSO A WAY TO PUSH BACK AGAINST ANTI-SLAVERY SENTIMENTS AND POLICIES.

- **MAINTAINING SOCIAL HIERARCHIES:** SOUTHERNERS AIMED TO PRESERVE THE SOCIAL ORDER THAT DEPENDED ON SLAVERY.
- **POLITICAL MOBILIZATION:** SUPPORTING THE ACT WAS PART OF A BROADER EFFORT TO RALLY SOUTHERN POLITICAL SUPPORT AND RESIST NORTHERN INTERFERENCE.

THE IMPACT OF THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND POLITICAL LEADERSHIP

PARTY POLITICS AND LEADERSHIP

THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY, WHICH WAS DOMINANT IN THE SOUTH, GENERALLY SUPPORTED THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT AS PART OF ITS PLATFORM OF PROMOTING TERRITORIAL EXPANSION AND STATES' RIGHTS.

- **PARTY LOYALTY:** MANY SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS SUPPORTED THE ACT TO MAINTAIN PARTY UNITY AND POLITICAL DOMINANCE.
- **LEADERSHIP SUPPORT:** PROMINENT SOUTHERN LEADERS LIKE STEPHEN DOUGLAS CHAMPIONED THE LEGISLATION, ALIGNING WITH SOUTHERN INTERESTS.

STRATEGIC ALLIANCES AND POLITICAL CALCULATIONS

SOUTHERN SUPPORT FOR THE ACT WAS ALSO A STRATEGIC MOVE TO STRENGTHEN ALLIANCES WITHIN CONGRESS AND ENSURE THE CONTINUATION OF POLICIES FAVORABLE TO THE SOUTH.

- COUNTERBALANCING NORTHERN POWER: SUPPORTING THE ACT WAS A WAY TO COUNTERACT THE GROWING INFLUENCE OF ABOLITIONIST AND FREE-STATE FACTIONS.
- PREPARING FOR FUTURE CONFLICTS: SOUTHERNERS SAW THE LEGISLATION AS A MEANS TO PREPARE FOR FUTURE POLITICAL BATTLES OVER SLAVERY AND TERRITORIAL EXPANSION.

CONCLUSION

THE SUPPORT OF SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT WAS DRIVEN BY A COMPLEX INTERPLAY OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS, POLITICAL STRATEGIES, IDEOLOGICAL BELIEFS, AND CULTURAL VALUES. THEIR PRIMARY MOTIVATION WAS TO EXPAND AND PROTECT THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY, SAFEGUARD THEIR ECONOMIC PROSPERITY, AND MAINTAIN POLITICAL INFLUENCE. THEIR BACKING OF THE BILL REFLECTED THEIR DESIRE TO ENSURE THAT SLAVERY WOULD NOT BE RESTRICTED OR ABOLISHED IN NEW TERRITORIES, ALIGNING WITH THEIR BROADER GOALS OF PRESERVING THEIR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ORDER. UNDERSTANDING THESE REASONS PROVIDES INSIGHT INTO THE DEEP-ROOTED TENSIONS THAT EVENTUALLY LED TO THE CIVIL WAR, HIGHLIGHTING HOW REGIONAL INTERESTS AND BELIEFS SHAPED PIVOTAL MOMENTS IN AMERICAN HISTORY.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHY DID SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS SUPPORT THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

SOUTHERNERS SUPPORTED THE ACT BECAUSE IT ALLOWED FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF SLAVERY EXPANDING INTO NEW TERRITORIES THROUGH POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY, ALIGNING WITH THEIR ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL INTERESTS IN PROTECTING SLAVERY.

HOW DID THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT BENEFIT SOUTHERN POLITICIANS?

IT GAVE SOUTHERN STATES THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXTEND SLAVERY INTO NEW TERRITORIES, ENSURING THEIR ECONOMIC RELIANCE ON SLAVE LABOR AND MAINTAINING POLITICAL INFLUENCE IN CONGRESS.

WHAT ROLE DID THE DESIRE TO PRESERVE SLAVERY PLAY IN SOUTHERN SUPPORT FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

SOUTHERN SUPPORT WAS LARGELY DRIVEN BY THE DESIRE TO UPHOLD AND EXPAND THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY, FEARING RESTRICTIONS OR ABOLITION WOULD THREATEN THEIR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SYSTEM.

DID ECONOMIC INTERESTS INFLUENCE SOUTHERN CONGRESS MEMBERS' SUPPORT FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

YES, SOUTHERN CONGRESS MEMBERS SUPPORTED THE ACT BECAUSE IT PROTECTED AND POTENTIALLY EXPANDED THEIR ECONOMIC INTERESTS TIED TO SLAVERY AND PLANTATION AGRICULTURE.

HOW DID THE CONCEPT OF POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY APPEAL TO SOUTHERNERS IN

CONGRESS REGARDING THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT?

Southerners believed that local settlers should decide on the issue of slavery, and the act's provision for popular sovereignty was seen as a way to potentially expand slavery into new territories.

IN WHAT WAY DID THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT ALIGN WITH SOUTHERN STATES' POLITICAL GOALS?

The act aligned with Southern goals by supporting the extension of slavery into new territories, thus maintaining their political power and influence in national affairs.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

SUPPORT FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT AMONG SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS: AN IN-DEPTH ANALYSIS

The Kansas-Nebraska Act of 1854 stands as a pivotal moment in American history, dramatically influencing the trajectory of sectional tensions leading up to the Civil War. To understand why Southerners in Congress strongly supported this legislation, it is essential to examine the political, economic, social, and ideological motivations that fueled their backing. This comprehensive exploration will dissect the multifaceted reasons behind Southern congressional support, emphasizing the strategic, economic, and ideological factors that aligned with their interests.

BACKGROUND OF THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT

Before delving into the reasons for Southern support, it is necessary to understand the core provisions of the act itself and its context.

KEY PROVISIONS

- Popular Sovereignty: Allowed settlers in Kansas and Nebraska to decide for themselves whether to permit slavery.
- Repeal of the Missouri Compromise: The act effectively nullified the 1820 Missouri Compromise, which prohibited slavery north of the 36°30' latitude line in the Louisiana Purchase territory.
- Creation of Two Territories: Organized the territories of Kansas and Nebraska, opening them up for settlement and potential slavery expansion.

CONTEXT AND MOTIVATIONS

- The bill was introduced by Senator Stephen A. Douglas, primarily to facilitate the construction of a transcontinental railroad through Chicago.
- It aimed to promote westward expansion and economic development, aligning with national interests but with significant implications for sectional tensions.

Why Did Southerners in Congress Support the Kansas-Nebraska Act?

The support for the Kansas-Nebraska Act among Southern legislators was driven by a confluence of political, economic, ideological, and strategic considerations. Here, we analyze each of these facets in detail.

1. Preservation and Expansion of the Institution of Slavery

The most compelling motivation for Southern support was the desire to safeguard and expand slavery — the economic backbone and social cornerstone of the South.

- **Protection of Slavery:** The Act's reliance on popular sovereignty threatened to open new territories to slavery, potentially allowing slavery to spread beyond the existing Southern states.
- **Counteracting Northern Restrictions:** Previous compromises, like the Missouri Compromise, had placed limits on the expansion of slavery. Southerners viewed this as an infringement on their rights and economic interests.
- **Ensuring Political Balance:** By supporting the Act, Southern leaders aimed to prevent the ascendancy of free states and free labor ideology that threatened the institution of slavery.

2. Economic Interests and the Expansion of Southern Agriculture

The Southern economy was deeply intertwined with slavery, especially in the cultivation of cash crops like cotton, tobacco, and sugar.

- **Access to New Slave-Holding Territories:** The Act presented an opportunity for the expansion of slavery into the West, enabling planters to increase their labor force and landholdings.
- **Protection of Slaveholders' Rights:** Many Southern congressmen believed that allowing slavery in new territories was essential for the economic prosperity of the region.
- **Facilitation of the Cotton Economy:** As cotton demand grew, Southern planters sought new lands where slavery could be maintained and expanded.

3. Political Strategy and Regional Power Dynamics

Southern support was also motivated by the desire to maintain political influence and power within the federal government.

- **Maintaining the Balance of Power:** Southern leaders feared that a halt to slavery's expansion would tip the political balance toward free states, diminishing Southern influence.
- **Securing Federal Support for Slavery:** Supporting the Act was a way to ensure that federal policies favored Southern interests, particularly the institution of slavery.
- **Countering Northern Anti-Slavery Movements:** The Act was seen as a way to push back against the rising abolitionist sentiment in the North.

4. The Strategic Importance of the Transcontinental Railroad

The Act was linked to the broader goal of facilitating westward expansion and economic development.

- **Douglas's Railroad Plan:** Senator Stephen Douglas proposed the Act as part of his vision to build a transcontinental railroad through Illinois, which would benefit both the North and the South.
- **Southern Support for Expansion:** Many Southerners saw the expansion of slavery into new territories as

COMPLEMENTARY TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAT COULD BOOST THEIR ECONOMIC INTERESTS.

5. IDEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS

FOR MANY SOUTHERNERS, THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY WAS INTERTWINED WITH THEIR CULTURAL IDENTITY AND SOCIAL HIERARCHY.

- DEFENSE OF SLAVERY AS A "POSITIVE GOOD": INFLUENTIAL SOUTHERN THINKERS AND LEADERS ARGUED THAT SLAVERY WAS A BENEVOLENT AND NECESSARY INSTITUTION.
- STATES' RIGHTS DOCTRINE: SOUTHERNERS BELIEVED THAT TERRITORIES SHOULD DECIDE FOR THEMSELVES REGARDING SLAVERY, ALIGNING WITH THEIR BROADER COMMITMENT TO STATES' RIGHTS AND LIMITED FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE.
- REACTION TO NORTHERN ABOLITIONISM: SUPPORT FOR THE ACT WAS ALSO A STANCE AGAINST NORTHERN ABOLITIONIST MOVEMENTS AND THE PERCEIVED ENCROACHMENT ON SOUTHERN LIBERTIES.

6. THE IMPACT OF THE DRED SCOTT DECISION

ALTHOUGH THE DECISION CAME AFTER THE ACT'S PASSAGE, IT REFLECTED SOUTHERN SUPPORT FOR THE BROADER LEGAL AND POLITICAL FRAMEWORK FAVORING SLAVERY.

- THE SUPREME COURT'S 1857 RULING THAT CONGRESS COULD NOT PROHIBIT SLAVERY IN THE TERRITORIES REINFORCED SOUTHERN FEARS OF LOSING THE RIGHT TO EXPAND SLAVERY AND SUPPORTED THEIR BACKING OF PREVIOUS LEGISLATION LIKE THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT.

COUNTERPOINTS AND SOUTHERN CONCERNS

WHILE MOST SOUTHERN CONGRESSMEN SUPPORTED THE ACT, SOME HAD RESERVATIONS OR CONCERNS:

- FEAR OF VIOLENCE AND INSURRECTION: SOME SOUTHERNERS WORRIED THAT THE RUSH OF SETTLERS AND THE POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICTS IN KANSAS COULD THREATEN SLAVERY AND REGIONAL STABILITY.
- POTENTIAL FOR SLAVERY'S COLLAPSE: A MINORITY FEARED THAT POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY MIGHT LEAD TO A REJECTION OF SLAVERY IN SOME TERRITORIES, UNDERMINING SOUTHERN ECONOMIC INTERESTS.

HOWEVER, THESE CONCERNS WERE GENERALLY SECONDARY TO THE PRIMARY

MOTIVATIONS OUTLINED ABOVE.

CONSEQUENCES OF SOUTHERN SUPPORT FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT

THE SUPPORT OF SOUTHERN CONGRESSMEN FOR THE ACT HAD PROFOUND AND LASTING CONSEQUENCES:

- EXPANSION OF SLAVERY: IT LED DIRECTLY TO THE "BLEEDING KANSAS" VIOLENCE, AS PRO- AND ANTI-SLAVERY SETTLERS CLASHED, ILLUSTRATING THE DEEPENING SECTIONAL DIVIDE.
- INTENSIFICATION OF SECTIONAL TENSIONS: THE REPEAL OF THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE AND THE OPENING OF NEW TERRITORIES TO SLAVERY HEIGHTENED NORTHERN OPPOSITION AND INCREASED CALLS FOR ABOLITION.
- BIRTH OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY: OPPOSITION TO THE ACT AND ITS PRO-SLAVERY IMPLICATIONS CONTRIBUTED TO THE EMERGENCE OF A NEW POLITICAL PARTY DEDICATED TO STOPPING THE SPREAD OF SLAVERY.
- PATH TOWARD CIVIL WAR: THE LEGISLATION AND THE REGIONAL REACTIONS IT PROVOKED ACCELERATED THE POLARIZATION THAT ULTIMATELY CULMINATED IN THE CIVIL WAR.

CONCLUSION

IN SUMMARY, SOUTHERN SUPPORT FOR THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT WAS DRIVEN BY A COMPLEX INTERPLAY OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS, POLITICAL STRATEGY, IDEOLOGICAL COMMITMENTS, AND REGIONAL ASPIRATIONS. THE DESIRE TO EXPAND AND PROTECT SLAVERY, MAINTAIN POLITICAL INFLUENCE, PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THROUGH WESTWARD EXPANSION, AND UPHOLD THEIR CULTURAL VALUES ALL CONVERGED TO PRODUCE A UNIFIED SOUTHERN CONGRESSIONAL BACKING FOR THE LEGISLATION. THIS SUPPORT WAS NOT MERELY PRAGMATIC BUT DEEPLY ROOTED IN THE SOUTH'S SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC FABRIC, MAKING THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT A DEFINING MOMENT IN THE SECTIONAL CONFLICTS THAT ULTIMATELY LED TO THE CIVIL WAR. UNDERSTANDING

THESE MOTIVATIONS PROVIDES CRUCIAL INSIGHT INTO THE DEEP DIVISIONS THAT CHARACTERIZED PRE-WAR AMERICA AND HIGHLIGHTS HOW LEGISLATIVE DECISIONS CAN REFLECT AND REINFORCE REGIONAL IDENTITIES AND INTERESTS.

[WHICH BEST EXPLAINS WHY SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS NEBRASKA ACT](#)

WHICH BEST EXPLAINS WHY SOUTHERNERS IN CONGRESS SUPPORTED THE KANSAS NEBRASKA ACT

RELATED ARTICLES

- [WHICH ARTWORK BY DUCHAMPS CREATED THE GREATEST SCANDAL IN THE ARMORY SHOW OF 1913?](#)
- [HOW ARE THE EVENTS OF CHAPTERS 14-16 ARE CONNECTED TO THE PHYSICAL FEATURES OF DE MUCK](#)
- [THE GRANDFATHER CLAUSE WAIVED THE LITERACY REQUIREMENT FOR VOTERS WHOSE ANCESTOR HAD](#)

[BACK TO HOME](#)