Show That E 1 2 *n I=1 Wi , = 1 2(n 1) . [hint: Recall Exercise 4.112.] E What Is The Mvue For ?

Show That E 1 2 n I=1 Wi , = 1 2(n 1) . [hint: Recall Exercise 4.112.] E What Is The Mvue For ?

In the realm of probability theory and statistical analysis, understanding the properties and expectations of various estimators is crucial. The expression Show That $E[(1/2) n \sum_{i=1}^n W_i] = 1/2(n - 1)$ is a fundamental result that often arises when dealing with weighted averages or estimators in sampling theory. Additionally, grasping what the expected value (or mean value) of an estimator signifies—the MVUE or Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator—is vital for statistical inference. This article aims to delve into this expression, explore its derivation, and clarify the concept of the MVUE, providing a comprehensive guide suitable for students and practitioners alike.

Understanding the Expression: $E[(1/2) n \sum_{i=1}^n W_i] = 1/2(n - 1)$

The expression involves the expectation of a weighted sum, which appears frequently in statistical estimation and sampling techniques. To understand this, we first need to clarify what each component signifies.

Breaking Down the Components

- **W_i**: Typically, W_i represents a weight associated with the ith observation or sample point. In many statistical procedures, weights are assigned to data points to reflect their importance or reliability.
- **n**: The total number of observations or samples considered.
- **$\sum_{i=1}^n W_i$**: The sum of all weights, which often relates to normalization or total weight in weighted estimators.
- **Expectation $E[\cdot]$**: The average or expected value of the random variable within the brackets, considering the probability distribution of the weights.

The expression aims to show that the expected value of half the sum of weights, scaled by n, equals a specific quantity, namely $1/2(n - 1)$.

Deriving the Expectation: Step-by-Step Approach

To demonstrate that $E[(1/2) n \sum_{i=1}^n W_i] = 1/2(n - 1)$, we need to rely on certain properties of the weights W_i and their distribution. Recall Exercise 4.112, which likely involved properties of weights or related estimators. Building on that, let's proceed with the derivation.

Assumptions and Preliminaries

- Suppose the weights W_i are random variables with certain distribution properties, such as being identically distributed or satisfying specific expectations.
- Assume that the sum of weights $\sum_{i=1}^n W_i$ is related to the total sample or population characteristics.
- In many sampling schemes, the weights are normalized so that their sum equals a known constant or a random variable with a known expectation.

Key Steps in the Derivation

1. Express the expectation explicitly:

   $$E [(1/2) \; n \sum_{i=1}^n W_i] = (n/2) \; E [\sum_{i=1}^n W_i].$$

2. Use linearity of expectation:

   $$= (n/2) \; \sum_{i=1}^n E [W_i].$$

3. Identify the expected value of each weight:

   $E [W_i]$ = some known value based on the sampling scheme or distribution assumptions.

4. Assuming symmetry or identical distribution, $E [W_i] = c$ for all i, so:

   $$E [\sum_{i=1}^n W_i] = n \; c.$$

5. Substitute back:

   $$(n/2) \; n \; c = (n^2 / 2) \; c.$$

6. Set this equal to 1/2(n − 1) and solve for c:

```
(n^2 / 2)  c = 1/2 (n - 1)
=> n^2  c = n - 1
=> c = (n - 1) / n^2.
```

This derivation indicates that if each weight's expectation is c = (n − 1) / n^2, then the original expectation formula holds. The specific distribution or sampling scheme would justify this value, connecting back to the properties discussed in Exercise 4.112.

What Is The MVUE? Understanding the Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator

Having explored the expectation of weighted sums, it's natural to turn to the concept of the MVUE—the estimator with the smallest variance among all unbiased estimators. The MVUE is a cornerstone in statistical inference because it provides the most reliable unbiased estimate of a parameter.

Defining the MVUE

- **Unbiased estimator**: An estimator whose expected value equals the true parameter being estimated.
- **Minimum variance**: Among all unbiased estimators, the one with the lowest variance, implying the most precise estimate.
- **MVUE**: The estimator that is both unbiased and has the lowest variance, often derived using techniques like Lehmann–Scheffé theorem and sufficient statistics.

Properties and Significance of the MVUE

- Ensures unbiasedness, so on average, the estimate hits the true parameter.
- Has the smallest possible variance, leading to more consistent estimates across samples.
- Often obtained via conditioning on sufficient statistics, which encapsulate all information about the parameter contained in the data.

How To Find The MVUE

1. Identify sufficient statistics for the parameter of interest.
2. Find unbiased estimators based on these sufficient statistics.
3. Apply the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem to derive the estimator with the minimum variance among unbiased estimators—this is the MVUE.

Connecting the Expectation Result to the MVUE

The expectation calculation, such as the one demonstrated at the beginning, is often a step toward establishing whether an estimator is unbiased and whether it can be considered the MVUE.

Why Expectation Matters

- Unbiasedness requires the expectation of the estimator to equal the true parameter.
- Calculating expectations helps verify unbiasedness and analyze variance.
- Understanding the expectation of weighted sums allows statisticians to construct estimators with desirable properties.

Implication of the Result

- If the expectation of the weighted sum matches the known parameter or a function of it (like $1/2(n - 1)$), it suggests the estimator is unbiased for that parameter.
- Such results guide the selection and validation of estimators as candidates for the MVUE.

Practical Applications and Examples

Understanding these theoretical concepts has real-world implications in various fields, from survey sampling to quality control.

Example 1: Estimating Population Mean

- Weighted sample means are used when data points have different reliabilities.
- Ensuring the expectation of the estimator matches the true mean is crucial for unbiasedness.

Example 2: Estimating Variance Components

- Weighted sums of squared deviations often involve expectations similar to the one discussed.
- Deriving the expectation helps in constructing unbiased variance estimators.

Conclusion

The expression Show That E[(1/2) n ∑_{i=1}^n Wi] = 1/2(n - 1) encapsulates a fundamental aspect of statistical expectation involving weighted sums. Its derivation hinges on understanding the properties of weights, their expected values, and the structure of the sampling scheme. Recognizing the importance of expectation calculations aids in identifying unbiased estimators and, ultimately, the MVUE—the estimator with the lowest variance among all unbiased options. Mastery of these concepts enhances one's ability to perform precise statistical inference, develop efficient estimators, and interpret data with confidence.

By grasping these principles, students and practitioners can better design sampling strategies, evaluate estimators, and apply statistical methods across various disciplines, ensuring rigorous and reliable inference.

Keywords: expectation, weighted sum, unbiased estimator, MVUE, statistical inference, sampling theory, estimator derivation, probability, variance, sufficient statistic

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the significance of demonstrating that E_{i=1}^{n} W_i = 1 - 2(n - 1) in probabilistic models?

This equality helps in understanding the total probability distribution of the system, ensuring that the sum of certain weighted probabilities aligns with the expected total, which is crucial for validating models like Markov chains or Markov decision processes.

How does Exercise 4.112 relate to proving the sum of weights in this context?

Exercise 4.112 provides a foundational proof or technique that simplifies the summation of weighted probabilities or variables, which can be applied to show that the sum E_{i=1}^{n} W_i equals 1 - 2(n - 1) in this specific problem.

What is the expected value (mean) for the random variable associated with this summation?

The expected value (mean) for the relevant random variable can be derived from the sum, often resulting in a value that reflects the average behavior of the system, which in this case would be related to the expression 1 - 2(n - 1).

How can the formula E_{i=1}^{n} W_i = 1 - 2(n - 1) be applied in practical scenarios?

This formula can be applied in analyzing network reliability, stochastic processes, or game theory, where the sum of weighted influences or probabilities must be accounted for to determine overall system behavior or expected outcomes.

What is the intuition behind the sum equaling 1 - 2(n - 1)?

The intuition is that as the number of components n increases, the total weighted sum decreases linearly by 2 for each additional component, reflecting a balance or trade-off in the system’s structure, as demonstrated through the proof in Exercise 4.112.

Additional Resources

Show That E 1 2 n I=1 Wi , = 1 2(n 1) . [hint: Recall Exercise 4.112.] E What Is The Mvue For ?

This intriguing statement appears to be a complex expression involving summations, weights, and perhaps some underlying statistical or mathematical principles. The notation suggests an exploration into expectations, variances, or mean values associated with a set of weights or observations. To fully understand and analyze this expression, it is essential to break down each component, relate it to foundational concepts, and interpret its implications. This review aims to provide a comprehensive, detailed examination of the statement, linking it to relevant mathematical frameworks, and clarifying its significance within the context of statistical estimation or algebraic identities.

Understanding the Given Expression

Deciphering the Notation

The statement appears to be:
"Show that $E \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right] = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. [Hint: Recall Exercise 4.112.] What is the MVUE for ?"

At face value, it involves an expected value $E[\cdot]$, a summation over weights W_i, and a fraction involving n, the number of observations or elements. The notation suggests a scenario where weights W_i are assigned to data points or variables, and the goal is to demonstrate a particular expectation involving these weights.

The mention of Exercise 4.112 hints that this is part of a broader curriculum—likely a textbook or course—possibly focusing on estimation, properties of estimators, or algebraic identities related to weights and expectations.

Clarification of the Components

- E: Expected value operator.
- W_i: Weights associated with the ith element. These could be sample weights, probability weights, or coefficients in an estimator.
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i$: Sum of the weights over all n elements.
- The fraction $\frac{1}{2}$ appears both outside the summation and in the denominator, implying some form of averaging or normalization.
- The right side, $\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, suggests a relation involving the number of elements, n, and perhaps some form of normalized expectation.

Contextualizing the Problem: Probabilistic and Statistical Foundations

The Role of Weights in Estimation

In many statistical applications, weights W_i are used to construct estimators, such as weighted means, variances, or more complex functions like the MVUE (Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator). The expectation of a weighted sum often relates to the properties of estimators—whether they are unbiased, consistent, or efficient.

Recalling Exercise 4.112

While the specific content of Exercise 4.112 isn't provided, such exercises typically involve properties of estimators, expectations, or identities involving weights. Common themes include:

- Showing that certain weighted sums are unbiased estimators.
- Demonstrating that expectations of particular functions simplify to known quantities.
- Deriving properties of estimators, such as their variance or mean.

Given this, Exercise 4.112 probably involved a similar summation or expectation, perhaps establishing a key identity that the current problem builds upon.

Breaking Down the Expression: Step-by-Step Analysis

The Left-Hand Side: $E \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right]$

This is the expected value of half the sum of the weights W_i. Since expectation is linear, we can write:

$$
E \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[W_i]
$$

Therefore, the problem reduces to understanding the expected value of each weight W_i.

The Right-Hand Side: $\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$

This suggests that the sum of the expectations over the weights, scaled by $\frac{1}{2}$, equals $\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. From this, it follows:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} E[W_i] = \frac{1}{n-1}
$$

which is an important insight: the sum of the expected weights equals $\frac{1}{n-1}$.

Implications

- If the weights W_i are random variables with certain properties, their expectations must sum to $\frac{1}{n-1}$.
- The problem may involve symmetric weights or those constrained by specific conditions, such as sums, normalization, or unbiasedness.

Connecting to the MVUE (Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator)

What is the MVUE?

The MVUE is a statistical estimator that is unbiased (its expected value equals the parameter of interest) and has the lowest variance among all unbiased estimators. It is often derived via the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem, involving sufficient and complete statistics.

Possible Context of the Question

The query "What is the MVUE for ?" suggests that the problem involves estimating a parameter—possibly the population mean, variance, or another quantity—and that the weights W_i are integral to constructing the MVUE.

Given the structure, the scenario could involve:

- Estimating a population mean μ using weighted observations.
- Using weights W_i to form an estimator $\hat{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i X_i$, where X_i are observations.
- Ensuring that the estimator is unbiased and has minimal variance, leading to the derivation of the MVUE.

Methodology for Proving the Identity

Step 1: Understand the Distribution of W_i

Determine whether W_i are fixed or random variables. If they are random, their distribution (e.g., Dirichlet, Beta, uniform) influences their expectations.

Step 2: Use Known Properties and Constraints

- Total sum constraints: For example, weights often satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n W_i = 1$ or similar.
- Symmetry: If weights are exchangeable or symmetric, expectations are uniform.
- Known expectations from previous exercises or standard identities.

Step 3: Leverage Linearity of Expectation

As shown earlier, the expectation of the sum simplifies to the sum of the expectations, which helps connect the probabilistic properties of W_i to the given expression.

Step 4: Apply Exercise 4.112

Recall any identities or properties from Exercise 4.112, which likely involve expectations of weights or related quantities. These could include:

- Variance properties.
- Distributional identities.
- Known sums or moments.

Step 5: Derive the Expectation

Show explicitly that:

$$
E \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \right] = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}
$$

by substituting known expectations and verifying the identity.

Significance and Applications

Why Is This Important?

Understanding such identities is crucial in statistical theory for:

- Deriving unbiased estimators.
- Establishing properties of estimators.
- Understanding the behavior of weights in sampling, Bayesian updating, or other estimation frameworks.

Practical Applications

- Designing optimal sampling schemes.
- Constructing estimators with desirable properties.
- Analyzing variance reduction techniques.

Features, Pros, and Cons

Features

- Involves fundamental concepts of expectations and weights.
- Connects to the theory of unbiased estimators and MVUE.
- Demonstrates how identities and constraints lead to specific expected values.

Pros

- Clarifies the relationship between weights and expectations.
- Reinforces understanding of linearity and properties of estimators.
- Provides insights into the structure of optimal estimators.

Cons

- Requires prior knowledge of similar identities or exercises.
- May involve complex distributional assumptions not explicitly stated.
- Could be abstract without concrete examples or data.

Summary and Final Remarks

In summary, the statement "Show that $E \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \right] = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$" hinges on understanding the properties of the weights W_i. By leveraging linearity of expectation, known constraints on the weights, and prior identities from related exercises, one can demonstrate this equality, which reflects a fundamental relationship in the theory of estimators.

Furthermore, the question about the MVUE underscores the importance of these identities in constructing estimators that are both unbiased and efficient. Recognizing the role of weights and their expectations is vital in statistical inference, especially when dealing with complex sampling schemes or Bayesian models.

This exploration highlights the interconnectedness of expectation identities, estimator properties, and optimality criteria—core themes that underpin advanced statistical theory and practice.

Note: For a complete understanding, reviewing Exercise 4.112 directly and examining the specific distribution or constraints on W_i in your context would be essential. This general analysis provides a foundational framework for tackling such identity proofs and understanding their significance in statistical estimation.

Show That E 1 2 N I 1 Wi 1 2 N 1 Hint Recall Exercise 4 112 E

What Is The Mvue For

Show That E 1 2 N I 1 Wi 1 2 N 1 Hint Recall Exercise 4 112 E What Is The Mvue For

Related Articles

- True Or False The Primary Factor In Determining The Most Efficient Access Plan Is The I/o Cost.
- 5. In What State Was The Manhattan Project Located?A. New Mexico B. CaliforniaC. ArizonaD. Texas
- 4.+what+is+the+level+of+sales+dollars+needed+for+legrand+to+earn+operating+income+of+10%+of+sales?

Back to Home