

WHAT DO YOU THINK A CHOCTAW OR A CHEROKEE PERSON AT THE SAME TIME WOULD SAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON

WHAT DO YOU THINK A CHOCTAW OR A CHEROKEE PERSON AT THE SAME TIME WOULD SAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON

THE LEGACY OF ANDREW JACKSON REMAINS ONE OF THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL AND DEBATED TOPICS IN AMERICAN HISTORY. AS A TOWERING FIGURE IN EARLY U.S. POLITICS, JACKSON'S POLICIES AND ACTIONS PROFOUNDLY IMPACTED NATIVE AMERICAN COMMUNITIES, ESPECIALLY THE CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE NATIONS. TO UNDERSTAND WHAT A CHOCTAW OR CHEROKEE PERSON FROM HIS ERA MIGHT SAY ABOUT JACKSON, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO CONSIDER THEIR PERSPECTIVES, EXPERIENCES, AND THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH HIM. THIS ARTICLE EXPLORES THE VIEWPOINTS OF CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE INDIVIDUALS DURING JACKSON'S PRESIDENCY AND HOW THEY WOULD HAVE PERCEIVED HIS POLICIES, PARTICULARLY REGARDING INDIAN REMOVAL AND SOVEREIGNTY.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: ANDREW JACKSON AND NATIVE AMERICAN NATIONS

ANDREW JACKSON'S RISE TO POWER

ANDREW JACKSON, THE SEVENTH PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (1829-1837), WAS KNOWN FOR HIS POPULIST APPROACH AND MILITARY PROWESS. HIS PRESIDENCY MARKED A PERIOD OF EXPANDING AMERICAN TERRITORY AND INFLUENCE, BUT ALSO OF INTENSE CONFLICT WITH NATIVE AMERICAN NATIONS. JACKSON'S REPUTATION AS A WAR HERO, ESPECIALLY FOLLOWING HIS VICTORY AT THE BATTLE OF NEW ORLEANS, HELPED HIM GAIN WIDESPREAD SUPPORT, BUT HIS POLICIES TOWARD NATIVE AMERICANS WOULD CAST A LONG SHADOW.

THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT OF 1830

ONE OF JACKSON'S MOST INFAMOUS POLICIES WAS THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT OF 1830, WHICH AUTHORIZED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO NEGOTIATE TREATIES THAT WOULD EXCHANGE NATIVE AMERICAN LAND EAST OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER FOR TERRITORY WEST OF IT. THIS LEGISLATION LED TO THE FORCED RELOCATION OF THOUSANDS OF NATIVE AMERICANS, INCLUDING THE CHEROKEE TRAIL OF TEARS, WHICH RESULTED IN SIGNIFICANT SUFFERING AND LOSS OF LIFE.

PERSPECTIVES OF CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE PEOPLE DURING JACKSON'S ERA

THE CHEROKEE NATION'S EXPERIENCE

THE CHEROKEE NATION, OFTEN CALLED THE "FIVE CIVILIZED TRIBES," SOUGHT TO ADAPT TO EUROPEAN-AMERICAN CULTURE, ESTABLISH A WRITTEN CONSTITUTION, AND MAINTAIN THEIR LANDS THROUGH LEGAL MEANS. HOWEVER, JACKSON'S ADMINISTRATION WAS HOSTILE TO THESE EFFORTS.

- **LEGAL RESISTANCE:** THE CHEROKEE NATION TOOK THEIR CASE TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN *WORCESTER V. GEORGIA* (1832), SEEKING TO PREVENT THEIR REMOVAL. THE COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF THE CHEROKEE, AFFIRMING THEIR SOVEREIGNTY.
- **JACKSON'S RESPONSE:** JACKSON REPORTEDLY DEFIED THE COURT'S DECISION, ALLEGEDLY STATING, "JOHN MARSHALL HAS MADE HIS DECISION; NOW LET HIM ENFORCE IT." THIS DISREGARD FOR LEGAL SOVEREIGNTY WOULD HAVE BEEN DEEPLY TROUBLING AND INFURIATING FOR CHEROKEE LEADERS AND CITIZENS.
- **PUBLIC SENTIMENT:** CHEROKEE INDIVIDUALS WOULD LIKELY VIEW JACKSON AS AN OBSTACLE TO JUSTICE AND SOVEREIGNTY, SEEING HIM AS THE EMBODIMENT OF FEDERAL POLICIES THAT MARGINALIZED THEIR NATION AND DISREGARDED

THEIR RIGHTS.

THE CHOCTAW NATION'S VIEWPOINT

THE CHOCTAW, ONE OF THE FIRST TRIBES AFFECTED BY JACKSON'S POLICIES, FACED IMMENSE PRESSURE AND HARDSHIP.

- **RELUCTANT COMPLIANCE AND RESISTANCE:** SOME CHOCTAW SOUGHT TO RESIST REMOVAL THROUGH LEGAL MEANS OR BY NEGOTIATING TREATIES, BUT MANY WERE FORCED TO LEAVE THEIR ANCESTRAL LANDS UNDER DURESS.
- **EXPERIENCES OF REMOVAL:** THE CHOCTAW'S FORCED MIGRATION, KNOWN AS THE "TRAIL OF TEARS," WAS MARKED BY SUFFERING, DISEASE, AND DEATH. CHOCTAW INDIVIDUALS WITNESSING THIS TRAGIC EVENT WOULD LIKELY CONDEMN JACKSON'S POLICIES AS UNJUST AND INHUMANE.
- **SENTIMENTS TOWARD JACKSON:** MANY CHOCTAW WOULD PERCEIVE JACKSON AS A DESTRUCTIVE FORCE, RESPONSIBLE FOR TEARING THEIR COMMUNITIES APART AND CAUSING IMMENSE SUFFERING.

COMMON THEMES IN NATIVE AMERICAN PERSPECTIVES ON ANDREW JACKSON

DISDAIN FOR REMOVAL POLICIES

BOTH CHEROKEE AND CHOCTAW PEOPLES WOULD LIKELY VIEW JACKSON'S INDIAN REMOVAL ACT AS A VIOLATION OF THEIR RIGHTS, SOVEREIGNTY, AND HUMANITY. THEY WOULD SEE IT AS A BETRAYAL OF TREATIES AND A DELIBERATE EFFORT TO DISPOSSESS THEM OF THEIR LANDS.

PERCEPTION OF JACKSON AS A OPPONENT OF NATIVE SOVEREIGNTY

NATIVE AMERICANS AT THE TIME WOULD HAVE PERCEIVED JACKSON AS A LEADER WHO PRIORITIZED WESTWARD EXPANSION AND WHITE SETTLEMENT OVER NATIVE RIGHTS. HIS POLICIES EFFECTIVELY UNDERMINED THEIR SOVEREIGNTY AND INDEPENDENCE.

EMOTIONAL AND CULTURAL IMPACT

THE FORCED RELOCATIONS CAUSED PROFOUND EMOTIONAL TRAUMA AND CULTURAL DISRUPTION. NATIVE INDIVIDUALS WOULD REMEMBER JACKSON AS A FIGURE ASSOCIATED WITH SUFFERING, LOSS, AND THE DESTRUCTION OF THEIR NATIONS' EXISTENCE.

REFLECTIONS IN TODAY'S PERSPECTIVE

WHILE HISTORICAL FIGURES ARE OFTEN ANALYZED THROUGH MODERN LENSES, UNDERSTANDING WHAT CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE PEOPLE FROM JACKSON'S ERA MIGHT SAY REQUIRES EMPATHY AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF THEIR EXPERIENCES.

POTENTIAL STATEMENTS FROM CHEROKEE AND CHOCTAW PERSPECTIVES

- "JACKSON'S POLICIES TORE APART OUR COMMUNITIES AND VIOLATED OUR SOVEREIGNTY."
- "HIS ACTIONS LED TO THE SUFFERING AND DEATH OF THOUSANDS OF OUR PEOPLE DURING THE TRAIL OF TEARS."
- "HE DISMISSED OUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND IGNORED OUR TREATIES, SHOWING A BLATANT DISREGARD FOR OUR SOVEREIGNTY."

- “WHILE HE WAS CELEBRATED AS A HERO BY SOME, TO US, HE WAS A SYMBOL OF BETRAYAL AND OPPRESSION.”

MODERN REFLECTIONS

TODAY, MANY NATIVE AMERICAN COMMUNITIES VIEW JACKSON WITH CRITICAL EYES, RECOGNIZING HIS ROLE IN THE SYSTEMIC REMOVAL AND MARGINALIZATION OF NATIVE PEOPLES. HIS LEGACY IS A REMINDER OF THE IMPORTANCE OF SOVEREIGNTY, JUSTICE, AND RESPECTFUL RELATIONS BETWEEN NATIONS.

CONCLUSION: THE DUALITY OF JACKSON'S LEGACY

ANDREW JACKSON'S PRESIDENCY EMBODIES A COMPLEX AND OFTEN PAINFUL CHAPTER IN AMERICAN HISTORY. FOR CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE INDIVIDUALS, JACKSON'S POLICIES LIKELY EVOKE FEELINGS OF BETRAYAL, GRIEF, AND ANGER. THEY WOULD SEE HIM AS A FIGURE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SUFFERING AND DISPOSSESSION OF THEIR ANCESTORS, WHOSE POLICIES CONTINUE TO INFLUENCE NATIVE AMERICAN LIVES TODAY.

UNDERSTANDING THE PERSPECTIVES OF CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE PEOPLES DURING JACKSON'S ERA ENRICHES OUR COMPREHENSION OF AMERICAN HISTORY'S DARKER CHAPTERS. IT UNDERSCORES THE IMPORTANCE OF ACKNOWLEDGING NATIVE VOICES AND HISTORIES IN SHAPING A MORE JUST AND INCLUSIVE FUTURE.

KEYWORDS FOR SEO OPTIMIZATION: ANDREW JACKSON, CHEROKEE PERSPECTIVE, CHOCTAW VIEW ON JACKSON, INDIAN REMOVAL ACT, TRAIL OF TEARS, NATIVE AMERICAN HISTORY, CHEROKEE NATION, CHOCTAW NATION, JACKSON AND NATIVE AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY, JACKSON'S LEGACY, NATIVE AMERICAN DISPLACEMENT

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

HOW MIGHT A CHEROKEE PERSON VIEW ANDREW JACKSON'S ROLE IN THE TRAIL OF TEARS?

A CHEROKEE INDIVIDUAL WOULD LIKELY SEE JACKSON'S ROLE AS DEEPLY HARMFUL, AS HE SIGNED THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT, LEADING TO THE FORCED RELOCATION OF CHEROKEE PEOPLE ALONG THE TRAIL OF TEARS, CAUSING SUFFERING AND LOSS OF LIFE.

WHAT WOULD A CHOCTAW PERSON SAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON'S POLICIES TOWARDS NATIVE AMERICANS?

A CHOCTAW PERSON MIGHT CRITICIZE JACKSON FOR HIS POLICIES THAT PRIORITIZED AMERICAN EXPANSION OVER NATIVE RIGHTS, VIEWING HIM AS A FIGURE RESPONSIBLE FOR DISPLACEMENT AND INJUSTICE AGAINST THEIR COMMUNITIES.

WOULD A CHEROKEE OR CHOCTAW PERSON SEE ANDREW JACKSON AS A HERO OR A VILLAIN?

MOST WOULD LIKELY SEE JACKSON AS A VILLAIN DUE TO HIS ROLE IN POLICIES THAT LED TO DISPLACEMENT, SUFFERING, AND THE EROSION OF NATIVE SOVEREIGNTY, RATHER THAN AS A HERO.

HOW DO MODERN NATIVE AMERICANS INTERPRET ANDREW JACKSON'S LEGACY?

MANY MODERN NATIVE AMERICANS VIEW JACKSON'S LEGACY CRITICALLY, RECOGNIZING HIS CONTRIBUTIONS TO AMERICAN HISTORY WHILE CONDEMNING HIS ACTIONS THAT CAUSED SUFFERING AND INJUSTICE TO NATIVE PEOPLES.

WOULD A CHEROKEE OR CHOCTAW INDIVIDUAL ACKNOWLEDGE ANY POSITIVE ASPECTS OF ANDREW JACKSON'S PRESIDENCY?

WHILE SOME MIGHT ACKNOWLEDGE JACKSON'S INFLUENCE ON AMERICAN DEMOCRACY, MOST WOULD EMPHASIZE THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF HIS POLICIES ON NATIVE COMMUNITIES, OVERSHADOWING ANY POSITIVE ASPECTS.

HOW MIGHT A CHEROKEE OR CHOCTAW PERSON FEEL ABOUT JACKSON'S PORTRAYAL IN AMERICAN HISTORY?

THEY MIGHT FEEL THAT JACKSON IS OFTEN GLORIFIED IN AMERICAN HISTORY, BUT THEY WOULD EMPHASIZE THE NEED TO RECOGNIZE THE SUFFERING HE CAUSED NATIVE NATIONS AND TO REASSESS HIS LEGACY CRITICALLY.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

WHAT DO YOU THINK A CHOCTAW OR A CHEROKEE PERSON AT THE SAME TIME WOULD SAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON?

THE LEGACY OF ANDREW JACKSON REMAINS ONE OF THE MOST CONTENTIOUS AND DEBATED TOPICS IN AMERICAN HISTORY. TO UNDERSTAND THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE NATIONS—TWO OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACTED INDIGENOUS GROUPS DURING JACKSON'S ERA—IT'S ESSENTIAL TO EXAMINE THEIR HISTORICAL EXPERIENCES, CULTURAL PERSPECTIVES, AND LONG-LASTING SENTIMENTS TOWARD JACKSON'S POLICIES AND ACTIONS. THIS EXPLORATION OFFERS A NUANCED VIEW OF HOW THESE NATIONS MIGHT HAVE PERCEIVED JACKSON, BOTH DURING HIS LIFETIME AND IN THE CONTEXT OF CURRENT HISTORICAL MEMORY.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: ANDREW JACKSON AND NATIVE AMERICAN NATIONS

THE ERA OF JACKSONIAN DEMOCRACY

ANDREW JACKSON (1767–1845), THE SEVENTH PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (1829–1837), IS OFTEN CELEBRATED AS THE "PEOPLE'S PRESIDENT" FOR HIS ADVOCACY OF POPULIST POLITICS. HOWEVER, HIS PRESIDENCY WAS ALSO MARKED BY POLICIES THAT PROFOUNDLY AFFECTED NATIVE AMERICAN NATIONS, ESPECIALLY THE CHEROKEE AND CHOCTAW, AMONG OTHERS.

JACKSON'S TENURE COINCIDED WITH THE EXPANSIONIST ETHOS OF MANIFEST DESTINY, WHICH PRIORITIZED AMERICAN SETTLEMENT AND TERRITORIAL GROWTH OFTEN AT THE EXPENSE OF INDIGENOUS SOVEREIGNTY. HIS ADMINISTRATION'S POLICIES REFLECTED A COMMITMENT TO REMOVING NATIVE TRIBES FROM THEIR ANCESTRAL LANDS, JUSTIFIED BY A MIXTURE OF RACIAL PREJUDICE, ECONOMIC INTERESTS, AND MANIFEST DESTINY IDEOLOGY.

THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT OF 1830

THE CORNERSTONE OF JACKSON'S POLICY TOWARDS NATIVE NATIONS WAS THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT, PASSED IN 1830. THIS LEGISLATION AUTHORIZED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO NEGOTIATE TREATIES THAT WOULD DISPLACE TRIBES FROM THEIR HOMELANDS IN THE SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES TO LANDS WEST OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER, PRIMARILY PRESENT-DAY OKLAHOMA.

THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT WAS PARTICULARLY DEVASTATING FOR THE CHEROKEE AND CHOCTAW, WHO HAD ESTABLISHED SIGNIFICANT SETTLEMENTS, DEVELOPED WRITTEN LANGUAGES, AND SOUGHT TO INTEGRATE WITH AMERICAN SOCIETY THROUGH LEGAL MEANS. JACKSON'S SUPPORT FOR THIS LAW SIGNALLED HIS ENDORSEMENT OF REMOVAL POLICIES THAT WOULD LEAD TO THE FORCED RELOCATION—MOST NOTORIOUSLY EXEMPLIFIED BY THE CHEROKEE TRAIL OF TEARS.

THE CHEROKEE NATION'S PERSPECTIVE ON ANDREW JACKSON

THE CHEROKEE NATION'S COMPLEX RESPONSE TO JACKSON

THE CHEROKEE NATION, OFTEN SEEN AS THE MOST LEGALLY ADVANCED AND ASSIMILATED OF THE SOUTHEASTERN TRIBES, INITIALLY SOUGHT TO COEXIST WITH AMERICAN SETTLERS THROUGH DIPLOMACY AND ADAPTATION. THEY ADOPTED A WRITTEN LANGUAGE, ESTABLISHED A CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT, AND EVEN ADOPTED AMERICAN-STYLE LAWS AND EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS.

DESPITE THESE EFFORTS, JACKSON'S POLICIES DIRECTLY THREATENED THEIR SOVEREIGNTY. THE CHEROKEE NATION'S RESPONSE CAN BE CHARACTERIZED BY A MIXTURE OF LEGAL RESISTANCE, NEGOTIATION, AND ULTIMATELY, PROFOUND SUFFERING.

LEGAL RESISTANCE AND THE TRAIL OF TEARS

- CHEROKEE NATION V. GEORGIA (1831): THE CHEROKEE CHALLENGED THE STATE OF GEORGIA'S LAWS THAT SOUGHT TO DIMINISH THEIR SOVEREIGNTY. ALTHOUGH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RULED THAT GEORGIA HAD NO AUTHORITY OVER CHEROKEE LANDS, JACKSON REPORTEDLY REFUSED TO ENFORCE THE RULING, ALLEGEDLY STATING, "JOHN MARSHALL HAS MADE HIS DECISION; NOW LET HIM ENFORCE IT." WHETHER APOCRYPHAL OR NOT, THIS INCIDENT SYMBOLIZES JACKSON'S DISREGARD FOR LEGAL PROTECTIONS OF NATIVE SOVEREIGNTY.

- THE TREATY OF NEW ECHOTA (1835): A SMALL FACTION OF CHEROKEE SIGNED THIS TREATY, CEDING ALL CHEROKEE LAND EAST OF THE MISSISSIPPI AND AGREEING TO REMOVAL. THIS TREATY WAS HIGHLY CONTROVERSIAL WITHIN THE CHEROKEE NATION, WITH THE MAJORITY OPPOSING IT. NONETHELESS, JACKSON'S ADMINISTRATION ENFORCED REMOVAL BASED ON THIS TREATY, LEADING TO THE TRAIL OF TEARS (1838-1839), DURING WHICH APPROXIMATELY 4,000 CHEROKEE DIED FROM EXPOSURE, DISEASE, AND STARVATION.

WHAT WOULD CHEROKEE LEADERS SAY?

A CHEROKEE LEADER OR ELDER FROM JACKSON'S TIME MIGHT HAVE EXPRESSED PROFOUND DISAPPOINTMENT OR EVEN ANGER TOWARD JACKSON'S POLICIES. THEIR PERSPECTIVE MIGHT INCLUDE:

- CONDEMNATION OF BROKEN TREATIES: VIEWING JACKSON AS SOMEONE WHO BETRAYED PROMISES MADE TO THEIR ANCESTORS.
- CONDEMNATION OF FORCED REMOVAL: SEEING THE TRAIL OF TEARS AS A TRAGIC, UNJUST ASSAULT ON THEIR PEOPLE'S SOVEREIGNTY AND DIGNITY.
- RESENTMENT TOWARD RACIAL PREJUDICE: RECOGNIZING JACKSON'S ROLE IN FOSTERING POLICIES ROOTED IN RACIAL SUPERIORITY, WHICH JUSTIFIED REMOVAL AND MARGINALIZATION.

CONTEMPORARY CHEROKEE REFLECTION

TODAY'S CHEROKEE PERSPECTIVE OFTEN FRAMES JACKSON AS A SYMBOL OF BETRAYAL AND INJUSTICE. THE NARRATIVE EMPHASIZES THE RESILIENCE OF THE CHEROKEE NATION AND THE ENDURING TRAUMA INFLICTED BY POLICIES JACKSON CHAMPIONED.

THE CHOCTAW NATION'S PERSPECTIVE ON ANDREW JACKSON

THE CHOCTAW'S EXPERIENCE UNDER JACKSON

THE CHOCTAW NATION WAS AMONG THE FIRST SOUTHEASTERN TRIBES TO BE SUBJECTED TO JACKSONIAN POLICIES. THEY INITIALLY ATTEMPTED TO ADAPT TO AMERICAN PRESSURES BY SIGNING TREATIES AND ENGAGING IN NEGOTIATIONS TO RETAIN SOME LAND AND RIGHTS.

HOWEVER, LIKE THE CHEROKEE, THEY FACED THE BRUTAL REALITY OF FORCED REMOVAL, CULMINATING IN THE INFAMOUS CHOCTAW TRAIL OF TEARS (1831-1833), WHICH PREDATES THE CHEROKEE TRAIL OF TEARS AND WAS THE FIRST LARGE-SCALE REMOVAL OF A NATIVE TRIBE.

IMPACT OF JACKSON'S POLICIES ON THE CHOCTAW

- TREATY OF DANCING RABBIT CREEK (1830): THIS TREATY RESULTED IN THE FORCED REMOVAL OF APPROXIMATELY 14,000 CHOCTAW FROM MISSISSIPPI TO INDIAN TERRITORY (PRESENT-DAY OKLAHOMA). IT WAS THE FIRST REMOVAL TREATY CARRIED OUT UNDER THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT.

- DEHUMANIZATION AND LOSS: THE CHOCTAW FACED HUNGER, DISEASE, AND EXPOSURE DURING THEIR JOURNEY. MANY VIEWED JACKSON'S POLICIES AS AN ACT OF CULTURAL GENOCIDE, ERASING THEIR COMMUNITIES AND DISRUPTING THEIR WAY OF LIFE.

WHAT WOULD A CHOCTAW ELDER SAY?

A CHOCTAW ELDER MIGHT REFLECT ON JACKSON'S POLICIES WITH A DEEP SENSE OF LOSS AND INJUSTICE:

- RECOGNITION OF BETRAYAL: VIEWING JACKSON AS SOMEONE WHO RUTHLESSLY DISMANTLED THEIR NATION'S SOVEREIGNTY.
- SUFFERING AND RESILIENCE: ACKNOWLEDGING THE SUFFERING INFLICTED BUT ALSO EMPHASIZING THE RESILIENCE OF THE CHOCTAW SPIRIT.
- HISTORICAL GRIEVANCES: SEEING JACKSON'S POLICIES AS A DARK CHAPTER THAT CONTINUES TO INFLUENCE NATIVE-AMERICAN RELATIONS AND PERCEPTIONS OF JUSTICE.

MODERN CHOCTAW PERSPECTIVES

TODAY, THE CHOCTAW NATION OFTEN HIGHLIGHTS THEIR HISTORY OF RESILIENCE AND SURVIVAL IN THE FACE OF JACKSON'S POLICIES. THEY VIEW JACKSON'S LEGACY CRITICALLY, EMPHASIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF NATIVE SOVEREIGNTY, CULTURAL PRESERVATION, AND HISTORICAL TRUTH.

SHARED INDIGENOUS PERSPECTIVES ON ANDREW JACKSON

COMMON THEMES IN NATIVE RESPONSES

DESPITE DIFFERENCES AMONG TRIBES, SEVERAL RECURRING THEMES EMERGE WHEN CONSIDERING HOW CHOCTAW AND CHEROKEE LEADERS AND COMMUNITIES MIGHT HAVE

VIEWED JACKSON:

- BETRAYAL OF TRUST: JACKSON'S ROLE IN VIOLATING TREATIES AND PROMISES MADE TO NATIVE NATIONS.
- INSTITUTIONALIZED DISPOSSESSION: THE INDIAN REMOVAL ACT AND SUBSEQUENT POLICIES AS SYSTEMIC EFFORTS TO DISPOSSESS AND MARGINALIZE NATIVE PEOPLES.
- CULTURAL AND SPIRITUAL IMPACT: THE FORCED RELOCATIONS NOT ONLY DISPLACED COMMUNITIES BUT ALSO THREATENED THEIR CULTURAL PRACTICES, SPIRITUAL SITES, AND WAYS OF LIFE.
- RACIAL PREJUDICE: JACKSON'S POLICIES WERE OFTEN JUSTIFIED THROUGH RACIAL STEREOTYPES AND NOTIONS OF RACIAL SUPERIORITY, DEEPLY OFFENDING INDIGENOUS NOTIONS OF EQUALITY AND DIGNITY.

HISTORICAL CRITICISM AND LEGACY

MANY NATIVE VOICES TODAY INTERPRET JACKSON AS A SYMBOL OF COLONIALISM, EXPLOITATION, AND RACIAL INJUSTICE. HIS POLICIES ARE VIEWED AS FOUNDATIONAL IN THE ONGOING OPPRESSION AND MARGINALIZATION OF NATIVE PEOPLES.

MODERN REFLECTIONS AND THE LEGACY OF JACKSON IN NATIVE MEMORY

RECONCILIATION AND RECOGNITION

IN RECENT DECADES, THERE HAS BEEN A CONCERTED EFFORT AMONG NATIVE COMMUNITIES TO REFRAME JACKSON'S LEGACY:

- CRITICAL REASSESSMENT: RECOGNIZING JACKSON'S ROLE IN PERPETUATING INJUSTICES AND ADVOCATING FOR THEIR REMOVAL FROM MONUMENTS OR HISTORICAL NARRATIVES THAT CELEBRATE HIM.
- EDUCATIONAL INITIATIVES: PROMOTING AWARENESS OF NATIVE PERSPECTIVES ON JACKSON'S POLICIES AND THEIR LASTING IMPACT.
- CULTURAL RESILIENCE: CELEBRATING NATIVE RESILIENCE AND RESISTANCE IN THE FACE OF JACKSON'S POLICIES, EMPHASIZING STORIES OF SURVIVAL, ADAPTATION, AND SOVEREIGNTY.

CONTEMPORARY NATIVE LEADERS' VIEWS

MANY NATIVE LEADERS TODAY OPENLY CRITICIZE JACKSON, VIEWING HIM AS A FIGURE EMBLEMATIC OF COLONIAL VIOLENCE. THEY ADVOCATE FOR HONORING NATIVE HISTORIES AND ENSURING THAT THE INJUSTICES OF HIS ERA ARE ACKNOWLEDGED AND REMEMBERED.

CONCLUSION: WHAT WOULD A CHOCTAW OR CHEROKEE PERSON SAY ABOUT JACKSON?

BASED ON HISTORICAL RECORDS, CULTURAL CONTEXTS, AND CONTEMPORARY NATIVE PERSPECTIVES, IT IS LIKELY THAT A CHOCTAW OR CHEROKEE PERSON FROM JACKSON'S TIME—OR FROM THEIR DESCENDANTS—WOULD HAVE DESCRIBED ANDREW JACKSON AS:

- A BETRAYER: SOMEONE WHO BROKE TREATIES AND BETRAYED THE TRUST OF NATIVE NATIONS.

- AN OPPRESSOR: A FIGURE RESPONSIBLE FOR IMMENSE SUFFERING THROUGH POLICIES OF REMOVAL, DISPLACEMENT, AND CULTURAL DESTRUCTION.

- A SYMBOL OF INJUSTICE: EMBODYING A PERIOD OF SYSTEMIC VIOLENCE AND RACIAL PREJUDICE THAT DEVASTATED THEIR COMMUNITIES.

- A REMINDER OF RESILIENCE: DESPITE THE TRAUMA INFLICTED, STORIES OF NATIVE RESILIENCE, RESISTANCE, AND SOVEREIGNTY PERSIST AND SERVE AS A TESTAMENT TO THEIR ENDURING SPIRIT.

IN SUM, THE NATIVE PERSPECTIVE ON ANDREW JACKSON IS OVERWHELMINGLY CRITICAL, EMPHASIZING THE PROFOUND INJUSTICES INFLICTED UPON THEIR PEOPLES AND THE ONGOING IMPORTANCE OF ACKNOWLEDGING THESE HISTORIES IN THE PURSUIT OF JUSTICE AND RECONCILIATION.

[WHAT DO YOU THINK A CHOCTAW OR A CHEROKEE PERSON AT THE SAME TIME WOULD SAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON](#)

WHAT DO YOU THINK A CHOCTAW OR A CHEROKEE PERSON AT THE SAME TIME

WOULDSAY ABOUT ANDREW JACKSON

RELATED ARTICLES

- [USING THE GCF, WHAT IS THE FACTORED FORM OF \$75 - 3x\$? PLEASE I NEED HELP!!! U WILL GET 100 POINTS!!!](#)
- [ONE ADDED TO THE SQUARE ROOT OF THE SUM OF A NUMBER AND ONE IS EQUAL TO FOUR. FIND THE NUMBER](#)
- [FILL IN THE BLANKS TO SOLVE EACH OF THESE EQUATIONS. ONLY 1 TERM SHOULD BE ENTERED IN EACH BLANK.](#)

[BACK TO HOME](#)