A Consumer Spends $1581 Per Week On Two Goods X And Y. Px = $11 And Py = $8.00. He Maximizes Utility

A Consumer Spends $1581 Per Week On Two Goods X And Y. Px = $11 And Py = $8.00. He Maximizes Utility

---

Introduction

Understanding consumer behavior is fundamental in economics, especially when analyzing how individuals allocate their limited budgets across various goods and services. The concept of utility maximization explains how consumers make choices that yield the highest satisfaction possible given their income constraints. In this context, we examine a specific scenario where a consumer spends a total of $1,581 per week on two goods, X and Y, with known prices of $11 and $8 respectively. The consumer aims to maximize utility, balancing their expenditure to achieve the highest level of satisfaction.

This article provides a comprehensive analysis of this scenario, exploring the principles of budget constraints, utility functions, and optimal consumption choices. Through detailed calculations and explanations, readers will gain insights into consumer equilibrium and the application of marginal utility theory.

Context and Relevance of Consumer Utility Maximization

Consumer utility maximization is a core concept in microeconomics, forming the basis for understanding demand behavior. It explains how consumers decide the quantity of each good they should purchase to maximize their overall satisfaction given their budget constraints.

In real-world settings, consumers face multiple choices with limited income, and their decisions depend on factors such as prices, preferences, and the marginal utility derived from each good. Analyzing such scenarios helps businesses and policymakers understand demand patterns, set pricing strategies, and formulate policies that influence consumer welfare.

The specific problem of a consumer spending a fixed amount on two goods with known prices exemplifies these principles. It demonstrates how consumers allocate their income and how changes in prices or income affect their consumption choices.

The Given Scenario: Key Data and Assumptions

- Total weekly expenditure: $1,581
- Price of Good X (Px): $11
- Price of Good Y (Py): $8
- Consumer's goal: Maximize utility

Assumptions made for analysis:
- The consumer spends the entire income, i.e., no savings.
- The consumer's preferences are rational and consistent.
- The utility function is well-behaved (diminishing marginal utility).

Step 1: Calculating the Consumer’s Budget Constraint

The budget constraint represents all possible combinations of goods X and Y that the consumer can afford with their total income.

The budget equation:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1581
$$

Where:
- X = quantity of Good X
- Y = quantity of Good Y

The consumer's feasible set of consumption bundles lies on or below this line.

Step 2: Understanding Utility Maximization

Consumers aim to choose X and Y such that their utility is maximized, subject to the budget constraint.

This involves:
- Marginal Utility (MU): Additional satisfaction from consuming an extra unit.
- Marginal Utility per Dollar: MU_x / P_x and MU_y / P_y

The optimal point occurs where:

$$
\frac{MU_x}{P_x} = \frac{MU_y}{P_y}
$$

This condition ensures the consumer allocates their budget to equalize the marginal utility per dollar across goods.

Step 3: Deriving the Optimal Consumption Bundle

Without explicit utility functions, we rely on the equimarginal principle and the assumption of utility maximization.

Suppose the consumer's utility function is:

$$
U(X, Y) = \text{some function of } X \text{ and } Y
$$

To find the optimal bundle, the consumer would:

1. Calculate the marginal utilities MU_x and MU_y.
2. Set $\frac{MU_x}{11} = \frac{MU_y}{8}$.
3. Solve the budget constraint for X and Y.

Note: Since the utility function isn't specified, we can explore different possible scenarios or assume typical diminishing marginal utility to illustrate the process.

Step 4: Calculating Quantities Based on Budget

Assuming the consumer spends the entire income:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1581
$$

Let's consider different combinations:

- If the consumer spends all on Good X:

$$
X_{max} = \frac{1581}{11} \approx 143.73 \text{ units}
$$

- If the consumer spends all on Good Y:

$$
Y_{max} = \frac{1581}{8} \approx 197.625 \text{ units}
$$

These are the corner solutions, but utility maximization typically involves a mix of both goods.

Step 5: Utility Maximization with Marginal Utility Ratios

Suppose the consumer's marginal utility per unit for goods X and Y follow a pattern where:

- MU_x decreases as X increases.
- MU_y decreases as Y increases.

The optimal mix occurs where:

$$
\frac{MU_x}{11} = \frac{MU_y}{8}
$$

If we assume specific marginal utilities, for example:

- $MU_x = 20 - 0.1X$
- $MU_y = 25 - 0.1Y$

At equilibrium:

$$
\frac{20 - 0.1X}{11} = \frac{25 - 0.1Y}{8}
$$

Cross-multiplied:

\[

$$
8(20 - 0.1X) = 11(25 - 0.1Y)
$$

Calculations:

$$
160 - 0.8X = 275 - 1.1Y
$$

Rearranged:

$$
1.1Y = 275 - 160 + 0.8X
$$
$$
1.1Y = 115 + 0.8X
$$
$$
Y = \frac{115 + 0.8X}{1.1}
$$

Now, substitute into the budget constraint:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1581
$$
$$
11X + 8 \times \frac{115 + 0.8X}{1.1} = 1581
$$

Calculations:

$$
11X + \frac{8 \times 115 + 8 \times 0.8X}{1.1} = 1581
$$
$$
11X + \frac{920 + 6.4X}{1.1} = 1581
$$

Multiply through by 1.1 to clear denominator:

$$
1.1 \times 11X + 920 + 6.4X = 1581 \times 1.1
$$

$$
12.1X + 920 + 6.4X = 1739.1
$$

$$
(12.1 + 6.4)X + 920 = 1739.1
$$

$$
18.5X + 920 = 1739.1
$$

Subtract 920:

$$
18.5X = 819.1
$$

Solve for X:

$$
X \approx \frac{819.1}{18.5} \approx 44.23
$$

Calculate Y:

$$
Y = \frac{115 + 0.8 \times 44.23}{1.1} \approx \frac{115 + 35.38}{1.1} \approx \frac{150.38}{1.1} \approx 136.71
$$

Optimal Consumption:

- Good X: approximately 44 units
- Good Y: approximately 137 units

Total expenditure:

$$
11 \times 44 + 8 \times 137 = 484 + 1096 = 1580
$$

which is very close to the total income ($1,581), validating the calculation.

Step 6: Interpreting the Results

The consumer, aiming to maximize utility, should purchase approximately:

- 44 units of Good X
- 137 units of Good Y

This combination exhausts the budget and satisfies the marginal utility per dollar condition.

Additional Considerations

- Impact of Price Changes: If prices change, the optimal bundle shifts accordingly.
- Income Variations: An increase in income allows for higher consumption of both goods.
- Preferences and Utility Functions: Different utility functions alter the optimal choices, but the principle of equating marginal utility per dollar remains constant.

Conclusion

In summary, a consumer who allocates $1,581 weekly between Goods X and Y, priced at $11 and $8 respectively, maximizes utility by purchasing approximately 44 units of Good X and 137 units of Good Y under typical diminishing marginal utility assumptions. This example illustrates fundamental microeconomic principles, including budget constraints, marginal utility, and consumer equilibrium, providing valuable insights for understanding consumer decision-making in real-world markets.

By analyzing such scenarios, businesses and policymakers can better predict consumer responses to price and income changes, ultimately supporting more effective economic strategies and welfare improvements.

SEO Keywords and Phrases

- Consumer utility maximization
- Budget constraint analysis
- Optimal consumption bundle
- Marginal utility per dollar
- Consumer choice theory
- Price impact on demand

- Microeconomics consumer behavior
- Income and expenditure analysis
- Utility maximization example
- Price elasticity of demand

Frequently Asked Questions

How does the consumer determine the optimal combination of goods X and Y to maximize utility?

The consumer maximizes utility by allocating their budget so that the marginal utility per dollar spent on each good is equal, i.e., $MUx/Px = MUy/Py$, subject to their total budget constraint.

What is the total weekly expenditure of the consumer on goods X and Y?

The consumer spends a total of $1,581 per week on goods X and Y combined.

Given the prices Px = $11 and Py = $8, what is the total quantity of goods X and Y purchased weekly?

While the total expenditure is $1,581, the quantities depend on the consumer's utility maximization, which can be determined using the budget constraint and marginal utilities. For example, if the consumer spends all on X, they buy approximately 143.7 units (1581/11), and if all on Y, about 197.6 units (1581/8). The actual combination is somewhere between these extremes.

How does the consumer's utility maximization relate to the prices of goods X and Y?

The consumer maximizes utility by choosing quantities where the ratio of marginal utility to price is equal for both goods, ensuring the most efficient allocation of their budget.

If the price of good X decreases, how will that affect the consumer's optimal consumption bundle?

A decrease in Px makes good X relatively cheaper, leading the consumer to buy more of X and possibly less of Y, increasing overall utility within their budget constraints.

What role does the concept of marginal utility play in this consumer's decision-making process?

Marginal utility helps the consumer decide how to allocate spending such that the last dollar spent on each good provides the same additional utility, optimizing overall satisfaction.

Can the consumer increase their total utility without changing their total expenditure of $1,581?

Yes, by reallocating their spending between goods X and Y to the point where the marginal utility per dollar is equalized, they can increase overall utility without changing total expenditure.

What is the significance of the prices Px = $11 and Py = $8 in the consumer's utility maximization?

These prices determine the slope of the budget line and influence how the consumer balances their spending between goods X and Y to reach the highest possible utility.

How would a change in the consumer's weekly budget impact their consumption of goods X and Y?

An increase in the budget allows for higher quantities of both goods (assuming preferences remain unchanged), potentially increasing utility, while a decrease restricts consumption to a lower level.

Additional Resources

A Consumer Spends $1581 Per Week On Two Goods X And Y. Px = $11 And Py = $8.00. He Maximizes Utility

In the realm of consumer decision-making, understanding how individuals allocate their income to maximize satisfaction is fundamental to both economic theory and practical market analysis. Today, we explore a detailed case where a consumer spends exactly $1,581 weekly on two goods, X and Y, with prices set at $11 and $8 respectively. Our goal is to analyze the consumer's optimal choice, the underlying principles guiding this decision, and the implications of such behavior. Through this exploration, we shed light on the foundational concepts of utility maximization, budget constraints, and the marginal utility theory that drive consumer choices.

Understanding the Scenario: Basic Data and Assumptions

Before delving into the optimization process, it is essential to clarify the key figures and assumptions involved:

- Total Weekly Expenditure: $1,581
- Prices:
- Good X: $11 per unit
- Good Y: $8 per unit
- Objective: Maximize utility subject to the budget constraint

The consumer's weekly income is implicitly given through the total expenditure and prices, but for clarity, we can determine the exact income level:

$$
\text{Income} = \text{Total Spending} = \$1,581
$$

This means that the consumer's budget constraint can be expressed as:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1,581
$$

where X and Y are the quantities of Goods X and Y purchased respectively.

Assumptions:
- The consumer aims to maximize utility (satisfaction).
- Utility is a function of the quantities of X and Y.
- The consumer’s preferences are rational, transitive, and continuous.
- Goods are divisible, allowing for fractional quantities.
- Prices remain constant during the period.

Budget Constraint and Feasible Choices

The cornerstone of consumer choice theory is the budget constraint, which delineates all feasible combinations of X and Y that the consumer can afford. It captures the trade-offs the consumer faces given their income and market prices.

The Budget Line Equation:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1,581
$$

This equation can be rearranged to express Y as a function of X:

$$
Y = \frac{1,581 - 11X}{8}
$$

Graphically, the budget line slopes downward, with the intercepts at:

- When $X = 0$:

$$
Y = \frac{1,581}{8} \approx 197.625
$$

- When $Y = 0$:

$$
X = \frac{1,581}{11} \approx 143.727
$$

These intercepts define the maximum quantities of each good the consumer could buy if they spent all their income on only one good.

Feasible Consumption Set:

Any combination (X, Y) that satisfies the budget constraint is feasible. The consumer's goal is to choose a point within this set that provides the highest utility.

Utility Function and Marginal Utility

To analyze the consumer's optimal choice, we need to conceptualize their preferences via a utility function:

$$
U = U(X, Y)
$$

While the specific functional form is not provided, standard assumptions include:

- Diminishing Marginal Utility: The additional satisfaction from consuming an extra unit decreases as consumption increases.
- Convex Preferences: Indicating a preference for balanced bundles over extreme ones.

Commonly used utility functions in such analyses include Cobb-Douglas, perfect substitutes, or perfect complements. Given the context, we assume a typical utility function that exhibits diminishing marginal utility, such as:

$$
U(X, Y) = X^\alpha Y^\beta
$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

Marginal Utilities:

- Marginal utility of X:

$$
MU_X = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}
$$

- Marginal utility of Y:

$$
MU_Y = \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y}
$$

The consumer maximizes utility when the marginal rate of substitution (MRS) equals the ratio of prices:

$$
\text{MRS}_{XY} = \frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = \frac{P_X}{P_Y}
$$

which, in this case, is:

$$
\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = \frac{11}{8} = 1.375
$$

This condition ensures the consumer allocates their income efficiently, equating the rate at which they are willing to substitute Y for X to the market's substitution rate.

Optimal Consumption: The Utility Maximization Condition

The core principle in utility maximization states:

$$
\text{Choose } (X, Y) \text{ to maximize } U(X, Y) \text{ subject to } 11X + 8Y = 1,581
$$

Methodology:

1. Set up the Lagrangian:

$$
\mathcal{L} = U(X, Y) - \lambda (11X + 8Y - 1,581)
$$

2. First-Order Conditions (FOCs):

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X} = MU_X - \lambda 11 = 0
$$
$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y} = MU_Y - \lambda 8 = 0
$$
$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 11X + 8Y - 1,581 = 0
$$

3. Derive the optimality condition:

Dividing the first two FOCs:

$$
\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = \frac{11}{8}
$$

which aligns with the earlier MRS condition, confirming the optimality criterion.

Finding the Optimal Bundle:

- The consumer chooses X and Y such that:

$$
\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = \frac{11}{8}
$$

- Along with the budget constraint:

$$
11X + 8Y = 1,581
$$

Depending on the utility function, the exact quantities can be calculated. For illustration, assume a Cobb-Douglas utility:

$$
U(X, Y) = X^{0.5} Y^{0.5}
$$

This utility function is symmetric and exhibits diminishing marginal utility.

- Marginal utilities:

$$
MU_X = 0.5 X^{-0.5} Y^{0.5}
$$

$$
MU_Y = 0.5 Y^{-0.5} X^{0.5}
$$

- The MRS:

$$
\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = \frac{Y}{X}
$$

Set equal to the price ratio:

$$
\frac{Y}{X} = \frac{11}{8}
$$

$$
Y = \frac{11}{8} X
$$

- Plug into the budget constraint:

$$
11X + 8 \left(\frac{11}{8}X\right) = 1,581
$$

$$
11X + 11X = 1,581
$$

$$
22X = 1,581
$$

$$
X = \frac{1,581}{22} \approx 71.86
$$

- Find Y:

$$
Y = \frac{11}{8} \times 71.86 \approx 98.73
$$

Conclusion:

The consumer's optimal bundle, under the Cobb-Douglas assumption, involves approximately:

- X: 72 units
- Y: 99 units

This combination exhausts the budget and aligns the marginal rate of substitution with the price ratio, leading to utility maximization.

Implications and Real-World Significance

Understanding such decision-making processes is vital for multiple stakeholders:

- Consumers: Knowing how to allocate their income efficiently can enhance satisfaction.
- Businesses: Insights into consumer preferences guide pricing strategies and product offerings.
- Policy Makers: Recognizing consumption patterns aids in designing effective economic policies.

In this specific scenario, the consumer's spending pattern reflects a balanced approach to maximizing utility given the prices and budget constraints. The analysis demonstrates how rational consumers evaluate marginal benefits against costs to arrive at optimal choices—a cornerstone of microeconomic theory.

Final Remarks: The Broader Context

While the simplified assumptions and specific utility functions aid in illustrating the process, real-world consumer behavior can be more complex, involving factors like preferences heterogeneity, changing prices, income variations, and psychological influences. Nonetheless, the fundamental principles of utility maximization, budget constraints, and marginal analysis provide a robust framework for understanding and predicting consumer decisions.

By dissecting this case, we've not only illuminated the mechanics behind a weekly expenditure of $1,581 on Goods X and Y but also underscored the enduring relevance of core economic concepts. Whether in personal finance, corporate strategy, or public policy, these principles serve as vital tools for navigating the intricate landscape of consumer choice and market dynamics.

A Consumer Spends 1581 Per Week On Two Goods X And Y Px 11 And Py 8 00 He Maximizes Utility

A Consumer Spends 1581 Per Week On Two Goods X And Y Px 11 And Py 8 00 He Maximizes Utility

Related Articles

- 5. A. What Technology Produced The Results Illustrated Here, And What Is Thistechnology Used For? (1
- A Non-serious OSHA Violation Results In A ____.A) Discretionary Fine Of Up To $7,000B) Fine Of Up To
- A 0.03 Mol Sample Of NH4NO3 (s) Is Placed In A 1 L Evacuated Flask, Which Is Then Sealed And Heated.

Back to Home