Find The Exact Extreme Values Of The Function= F(x, Y) = (x-28)+(y-1)2 + 310subject To The Following

Find The Exact Extreme Values Of The Function= F(x, Y) = (x-28)+(y-1)2 + 310subject To The Following

When analyzing a function to determine its extreme values, especially in the context of constrained optimization, it's crucial to understand both the nature of the function and the constraints involved. In this article, we focus on the function:

$$F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310$$

and explore how to find its exact maximum and minimum values, given specific constraints. Although the above function appears straightforward, the process involves understanding the function's behavior, identifying critical points, and applying appropriate methods such as Lagrange multipliers or substitution techniques based on the constraints.

This comprehensive guide will walk you through the steps of solving such optimization problems systematically.

Understanding the Function and Its Components

Before diving into the methods, it's essential to analyze the function's structure:

$$F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310$$

- Linear term in x: $(x - 28)$
- Quadratic term in y: $(y - 1)^2$
- Constant term: 310

This function combines a linear component in x and a quadratic component in y, shifted by constants. The quadratic term $(y - 1)^2$ is always non-negative and attains its minimum at $y = 1$. The linear term in x suggests that the function increases as x increases beyond 28 and decreases as x approaches values less than 28.

Key observations:

- The minimum value of $(y - 1)^2$ occurs at $y = 1$, where it equals 0.
- The term $(x - 28)$ is minimized at $x = 28$ (since it's linear),

but depending on constraints, the minimum or maximum may shift.

Next, we analyze the constraints that are applied to the problem, as they are essential in determining the exact extreme values.

Understanding Constraints and Their Role

Constraints typically restrict the domain of x and y, shaping the feasible region where the optimization occurs. Common constraints include:

- Equality constraints: e.g., $g(x, y) = 0$
- Inequality constraints: e.g., $h(x, y) \leq 0$

For the purpose of this problem, since the constraints are not explicitly provided in the initial statement, we will consider common scenarios:

1. Unconstrained Optimization: No restrictions on x and y.
2. Box Constraints: x and y limited within finite intervals, e.g.,
$$
a \leq x \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad c \leq y \leq d
$$
3. General constraints: For example, $g(x, y) = 0$ or $h(x, y) \leq 0$.

In real-world applications, constraints could be physical limits, budget restrictions, or other inequalities. Regardless of the specific constraints, the approach involves:

- Finding critical points within the feasible region.
- Evaluating the function at boundary points.
- Comparing these values to determine the global extremum.

In this article, we'll explore both unconstrained and constrained scenarios.

Finding the Extreme Values Without Constraints

If the problem has no constraints, the process simplifies:

Step 1: Find critical points by setting derivatives to zero

Calculate the partial derivatives:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 1
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2(y - 1)
$$

Set these derivatives to zero to find critical points:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 0 \Rightarrow 1 = 0 \quad \text{(no solution)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0 \Rightarrow 2(y - 1) = 0 \Rightarrow y = 1
$$

Observation: Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 1 \neq 0$, the function has no critical points in the interior of the domain with respect to x. The function is unbounded in the x-direction, increasing as $x \to \infty$ and decreasing as $x \to -\infty$.

Step 2: Determine the nature of the function

- As $x \to +\infty$, $F(x, y) \to +\infty$.
- As $x \to -\infty$, $F(x, y) \to -\infty$.

Similarly, F is minimized when $y = 1$, as $(y - 1)^2 \geq 0$.

Step 3: Find the minimum and maximum

- Minimum value: Occurs at $y = 1$, with $x \to -\infty$, $F \to -\infty$. So, there is no finite minimum unless constrained.
- Maximum value: $F \to +\infty$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Conclusion: Without constraints, the function is unbounded. To find meaningful extrema, constraints are necessary.

Note: This highlights the importance of constraints in real-world optimization problems.

Finding Exact Extreme Values Under Constraints

When constraints are present, the problem becomes well-defined, and we can find exact maximum and minimum values within the feasible region.

Suppose the constraints are:

- $x \in [x_{min}, x_{max}]$
- $y \in [y_{min}, y_{max}]$

or more generally,

- $g(x, y) = 0$ or $h(x, y) \leq 0$.

Step 1: Identify the feasible region

Define the domain based on the constraints. For example:

$$
\text{Feasible region } R = \{ (x, y) \mid \text{constraints hold} \}
$$

Step 2: Find critical points inside the region

Calculate the derivatives:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 1
$$
$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2(y - 1)
$$

- As before, the derivative with respect to x is constant and never zero, indicating no interior critical point with respect to x.

- The derivative with respect to y is zero at $y = 1$.

If the constraints permit, the critical point is at:

$$
\boxed{
\begin{cases}
y = 1 \\
x \text{ arbitrary within constraints}
\end{cases}
}
$$

Step 3: Check boundary points

Since the interior critical points are limited, the extrema are likely on the boundary of the feasible region.

- Evaluate F at the boundary points:

$$
F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310
$$

- For each boundary, substitute the boundary values of x and y.

Example:

Suppose the constraints are:

$$
x \in [20, 35], \quad y \in [0, 2]
$$

then, evaluate F at:

- Corners:

1. $(x, y) = (20, 0)$
2. $(20, 2)$
3. $(35, 0)$
4. $(35, 2)$

- Along the edges where $y = 1$, check $x = 20$ and $x = 35$.

Step 4: Compute the function at these points

- At $(20, 0)$:

$$
F = (20 - 28) + (0 - 1)^2 + 310 = (-8) + 1 + 310 = 303
$$

- At $(20, 2)$:

$$
F = (-8) + (2 - 1)^2 + 310 = (-8) + 1 + 310 = 303
$$

- At $(35, 0)$:

$$
F = (35 - 28) + 1 + 310 = 7 + 1 + 310 = 318
$$

- At $(35, 2)$:

$$
F = 7 + 1 + 310 = 318
$$

- At (x, y) with $y=1$:

- $(20, 1)$:

$$
F = (20 - 28) + 0 + 310 = -8 + 0 + 310 = 302

\]

- \((35, 1) \):

\[
F = (35 - 28) + 0 + 310 = 7 + 0 + 310 =

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the primary goal when finding the exact extreme values of the function F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310?

The primary goal is to determine the maximum and minimum values of the function within the given constraints, identifying the points where these extrema occur.

How do you identify the critical points of the function F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310?

By taking the partial derivatives of F with respect to x and y, setting them equal to zero, and solving for x and y to find potential extremum points.

What role do constraints play in finding the extreme values of the function?

Constraints limit the domain of the function, so the extrema are found either at critical points within the feasible region or along the boundary defined by the constraints.

What is the significance of the term (y - 1)^2 in the function?

The term (y - 1)^2 is always non-negative and affects the location of the minimum, making y = 1 a critical point for minimization.

How can the method of Lagrange multipliers be applied if the constraints are equality-based?

Lagrange multipliers involve setting up equations that incorporate the original function and the constraints, solving simultaneously for the variables and multipliers to find extrema.

Does the function F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310 have a global minimum, and if so, where?

Yes, the function attains a global minimum at the point where y = 1, and x is minimized within the constraints, typically at x = 28 if no other constraints are specified.

How do the constraints influence whether the extrema are found at critical points or boundary points?

If the critical points lie outside the feasible region defined by the constraints, the extrema occur at boundary points; otherwise, they occur at the critical points within the region.

What is the effect of the constant term 310 in the function on the extrema?

The constant term shifts the entire function vertically but does not affect the location of the extrema; it only influences the minimum and maximum values.

How would you verify whether a critical point is a maximum or minimum?

By analyzing the second derivatives or the Hessian matrix at the critical point; positive definiteness indicates a minimum, negative definiteness indicates a maximum.

What are the common steps involved in solving for the exact extreme values of the given function subject to constraints?

Identify the constraints, find critical points by setting partial derivatives to zero, evaluate boundary points, and compare the function values to determine the exact extrema within the feasible region.

Additional Resources

Extreme Value Analysis of the Function $F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310$

Introduction: Understanding the Significance of Finding Extreme Values in Multivariable Functions

In the realm of mathematics and applied sciences, the quest to identify the extreme values—that is, the minimum and maximum points—of a function is fundamental. Whether it's optimizing a manufacturing process, minimizing costs, or analyzing physical systems, knowing where a function attains its lowest or highest point is crucial.

The function $F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310$ exemplifies a relatively straightforward yet illustrative case of multivariable optimization. As an expert analysis, this article will guide you through the process of locating the exact extreme values of this function, providing a comprehensive understanding of the techniques involved, the reasoning behind each step, and the implications of the results.

Decomposing the Function: Analyzing Its Components

Before diving into the calculus, let's break down the structure of the function:

$$
F(x, y) = (x - 28) + (y - 1)^2 + 310
$$

Key observations:

- The function is composed of three parts:
1. A linear term in x: $(x - 28)$
2. A quadratic term in y: $(y - 1)^2$
3. A constant offset: 310

- The quadratic term $(y - 1)^2$ is always non-negative, attaining its minimum value of 0 when $y = 1$.

- The linear term in x, $(x - 28)$, varies linearly with x. It is minimized when x is as close as possible to 28, but since it is unbounded over the entire real line, the function's behavior depends on the constraints applied.

Establishing Constraints and the Scope of Optimization

To determine the exact extreme values, it's crucial to clarify whether the function is optimized over:

- The entire real plane $\mathbb{R}^2$,
- A constrained region (e.g., a bounded domain),
- Or specific conditions.

In the absence of explicit constraints, we assume the domain is all real numbers for both x and y.

Finding the Critical Points: Use of Calculus Techniques

The process of locating extrema involves calculus, specifically taking partial derivatives and analyzing their zeros.

Step 1: Compute Partial Derivatives

- Partial derivative with respect to x:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 1
$$

- Partial derivative with respect to y:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2(y - 1)
$$

Step 2: Find Critical Points

Critical points occur where the gradient vector is zero or undefined. Since the derivatives are defined everywhere, set them to zero:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 0 \Rightarrow 1 = 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0 \Rightarrow 2(y - 1) = 0 \Rightarrow y = 1
$$

Observation: The partial derivative with respect to x is always 1, which never equals zero. This indicates that the function has no stationary point with respect to x, implying the function doesn't have a local extremum in x.

Interpreting the Derivative Results: Monotonicity and Behavior

Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 1$ for all x, the function F increases linearly with x. This means:

- The function is strictly increasing in x, with no internal minimum or maximum along the x-axis.
- The minimum value with respect to x occurs at the lowest x value considered (or approaching $-\infty$), and similarly, the maximum at the highest x.

For the y-component, the derivative $2(y - 1)$ equals zero at $y=1$, indicating a critical point in y.

Finding the Extreme Values: Combining the Analysis

Given the above:

- The function is unbounded in x, decreasing towards $-\infty$ as $x \to -\infty$, and increasing towards $+\infty$ as $x \to +\infty$.

- In y, the quadratic term $(y - 1)^2$ is minimized at $y=1$, with a minimum value of 0.

Minimum Value of F over $\mathbb{R}^2$

Since F decreases without bound as $x \to -\infty$, there is no finite global minimum unless constraints are specified.

However, if we consider the least value for F at a fixed x, the minimal value is achieved when:

- $y = 1$ (to minimize the quadratic component),
- x is as low as possible (approaching $-\infty$).

Thus, the infimum of F over $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$
\boxed{
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}} F(x, y) = -\infty
}
$$

Maximum Value of F over $\mathbb{R}^2$

Similarly, as $x \to +\infty$, $F \to +\infty$. Therefore, the supremum is:

$$
\boxed{
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}} F(x, y) = +\infty
}
$$

Special Case: Finding the Minimal and Maximal Values under Constraints

Suppose the problem is constrained, such as:

- $x \in [a, b]$,
- $y \in [c, d]$.

In such cases, the extreme values are achieved at boundary points, and the problem reduces to evaluating $F(x, y)$ at the vertices of the domain.

For example, if $x \in [x_{min}, x_{max}]$ and $y \in [y_{min}, y_{max}]$, then:

- The minimum is at:

$$
\text{Point} = \left(x_{min}, y_{min} \text{ or } y_{max} \right)
$$

depending on the nature of F over the domain.

- The maximum is at:

$$
\text{Point} = \left(x_{max}, y_{min} \text{ or } y_{max} \right)
$$

since F is increasing in x.

Summary of Findings: Exact Extreme Values

Scenario	Exact Extreme Value	Conditions	Remarks
Unbounded domain $\mathbb{R}^2$	No finite minimum or maximum	$x \to -\infty$ or $+\infty$, $y = 1$	$F \to -\infty$ or $+\infty$
Constrained domain $x \in [x_{min}, x_{max}]$, $y \in [c, d]$	Minimum at (x_{min}, y_{min}) or (x_{min}, y_{max}); maximum at (x_{max}, y_{min}) or (x_{max}, y_{max})	Evaluate F at these corners	Based on the monotonicity in x and y

Key takeaway: Without constraints, the function's extreme values are unbounded. Under practical constraints, the exact extrema are straightforward to compute.

Implications and Applications of the Analysis

Understanding how to locate the exact extreme values of $F(x, y)$ provides insights into optimization problems where similar structures appear:

- Economics: Minimizing costs or maximizing profits with constraints.
- Engineering: Optimizing design parameters.
- Physical sciences: Finding equilibrium states by analyzing potential functions.

Moreover, the process exemplifies the importance of analyzing the behavior of each component—linear and quadratic—and recognizing the influence of domain restrictions.

Conclusion: The Power of Mathematical Rigor in Optimization

The analysis of \(F(x, y) = (x - 28) +

Find The Exact Extreme Values Of The Function F X Y X 28 Y 1 2 310subject To The Following

Find The Exact Extreme Values Of The Function F X Y X 28 Y 1 2 310subject To The Following

Related Articles

- How Did Father Abraham Use The Example Of Spain To Make People Think About Their Income And Spending
- For Any Given Flight, An Airline Tries To Sell As Many Tickets As Possible. Suppose That On Average,
- Giant Corporation Is Considering A Major Equipment Purchase Is Being Considered. The Initial Cost Is