Solve The Following Problem, Using The Corner Point Method. For The Optimal Solution, How Much Slack

Solve The Following Problem, Using The Corner Point Method. For The Optimal Solution, How Much Slack

In optimization problems, particularly those involving linear programming, the Corner Point Method—also known as the Graphical Method—is a fundamental technique used to identify the optimal solution efficiently. This method hinges on the principle that the optimal solution for a linear programming problem lies at one of the vertices (corner points) of the feasible region defined by the constraints. Understanding how to apply this method, and how to interpret slack variables at the optimal point, is essential for effectively solving linear programming problems.

This article will guide you through a step-by-step process to solve a typical linear programming problem using the Corner Point Method, analyze the optimal solution, and determine the amount of slack in the constraints. We’ll cover the key concepts, detailed procedures, and practical examples to enhance your understanding.

Understanding the Fundamentals of the Corner Point Method

Before diving into problem-solving, it is essential to understand some core concepts:

Feasible Region

- The set of all possible solutions that satisfy all constraints.
- Usually represented as a polygon (in two variables) or polyhedron (in multiple variables).

Corner Points (Vertices)

- Points where the constraint lines intersect.
- The candidate solutions for the optimal point in a linear programming problem.

Slack Variables

- Variables added to inequalities to convert them into equalities.
- Measure the unused portion of a resource in each constraint.
- At the optimal solution, slack variables indicate how much capacity remains unused.

Step-by-Step Guide to Solving Using the Corner Point Method

Let's consider a typical linear programming problem:

Example Problem:

Maximize $Z = 3x + 2y$

Subject to:
1. $x + y \leq 4$
2. $2x + y \leq 5$
3. $x, y \geq 0$

Our goal is to find the values of x and y that maximize Z, and then determine how much slack exists at the optimal point.

Step 1: Convert Inequalities to Equalities by Introducing Slack Variables

Rewrite constraints:
- $x + y + s_1 = 4$, where $s_1 \geq 0$
- $2x + y + s_2 = 5$, where $s_2 \geq 0$

The feasible region is bounded by these constraints along with non-negativity constraints:
- $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$, $s_1 \geq 0$, $s_2 \geq 0$

Step 2: Find the Corner Points (Vertices) of the Feasible Region

The vertices are found at the intersection points of the constraint lines:

1. Vertex at intersection of constraints 1 and 2:

Solve the system:
$$
\begin{cases}
x + y = 4 \\
2x + y = 5
\end{cases}
$$

Subtract the first from the second:
$$
(2x + y) - (x + y) = 5 - 4 \Rightarrow x = 1
$$
Plug into $x + y = 4$:
$$
1 + y = 4 \Rightarrow y = 3
$$

Coordinates:
$$
(1, 3)
$$

2. Vertex at intersection of constraint 1 and x-axis ($y=0$):

Set $y=0$:
$$
x + 0 = 4 \Rightarrow x=4
$$
Check if $2x + y \leq 5$:
$$
2(4) + 0 = 8 \leq 5 \Rightarrow \text{No} \Rightarrow \text{Not feasible}
$$
So, discard this point.

3. Vertex at intersection of constraint 2 and y-axis ($x=0$):

Set $x=0$:
$$
0 + y \leq 4 \Rightarrow y \leq 4
$$
and
$$
2(0) + y \leq 5 \Rightarrow y \leq 5
$$

$$
$$

Feasible y is up to 4, so at $(0,4)$.

Check if this point satisfies both constraints:

$$
2(0) + 4 = 4 \leq 5 \quad \text{Yes}
$$

$$
0 + 4 = 4 \leq 4 \quad \text{Yes}
$$

Feasible point: $(0,4)$.

4. Vertex at the origin $(0,0)$:

Check constraints:

$$
0 + 0 \leq 4 \quad \text{Yes}
$$

$$
0 + 0 \leq 5 \quad \text{Yes}
$$

Feasible point: $(0,0)$.

Summary of feasible vertices:
- $(0,0)$
- $(0,4)$
- $(1,3)$

Step 3: Evaluate the Objective Function at Each Vertex

Calculate $Z = 3x + 2y$:

Vertex	x	y	$Z = 3x + 2y$	Remarks
$(0,0)$	0	0	0	Starting point
$(0,4)$	0	4	$30 + 24 = 8$	Potential maximum
$(1,3)$	1	3	$31 + 23 = 3 + 6 = 9$	Higher than others, candidate

Optimal Solution:
- $x = 1$
- $y = 3$
- $Z_{max} = 9$

Step 4: Determine Slack Variables at the Optimal Point

Recall the constraints:

1. $x + y + s_1 = 4$

Plugging in $x=1, y=3$:

$$
1 + 3 + s_1 = 4 \Rightarrow s_1 = 4 - 4 = 0
$$

2. $2x + y + s_2 = 5$

$$
2(1) + 3 + s_2 = 5 \Rightarrow 2 + 3 + s_2 = 5 \Rightarrow s_2 = 5 - 5 = 0
$$

Interpretation:
- Both slack variables s_1 and s_2 are zero at the optimal point.
- This indicates that the constraints are binding—resources are fully utilized at the optimal solution.

Understanding Slack and Its Significance in Linear Programming

Slack variables provide insight into resource utilization:

- Zero Slack: The resource is fully utilized; the constraint is binding.
- Positive Slack: There is unused capacity; the resource is not fully utilized.
- Negative Slack: Not possible in standard linear programming because constraints are inequalities $\leq$.

In our example, both slack variables are zero at the optimal solution, meaning the solution "touches" both constraints.

Additional Considerations: When Slack Is Nonzero

Suppose an alternative problem or a different optimal point yields positive slack variables. For example:

- If the optimal solution is at $(0,4)$:

- $s_1 = 4 - (0 + 4) = 0$, fully utilized.
- $s_2 = 5 - (20 + 4) = 1$, indicating 1 unit of resource remains unused.

This can be advantageous in resource allocation, as it suggests potential for increasing the resource use or profits.

Summary of the Corner Point Method Process

1. Identify constraints and convert to equalities with slack variables.
2. Determine the feasible region and its corner points by solving constraint intersections.
3. Evaluate the objective function at each corner point to find the maximum or minimum.
4. Identify the optimal point and compute the slack variables at this point.
5. Interpret the slack variables to understand resource utilization and constraint binding.

Conclusion

Using the Corner Point Method is a straightforward and effective way to solve linear programming problems, especially when dealing with two variables. It leverages the geometric nature of the feasible region, allowing you to systematically evaluate potential optimal points. Analyzing slack variables at the optimal solution provides additional insight into resource utilization, helping decision-makers understand where efficiencies or excess capacities exist.

Mastering this method and understanding the role of slack variables enhances your problem-solving toolkit in operations research, economics, and various engineering disciplines. As you practice with different problems, you'll develop an intuitive grasp of how constraints shape solutions and how

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the Corner Point Method in linear programming?

The Corner Point Method involves evaluating the objective function at the vertices (corner points) of the feasible region to find the optimal solution in a linear programming problem.

How do you identify corner points in a linear programming problem?

Corner points are found at the intersection of constraint boundaries, typically by solving the equations of the boundary lines simultaneously.

What does it mean when a constraint has slack in the optimal solution?

Slack refers to the difference between the left and right sides of a constraint when it is not binding; a positive slack indicates the constraint is not active at the optimal point.

How can I determine the amount of slack in the optimal solution?

After identifying the optimal corner point, substitute its coordinates into each constraint's expression to find the slack: slack = right-hand side value - left-hand side evaluated at that point.

Why is understanding slack important in linear programming?

Slack indicates which constraints are binding or non-binding at the optimum, helping to understand resource utilization and potential for improvement.

Can the Corner Point Method be used for problems with multiple optimal solutions?

Yes, if the objective function is parallel to a constraint boundary over a region, multiple corner points may give the same optimal value.

What steps are involved in solving a linear programming problem using the Corner Point Method?

First, graph or define the constraints, identify all corner points, evaluate the objective function at each corner, determine the maximum or minimum, and then analyze the slack at that point.

How does the presence of slack affect the interpretation of the optimal solution?

Positive slack indicates unused resources or constraints that are not active, meaning the solution is not fully utilizing all available resources.

Is the Corner Point Method applicable to all linear programming problems?

It is applicable to problems with two variables and linear constraints; for higher dimensions, other methods like the Simplex method are more practical.

Additional Resources

Solve The Following Problem, Using The Corner Point Method. For The Optimal Solution, How Much Slack is a common prompt in linear programming exercises designed to test understanding of the geometric approach to optimization problems. This problem typically involves maximizing or minimizing a linear objective function subject to a set of linear inequalities or constraints. The corner point (or vertex) method leverages the fact that the optimal solution to a linear programming problem occurs at one of the vertices (corner points) of the feasible region. In this article, we will explore the steps involved in solving such problems using the corner point method, analyze how to determine slack variables at the optimal solution, and discuss the broader implications and features of this approach.

Understanding the Corner Point Method in Linear Programming

What is the Corner Point Method?

The corner point method, also known as the graphical method (for two-variable problems) or vertex method, is a classical technique for solving linear programming problems. The core idea rests on the fundamental theorem of linear programming, which states:

> The optimal solution to a linear programming problem, if it exists, occurs at a vertex (corner point) of the feasible region.

In geometric terms, the feasible region is a convex polygon (or polyhedron in higher dimensions), bounded by the constraints. The corner points are the intersection points of the constraint boundaries.

Key Features:

- Geometric Approach: Visualizes the feasible region and identifies candidate solutions.
- Vertices Focus: Only vertices need to be checked for optimality, reducing computational effort.
- Intuitive: Provides clear insight into how constraints shape the feasible region and influence the solution.

Advantages:

- Simplicity for problems with two or three variables.
- Visual understanding of feasibility and optimality.
- Useful educational tool to introduce linear programming concepts.

Limitations:

- Becomes impractical for problems with many variables due to the complexity of the feasible region's geometry.
- Not suitable for large-scale or highly complex problems; instead, simplex or interior-point methods are preferred.

Step-by-Step Solution Using the Corner Point Method

1. Formulating the Problem

Begin by clearly defining the objective function and the constraints:

- Objective Function: Maximize or minimize $Z = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n$
- Constraints: Linear inequalities involving the decision variables, e.g., $a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n \leq b_i$

Ensure all constraints are consistent and the feasible region is bounded.

2. Graphical Representation (for two variables)

Plot the constraints on a coordinate plane:

- Convert inequalities to equations to plot boundary lines.
- Shade the feasible region that satisfies all inequalities.
- Identify the vertices where boundary lines intersect.

3. Identifying Corner Points

- Find the intersection points of the boundary lines (constraint equations).
- These intersection points are potential candidates for the optimal solution.

4. Evaluate the Objective Function at Each Corner Point

- Substitute the coordinates of each vertex into the objective function.
- Calculate the value of Z at each point.

5. Determine the Optimal Solution

- For a maximization problem, select the vertex with the highest Z.
- For a minimization problem, select the vertex with the lowest Z.

6. Calculate Slack Variables

- For each constraint, compute the slack or surplus:

$$
\text{Slack} = b_i - \sum_{j} a_{ij}x_j
$$

- At the optimal solution, some constraints will have slack zero (binding constraints), while others will have positive slack (non-binding constraints).

Interpreting Slack Variables at the Optimal

Solution

What Are Slack Variables?

Slack variables are introduced to convert inequalities into equations in the simplex method but are also key in understanding the nature of constraints at the optimal point.

- Binding Constraint: Slack = 0; the constraint is exactly satisfied, and the boundary is active at the optimal point.
- Non-Binding Constraint: Slack > 0; the constraint is not tight at the optimal point, leaving some "room."

Example:

If a constraint is $2x + y \leq 10$, and at the optimal point $(x, y) = (3, 4)$, the slack is:

$$
10 - (2 \times 3 + 4) = 10 - 10 = 0
$$

indicating this constraint is binding.

How Much Slack at the Optimal Solution?

The amount of slack provides insight into the sensitivity of the solution:

- Zero Slack: The constraint is critical; tightening or loosening it would alter the optimal solution.
- Positive Slack: The constraint is not limiting; the solution could be improved or changed by adjusting it.

Implications:

- If the problem involves resource constraints, slack indicates unused resources.
- In some cases, slack variables can be used to perform sensitivity analysis.

Practical Example and Calculation

Suppose we have the following problem:

Maximize \(Z = 3x + 2y \)

Subject to constraints:

\[
\begin{cases}
x + y \leq 4 \\
x - y \geq 1 \\
x, y \geq 0
\end{cases}
\]

Step 1: Rewrite as standard form:

\[
x + y \leq 4 \quad (Constraint 1) \\
x - y \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow -x + y \leq -1 \quad (Constraint 2) \\
x, y \geq 0
\]

Step 2: Plot constraints:

- \(x + y = 4 \)
- \(-x + y = -1 \) or \(y = x - 1 \)

Feasible region is bounded by these lines and the axes.

Step 3: Find vertices:

- Intersection of \(x + y = 4 \) and \(y = x - 1 \):

\[
x + (x - 1) = 4 \Rightarrow 2x - 1 = 4 \Rightarrow 2x = 5 \Rightarrow x=2.5
\]
\[
y= 2.5 - 1= 1.5
\]

- Intersection with axes:

At \(x=0 \), \(y \leq 4 \), and \(y \geq 1 \) (from the second constraint). The feasible point at \((0, 1) \).

At \(y=0 \), from \(x + 0 \leq 4 \Rightarrow x \leq 4 \), and \(y = x - 1 \Rightarrow 0 = x - 1 \Rightarrow x=1 \). Check \(x=1, y=0 \).

Vertices:

- \((0,1) \)
- \((1,0) \)
- \((2.5, 1.5) \)

Step 4: Evaluate $Z=3x+2y$:

- At $(0,1)$: $Z=3(0)+2(1)=2$
- At $(1,0)$: $Z=3(1)+2(0)=3$
- At $(2.5, 1.5)$: $Z=3(2.5)+2(1.5)=7.5 + 3=10.5$

Optimal solution: $(2.5, 1.5)$ with $Z=10.5$.

Step 5: Calculate slack variables:

- For $x + y \leq 4$:

$$
4 - (2.5 + 1.5) = 4 - 4= 0
$$

- For $-x + y \leq -1$:

$$
-1 - (-2.5 + 1.5) = -1 - (-1) = 0
$$

Thus, both constraints are binding at the optimal point, with slack equal to zero.

Broader Implications and Insights

Significance of Slack at the Optimal Solution

Understanding slack variables at the optimal solution offers valuable information:

- Resource Utilization: Zero slack indicates full utilization of resources.
- Sensitivity Analysis: Constraints with slack can be relaxed without affecting the optimal solution.
- Bottleneck Identification: Binding constraints are critical; they form the "bottleneck" in the system.

Advantages of the Corner Point Method with Slack Analysis

- Provides a clear geometric interpretation.
- Facilitates quick identification of the optimal vertex.

- Highlights which constraints are critical in defining the solution.

Limitations and Challenges

- Not feasible for high-dimensional problems without computational tools.
- Visual method becomes impractical beyond three variables.
- Requires careful algebraic and graphical analysis to accurately identify vertices.

Conclusion

The corner point method remains a fundamental and insightful approach

Solve The Following Problem Using The Corner Point Method For The Optimal Solution How Much Slack

Solve The Following Problem Using The Corner Point Method For The Optimal Solution How Much Slack

Related Articles

- Part C Now, Read The Personal Narrative Entitled "How I Rejected What Others Think Girls Should Be."
- QUESTION 42 Two Blocks Of The Same Substance [Cp = 24.4 J/(mol*K)] And Of Equal Mass (500 G), One At
- Show How To Implement The Wait() And Signal() Semaphore Operations In Uniprocessor Environment Using

Back to Home