

The Democrats Agreed To Let The Republican Candidate, Rutherford B. Hayes, Become President. What Did

The Democrats Agreed To Let The Republican Candidate, Rutherford B. Hayes, Become President. What Did

The tumultuous election of 1876 remains one of the most controversial and debated moments in American political history. At the heart of this story lies the decision by the Democratic Party to accept Rutherford B. Hayes, the Republican candidate, as the winner of the contested presidential race. This pivotal compromise, known as the Compromise of 1877, effectively ended the Reconstruction era and shaped the future trajectory of the United States. To understand what the Democrats agreed to do and the profound consequences of their decision, it is essential to explore the historical context, the key players involved, the details of the compromise, and its lasting impact on the nation.

Historical Context of the 1876 Election

The End of the Civil War and Reconstruction

Following the devastating Civil War (1861-1865), the United States faced the monumental task of rebuilding and integrating the Southern states into a unified nation. The Reconstruction era (1865-1877) aimed to address issues of civil rights, political representation, and rebuilding the Southern economy. The Republican Party, which had led the Union during the Civil War, championed civil rights for freed slaves and Northern interests, establishing military districts to oversee Southern reforms.

Political Climate Leading Up to 1876

By the mid-1870s, Reconstruction was increasingly unpopular among many white Southerners and some Northerners. Economic downturns, political corruption, and racial tensions fueled dissatisfaction. The Republican-led federal government faced mounting criticism, and Democrats sought to regain control of the presidency and Congress, especially to end federal interventions in the South.

The 1876 Presidential Election: A Contested Race

The Candidates and Campaign

The two main contenders in the 1876 election were:

- Rutherford B. Hayes (Republican), then Governor of Ohio, known for his reformist stance and

military service during the Civil War.

- Samuel J. Tilden (Democrat), Governor of New York, renowned for his anti-corruption efforts.

The campaign was fiercely fought, with issues centered around Reconstruction, economic policies, and regional loyalties. Tilden appeared to have won the popular vote and was close to securing the electoral college victory.

The Disputed Electoral Votes

The election results were ambiguous:

- Tilden initially appeared to have won 184 electoral votes, just one shy of the 185 needed for victory.
- Hayes received 165 electoral votes, with 20 votes from four Southern states (Florida, Louisiana, South Carolina, and Oregon) unresolved due to allegations of voter fraud and intimidation.

The dispute over these 20 electoral votes triggered a constitutional crisis. Both parties claimed victory, and the country faced a constitutional deadlock.

The Compromise of 1877: A Political Bargain

What Was the Compromise?

The Compromise of 1877 was a clandestine political agreement between Republicans and Democrats to resolve the disputed election. While not formalized into a single document, it was an understanding reached through negotiations facilitated by prominent political figures, including Congressmen and party leaders.

Key elements of the compromise included:

- Withdrawal of Federal Troops: Republicans agreed to remove the remaining federal soldiers from Southern states, effectively ending Reconstruction.
- Democratic Acceptance of Hayes: Democrats accepted Rutherford B. Hayes as the legitimate President-elect, despite unresolved electoral disputes.
- Federal Patronage and Appointments: Some concessions were made to Southern Democrats, including appointments and promises of political influence.

In essence, the compromise was a trade-off:

- The Republicans secured the presidency for Hayes.
- The Democrats achieved the end of Reconstruction and federal intervention in the South.

The Formal Resolution and Outcome

The Electoral Commission, established by Congress to resolve the election dispute, ultimately awarded all disputed electoral votes to Hayes. On March 2, 1877, Congress officially declared Rutherford B. Hayes the winner, and he was inaugurated on March 4, 1877.

This resolution marked the end of Reconstruction, a pivotal turning point in American history.

What Did the Democrats Agree To Do? What Were the Consequences?

Democratic Concessions and Strategic Goals

By agreeing to the compromise, Democrats aimed to:

- Restore Democratic Control in the South: They sought to reassert white supremacy and reduce federal oversight.
- End Federal Military Presence: The withdrawal of troops allowed Southern states to implement discriminatory laws and practices, including Jim Crow laws, which enforced racial segregation and disenfranchisement of African Americans.
- Reshape Regional Politics: Democrats regained power in Southern state governments, solidifying their influence for decades.

Immediate Effects of the Compromise

- End of Reconstruction: Federal troops left Southern states, leading to the collapse of many Reconstruction-era reforms.
- Rise of Segregation: Southern states enacted laws that institutionalized racial segregation and disenfranchisement, impacting African Americans' civil rights for generations.
- Political Realignment: The Republican Party lost influence in the South, and Democratic dominance persisted for nearly a century.

Long-Term Impact on the United States

The decision to allow Hayes to become president had profound and lasting effects:

- Racial Inequality and Disenfranchisement: The rollback of Reconstruction efforts led to systemic racism, including voter suppression, segregation, and economic disparity for African Americans.
- Weakening of Federal Enforcement of Civil Rights: The federal government retreated from intervening in racial issues, enabling Southern states to enforce discriminatory laws.
- Shift in Political Power Dynamics: The Democratic Party solidified its hold on the South, shaping regional and national politics for decades.

Historical Significance and Legacy

The Controversy and Historical Debate

The 1876 election and the subsequent compromise are often viewed as a betrayal of African Americans and Reconstruction ideals. Critics describe it as a "corrupt bargain" that prioritized political expediency over justice and equality.

The Impact on Civil Rights Movement

The compromises of 1877 delayed progress toward racial equality and civil rights, setting the stage for ongoing struggles. The Jim Crow era, which followed, imposed severe racial segregation and disenfranchisement until the Civil Rights Movement of the 20th century.

Lessons from the 1876 Election

The 1876 election underscores the importance of electoral integrity, the influence of political bargaining, and the enduring consequences of compromises made in the pursuit of power.

Conclusion

The Democrats' decision to accept Rutherford B. Hayes as President in 1877 was a watershed moment in American history. While it brought an end to the contentious 1876 election, it also signified the abandonment of Reconstruction efforts and the reinforcement of racial segregation in the South. The compromise exemplifies how political expediency can shape national policies with long-lasting societal impacts. Understanding this pivotal event provides critical insight into the roots of racial inequality and the evolution of American democracy.

Keywords for SEO Optimization:

- 1876 presidential election
- Rutherford B. Hayes presidency
- Compromise of 1877
- End of Reconstruction
- Democratic Party in 19th century
- Impact of 1877 election
- Political compromise in US history
- Racial segregation post-Reconstruction
- Civil rights history
- Election disputes in the United States

Frequently Asked Questions

What was the political agreement that led to Rutherford B. Hayes becoming President?

The Compromise of 1877 was an informal deal where Democrats agreed to accept Rutherford B. Hayes's election as President in exchange for the withdrawal of federal troops from the South, ending Reconstruction.

How did the Democratic Party's agreement impact the outcome of the 1876 presidential election?

The Democrats' acceptance of Hayes's presidency effectively resolved the disputed 1876 election,

allowing Hayes to assume office despite the electoral controversy, but it also marked the end of Reconstruction policies in the South.

Why did the Democrats agree to let Rutherford B. Hayes become President?

Democrats agreed to support Hayes in exchange for political concessions, including the withdrawal of Union troops from Southern states, which they believed would restore Democratic control in the region.

What were the consequences of the Democrats' decision to endorse Hayes's presidency?

This decision led to the end of Reconstruction, the removal of federal troops from the South, and the rise of 'Jim Crow' laws, which institutionalized racial segregation and disenfranchisement of African Americans.

Was the agreement to let Rutherford B. Hayes become President considered fair or controversial?

It was highly controversial; many viewed it as a backroom deal that compromised electoral integrity, while others saw it as a pragmatic solution to a disputed election, but it had lasting negative effects on civil rights in the South.

Additional Resources

The Democrats Agreed To Let The Republican Candidate, Rutherford B. Hayes, Become President. What Did It Mean?

The political landscape of post-Civil War America was marked by intense rivalry, ideological divisions, and a series of contentious elections that shaped the future of the nation. Among the most pivotal moments was the contentious election of 1876, a contest that would ultimately see the Democrats and Republicans navigate a complex political crossroads. Central to this story was the extraordinary decision by the Democratic Party to effectively permit Rutherford B. Hayes, the Republican candidate, to become President—an act that has since been scrutinized and analyzed for its implications on American democracy. This article delves into the intricacies of that decision, exploring the political negotiations, motivations, and consequences that defined this critical chapter in American history.

The Context: Post-Reconstruction America and the 1876 Election

The Political Climate Leading Up to 1876

By the late 19th century, America was still grappling with the aftermath of the Civil War (1861-1865). The nation was divided over issues of race, Reconstruction policies, economic reforms,

and regional identities. The Republican Party, founded on anti-slavery principles, had dominated national politics since the Civil War, promoting policies aimed at integrating formerly Confederate states and securing civil rights for freed slaves.

However, by the 1870s, the Republican hold on national power was waning amidst growing fatigue over Reconstruction, economic instability, and regional conflicts. The Democratic Party, primarily strong in the South but also making gains in the North, sought to reclaim influence and turn the tide of national policy.

The 1876 Election: A Contested Battle

The election of 1876 was one of the most disputed in American history. The Republican candidate, Rutherford B. Hayes, a former Union general and Ohio governor, faced off against Democrat Samuel J. Tilden, the governor of New York. Tilden initially appeared to have secured victory, winning 184 electoral votes to Hayes's 165, with 20 electoral votes from four states unresolved. These contested states—Florida, Louisiana, South Carolina, and Oregon—became the epicenter of the electoral crisis.

The dispute centered on allegations of voter fraud, intimidation, and irregularities, especially in the Southern states where Reconstruction was still being enforced. Both parties claimed victory, and the situation threatened to plunge the nation into a constitutional crisis.

The Bargain of 1877: The Compromise that Shaped a Nation

The Electoral Commission and the Resolution

In an effort to resolve the deadlock, Congress established the Electoral Commission—a bipartisan body comprising senators, representatives, and Supreme Court justices. After heated debates and negotiations, the commission awarded all disputed electoral votes to Hayes, giving him a narrow victory with 185 electoral votes to Tilden's 184.

However, the resolution was not merely a straightforward electoral tally; behind the scenes, political negotiations were at play.

The Democratic Concession

The Democrats, facing the prospect of a Republican presidency and a continuation of Reconstruction policies that they opposed, devised a strategic compromise. They agreed to accept Hayes's presidency on the condition that:

- Federal troops would be withdrawn from the South, effectively ending Reconstruction.
- Civil rights enforcement in the South would be limited.
- Democratic dominance in Southern states would be restored, cementing racial segregation and disenfranchisement.

This series of agreements, collectively known as the Compromise of 1877, was a pivotal moment that demonstrated how political expediency often overshadowed constitutional principles.

The Political Implications of the Democratic Decision

End of Reconstruction and Its Effects

The Democrats' decision to accept Hayes's presidency in exchange for the withdrawal of federal troops marked the end of the Reconstruction era. This had profound consequences:

- Restoration of White Democratic Control: Southern states swiftly reasserted Democratic dominance through measures like literacy tests, poll taxes, and violence to suppress Black voter turnout.
- Legitimization of Segregation: The compromise tacitly permitted the institutionalization of racial segregation, leading to the Jim Crow era.
- Weakening of Federal Enforcement: Civil rights protections for freed slaves were severely limited, setting back racial equality efforts for decades.

Political Realignment and Strategic Calculations

The Democrats' willingness to accept Hayes's presidency demonstrated a pragmatic, albeit ethically questionable, approach to power-sharing. It highlighted:

- The importance of regional politics and the desire to restore Democratic control in the South.
- The willingness to sacrifice principles of electoral integrity to achieve political stability.
- The influence of backroom negotiations over transparent democratic processes.

What Did the Democrats Gain and Lose?

Gains Achieved Through the Agreement

- End of Federal Military Presence in the South: This allowed Southern Democrats to reassert control without interference.
- Resumption of Local Autonomy: Southern states regained the ability to implement policies aligned with their political and racial agendas.
- Political Stability (Short-term): The compromise resolved the immediate crisis, preventing potential violence or constitutional crisis.

Long-term Losses and Consequences

- Erosion of Democratic Legitimacy: The apparent compromise and backroom deals tainted public trust in electoral processes.
- Institutionalization of Racial Inequality: The rollback of Reconstruction reforms led to systemic racial segregation and disenfranchisement.
- Precedent for Political Bargaining: The episode set a precedent for future political negotiations that prioritized power over principle.

The Legacy of the 1876 Compromise

Historical Interpretations

Historians have long debated whether the 1876 compromise was a necessary evil or a stain on American democracy. Some view it as a pragmatic solution to an intractable crisis, while others see it as a betrayal of democratic ideals.

Impact on Modern Politics

The events of 1876 serve as a cautionary tale about the dangers of political deal-making and the importance of electoral integrity. They underscore the necessity of transparent, fair electoral processes and the perils of sacrificing principles for short-term stability.

Conclusion: What Did It Mean?

The decision by the Democrats to allow Rutherford B. Hayes to become President was more than a simple electoral outcome—it was a watershed moment that redefined the political, racial, and constitutional fabric of the United States. While it ended the tumultuous Reconstruction era, it also ushered in decades of racial segregation and disenfranchisement, with consequences still felt today.

The 1876 election and the subsequent compromise exemplify the complex interplay between political pragmatism and ethical considerations. It raises enduring questions about the integrity of democratic processes, the limits of political negotiation, and the true cost of power-sharing arrangements. Understanding this episode is essential for grasping the broader narrative of American history, where ideals often clash with realities, and decisions made in the backrooms echo through generations.

In summary, the Democrats' strategic concessions in 1877, culminating in their acceptance of Hayes's presidency, were driven by regional interests, racial politics, and the desire for stability. While they achieved their immediate goals, the long-term repercussions reshaped the nation's approach to democracy, civil rights, and political compromise—lessons that remain relevant in contemporary discourse.

The Democrats Agreed To Let The Republican Candidate Rutherford B Hayes Become President What Did

The Democrats Agreed To Let The Republican Candidate Rutherford B Hayes Become President What Did

Related Articles

- [The Quantities That Producers Are Willing And Able To Supply Over A Period Of Time At Various Prices](#)
- [The Warning Label That Appears On Products Containing NutraSweet \(aspartame\) Is Necessary To Prevent](#)
- [The Status Of 'chief' Is An Example Of A\(n\) _____, Because It Is A Permanent](#)

[Position Of](#)

[Back to Home](#)