

WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY THAT A CANDIDATE WILL BE SELECTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE (ALL BUT ONE

WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY THAT A CANDIDATE WILL BE SELECTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE (ALL BUT ONE

IN DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES THAT INVOLVE VOTING—BE IT IN POLITICAL ELECTIONS, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITTEES, OR JURY DELIBERATIONS—UNDERSTANDING THE LIKELIHOOD OF SPECIFIC VOTING OUTCOMES IS CRUCIAL. ONE PARTICULARLY INTERESTING SCENARIO IS DETERMINING THE PROBABILITY THAT A CANDIDATE OR PROPOSAL WILL BE ACCEPTED WITH ONLY A SINGLE DISSENTING VOTE, MEANING ALL BUT ONE VOTER ARE IN FAVOR. THIS SITUATION OFTEN REFLECTS A NEAR-UNANIMOUS CONSENSUS, WITH MINIMAL DISAGREEMENT. CALCULATING THIS PROBABILITY INVOLVES AN UNDERSTANDING OF VOTING MODELS, VOTER INDEPENDENCE, AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF VOTES. THIS ARTICLE EXPLORES THE MATHEMATICAL UNDERPINNINGS OF THIS PROBABILITY, THE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE IT, AND PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS ACROSS VARIOUS DOMAINS.

UNDERSTANDING THE BASIC CONCEPTS

BEFORE DELVING INTO PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO CLARIFY SOME FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS.

WHAT DOES "ALL BUT ONE" MEAN IN VOTING?

THE PHRASE "ALL BUT ONE" REFERS TO A VOTING OUTCOME WHERE EVERY VOTER EXCEPT ONE AGREES ON THE DECISION. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THERE ARE 10 VOTERS, THEN 9 VOTE "YES" AND 1 VOTES "NO." THE OVERALL OUTCOME DEPENDS ON THE VOTING RULES—SUCH AS MAJORITY, SUPERMAJORITY, OR UNANIMITY—BUT THE FOCUS HERE IS ON THE SCENARIO WHERE ONLY A SINGLE DISSENTING VOTE EXISTS AMID OTHERWISE UNANIMOUS SUPPORT.

TYPES OF VOTING RULES AND THEIR IMPACT

DIFFERENT VOTING SYSTEMS INTERPRET THESE OUTCOMES DIFFERENTLY:

- **MAJORITY VOTING:** MORE THAN HALF OF THE VOTES ARE NEEDED FOR ACCEPTANCE. WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE, ACCEPTANCE IS NEARLY CERTAIN IF THE MAJORITY THRESHOLD IS MET.
- **UNANIMOUS VOTING:** ALL VOTES MUST BE IN FAVOR; THUS, A SINGLE DISSENT PREVENTS ACCEPTANCE.
- **SUPERMAJORITY:** A HIGHER THRESHOLD (E.G., TWO-THIRDS) IS REQUIRED, WHICH AFFECTS THE LIKELIHOOD OF ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE RESULTING IN ACCEPTANCE.

THE PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS WILL DEPEND ON THE SPECIFIC VOTING RULE IN PLACE.

MODELING VOTING BEHAVIOR: PROBABILISTIC FRAMEWORKS

TO ANALYZE THE PROBABILITY OF A CANDIDATE BEING ACCEPTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE, WE NEED TO MODEL HOW VOTERS MAKE THEIR CHOICES.

ASSUMPTION OF INDEPENDENT VOTERS

ONE COMMON ASSUMPTION IS THAT EACH VOTER MAKES THEIR DECISION INDEPENDENTLY, WITH A CERTAIN PROBABILITY OF VOTING "YES" OR "NO." THIS SIMPLIFIES THE CALCULATIONS AND ALLOWS US TO USE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY MODELS.

PROBABILITY OF VOTING "YES" OR "NO"

LET'S DENOTE:

- (p) AS THE PROBABILITY THAT ANY INDIVIDUAL VOTER VOTES "YES."
- $(1 - p)$ AS THE PROBABILITY THAT THEY VOTE "NO."

UNDER INDEPENDENCE, THE PROBABILITY THAT EXACTLY (k) OUT OF (n) VOTERS VOTE "YES" FOLLOWS THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION:

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n - k}$$

WHERE $(\binom{n}{k})$ IS THE BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT.

CALCULATING THE PROBABILITY OF "ALL BUT ONE" DISSENTING VOTE

SUPPOSE WE CONSIDER A VOTING SCENARIO WITH (n) VOTERS, AND WE ARE INTERESTED IN THE PROBABILITY THAT EXACTLY $(n - 1)$ VOTE "YES," AND ONE VOTES "NO." THE SPECIFIC OUTCOME DEPENDS ON THE VOTING RULE.

SCENARIO 1: ACCEPTANCE WITH ALL BUT ONE DISSENT (MAJORITY RULE)

IN MAJORITY VOTING, THE DECISION DEPENDS ON WHETHER THE NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES EXCEEDS HALF OF (n) . FOR AN ACCEPTANCE WITH ONLY ONE DISSENT, THE NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES WOULD BE:

$$\text{YES VOTES} = n - 1$$

SINCE ONLY ONE VOTES "NO." THE PROBABILITY:

$$P(\text{ACCEPTANCE WITH ALL BUT ONE DISSENT}) = \binom{n}{n - 1} p^{n - 1} (1 - p)$$

GIVEN THAT $(\binom{n}{n - 1} = n)$, THIS SIMPLIFIES TO:

$$P = n \times p^{n - 1} \times (1 - p)$$

THIS GIVES THE LIKELIHOOD THAT EXACTLY $(n - 1)$ VOTERS ARE IN FAVOR.

SCENARIO 2: ACCEPTANCE WITH ALL BUT ONE DISSENT (UNANIMOUS VOTING)

IN A UNANIMOUS VOTING SYSTEM, ACCEPTANCE REQUIRES ALL VOTERS TO VOTE "YES." SINCE WE'RE CONSIDERING ONLY ONE DISSENT, THIS SCENARIO RESULTS IN REJECTION, SO THE PROBABILITY OF ACCEPTANCE WITH ONLY ONE DISSENT UNDER UNANIMITY IS ZERO.

SCENARIO 3: OTHER VOTING THRESHOLDS

FOR SUPERMAJORITY REQUIREMENTS (E.G., TWO-THIRDS), THE CALCULATION DEPENDS ON WHETHER $(n - 1)$ VOTES REACH THE REQUIRED THRESHOLD. FOR EXAMPLE, IF ACCEPTANCE REQUIRES AT LEAST $\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ VOTES, THEN:

- IF $(n - 1) \geq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$, THE OUTCOME IS POSSIBLE.
- OTHERWISE, THE PROBABILITY IS ZERO.

IN SUCH CASES, THE PROBABILITY IS:

$$P = \binom{n-1}{\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil} p^{\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil} (1-p)^{n-1-\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil}$$

BUT ONLY IF THE THRESHOLD IS MET.

FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PROBABILITY

SEVERAL FACTORS IMPACT THE LIKELIHOOD OF A CANDIDATE BEING ACCEPTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE:

NUMBER OF VOTERS (n)

- LARGER GROUPS TEND TO HAVE LOWER PROBABILITIES FOR SUCH SPECIFIC OUTCOMES IF VOTER DECISIONS ARE INDEPENDENT AND IDENTICALLY DISTRIBUTED.
- SMALLER GROUPS MIGHT HAVE HIGHER PROBABILITIES, ESPECIALLY WHEN INDIVIDUAL PREFERENCES ARE HIGHLY POLARIZED.

VOTER INDEPENDENCE AND SIMILARITY

- IF VOTERS ARE INDEPENDENT AND HAVE SIMILAR PROBABILITIES p , THE BINOMIAL MODEL APPLIES.
- CORRELATION AMONG VOTERS, SUCH AS INFLUENCE OR COALITION FORMATION, COMPLICATES THE CALCULATION.

VOTER BIAS (p)

- WHEN p IS CLOSE TO 1, THE PROBABILITY OF $(n - 1)$ "YES" VOTES IS HIGH.
- WHEN p IS NEAR 0.5, THE PROBABILITY IS SYMMETRIC AND TENDS TO BE LOWER.

VOTING THRESHOLD AND RULES

- THE SPECIFIC THRESHOLD FOR ACCEPTANCE DETERMINES WHETHER THE "ALL BUT ONE" SCENARIO LEADS TO APPROVAL.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

UNDERSTANDING THESE PROBABILITIES HAS PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS ACROSS VARIOUS DOMAINS.

POLITICAL ELECTIONS AND VOTING BODIES

- LEGISLATURES OFTEN REQUIRE SUPERMAJORITIES FOR CERTAIN DECISIONS.
- KNOWING THE PROBABILITY OF NEAR-UNANIMOUS SUPPORT HELPS IN STRATEGIC PLANNING AND COALITION BUILDING.

ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITTEES AND BOARD DECISIONS

- ASSESSING THE LIKELIHOOD OF NEAR-UNANIMOUS SUPPORT GUIDES DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES.
- HELPS IN IDENTIFYING CONTENTIOUS ISSUES OR CONSENSUS-BUILDING NEEDS.

JUDICIAL AND JURY DECISIONS

- IN JURIES, A SINGLE DISSENTING JUROR CAN PREVENT A CONVICTION.
- MODELING THE PROBABILITY OF SUCH DISSENT INFORMS DISCUSSIONS ON JURY UNANIMITY REQUIREMENTS.

LIMITATIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS

WHILE THE PROBABILISTIC MODELS PROVIDE VALUABLE INSIGHTS, SEVERAL LIMITATIONS EXIST:

- **ASSUMPTION OF INDEPENDENCE:** REAL-WORLD VOTERS OFTEN INFLUENCE EACH OTHER, CREATING DEPENDENCIES NOT CAPTURED BY SIMPLE MODELS.
- **FIXED PROBABILITY (p) :** IN REALITY, VOTER PREFERENCES MIGHT VARY WIDELY.
- **COMPLEX VOTING RULES:** MANY DECISIONS INVOLVE NUANCED THRESHOLDS OR WEIGHTED VOTES.
- **DYNAMIC FACTORS:** PREFERENCES CAN CHANGE OVER TIME, AFFECTING PROBABILITIES.

DESPITE THESE LIMITATIONS, THE MODELS SERVE AS USEFUL STARTING POINTS FOR UNDERSTANDING VOTING OUTCOMES.

CONCLUSION

DETERMINING THE PROBABILITY THAT A CANDIDATE WILL BE ACCEPTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE INVOLVES UNDERSTANDING THE UNDERLYING VOTING PROCESS, ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT VOTER INDEPENDENCE, AND THE SPECIFIC DECISION RULES APPLIED. BY MODELING VOTER BEHAVIOR PROBABILISTICALLY, PARTICULARLY THROUGH BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTIONS, STAKEHOLDERS CAN ESTIMATE HOW LIKELY SUCH NEAR-UNANIMOUS OUTCOMES ARE UNDER VARIOUS CONDITIONS. WHETHER IN POLITICAL SETTINGS, ORGANIZATIONAL DECISION-MAKING, OR LEGAL CONTEXTS, THESE CALCULATIONS AID IN STRATEGIC PLANNING, ASSESSING CONSENSUS LEVELS, AND UNDERSTANDING THE ROBUSTNESS OF SUPPORT FOR CANDIDATES OR PROPOSALS. WHILE MODELS HAVE LIMITATIONS, THEY PROVIDE VALUABLE FRAMEWORKS FOR ANALYZING COMPLEX VOTING SCENARIOS AND FOSTERING INFORMED DECISION-MAKING.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY THAT A CANDIDATE WILL BE SELECTED IF THERE IS ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE AMONG ALL VOTERS?

THE PROBABILITY DEPENDS ON THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTERS AND THE ASSUMPTION THAT EACH VOTER VOTES INDEPENDENTLY AND WITH EQUAL LIKELIHOOD. IF EACH VOTER HAS A PROBABILITY p OF VOTING 'YES', THEN THE PROBABILITY THAT ALL BUT ONE VOTE ARE IN FAVOR AND ONE DISSENT IS GIVEN BY CHOOSING THE DISSENTING VOTER AND THE PROBABILITY OF THE REMAINING VOTES, TYPICALLY MODELED USING THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION.

HOW CAN I CALCULATE THE PROBABILITY OF EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE IN AN ELECTION WITH N VOTERS?

YOU CAN CALCULATE IT USING THE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY FORMULA: $P = C(N, 1) p^{(N-1)} (1 - p)$, WHERE p IS THE PROBABILITY OF A 'YES' VOTE FOR EACH VOTER. THIS COMPUTES THE CHANCE THAT EXACTLY ONE VOTER VOTES DISSENTING AND THE REST VOTE IN FAVOR.

WHAT ASSUMPTIONS ARE NECESSARY TO ACCURATELY DETERMINE THE PROBABILITY OF ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE?

KEY ASSUMPTIONS INCLUDE THAT EACH VOTER VOTES INDEPENDENTLY, WITH THE SAME PROBABILITY p OF VOTING 'YES', AND THAT VOTES ARE MUTUALLY INDEPENDENT. ADDITIONALLY, IT ASSUMES NO EXTERNAL INFLUENCE OR BIAS AFFECTING INDIVIDUAL VOTING PROBABILITIES.

IN A SCENARIO WHERE EACH VOTER HAS AN EQUAL CHANCE OF VOTING 'YES' OR 'NO', WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY THAT ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE OCCURS AMONG N VOTERS?

IF $p = 0.5$, THE PROBABILITY SIMPLIFIES TO $P = N (0.5)^{(N-1)} 0.5$, WHICH FURTHER SIMPLIFIES TO $N (0.5)^N$. THIS REPRESENTS THE PROBABILITY OF EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE WHEN VOTES ARE EQUALLY LIKELY.

WHY IS UNDERSTANDING THE PROBABILITY OF A SINGLE DISSENTING VOTE IMPORTANT IN DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES?

KNOWING THIS PROBABILITY HELPS ASSESS THE LIKELIHOOD OF NEAR-UNANIMOUS SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION, WHICH IS CRUCIAL FOR STRATEGIC PLANNING, RISK ASSESSMENT, AND UNDERSTANDING THE STABILITY OF CONSENSUS IN VOTING SCENARIOS.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

PROBABILITY OF A CANDIDATE BEING SELECTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE: AN IN-DEPTH ANALYSIS

WHEN EXAMINING DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES IN COMMITTEES, BOARDS, OR ELECTORAL SETTINGS, UNDERSTANDING THE LIKELIHOOD OF A CANDIDATE BEING SELECTED WITH ONLY A SINGLE DISSENTING VOTE CAN OFFER VALUABLE INSIGHTS INTO GROUP CONSENSUS, DECISION DYNAMICS, AND VOTING BEHAVIOR. THIS ARTICLE EXPLORES THE PROBABILISTIC UNDERPINNINGS OF SUCH EVENTS, BREAKING DOWN THE FACTORS INVOLVED, THE MATHEMATICAL MODELS APPLICABLE, AND THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THESE PROBABILITIES.

UNDERSTANDING THE CONTEXT: VOTING SYSTEMS AND DECISION THRESHOLDS

BEFORE DELVING INTO PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS, IT'S CRUCIAL TO GRASP THE CONTEXT IN WHICH VOTES ARE CAST AND DECISIONS ARE MADE.

TYPES OF VOTING SYSTEMS

DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS AND SETTINGS EMPLOY VARIED VOTING MECHANISMS, INCLUDING:

- SIMPLE MAJORITY: MORE THAN HALF OF VOTES ARE NEEDED FOR A CANDIDATE TO BE SELECTED.
- SUPERMAJORITY: A HIGHER THRESHOLD (E.G., TWO-THIRDS) REQUIRED FOR APPROVAL.
- UNANIMOUS CONSENT: ALL VOTERS MUST AGREE.
- PLURALITY: CANDIDATE WITH THE MOST VOTES WINS, REGARDLESS OF MAJORITY.

THE PROBABILITY OF A CANDIDATE PASSING WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE DEPENDS HEAVILY ON THE SPECIFIC VOTING RULES, PARTICULARLY WHETHER THE DECISION REQUIRES A SIMPLE MAJORITY OR SOME SUPERMAJORITY.

DECISION-MAKING THRESHOLDS AND THEIR IMPACT

THE CRITICAL THRESHOLDS INFLUENCE HOW LIKELY IT IS FOR A CANDIDATE TO BE APPROVED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENT. FOR EXAMPLE:

- MAJORITY RULE: IF THERE ARE N VOTERS, THE DECISION IS APPROVED IF VOTES IN FAVOR EXCEED $N/2$.
- SUPERMAJORITY (E.G., $2/3$): THE NUMBER OF FAVOR VOTES MUST BE AT LEAST $\text{CEIL}(2N/3)$.
- UNANIMOUS: ALL N VOTERS MUST AGREE.

WHEN ANALYZING THE PROBABILITY OF ONLY ONE DISSENT, THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTERS (N) AND THE THRESHOLD DETERMINE WHETHER THIS EVENT IS EVEN FEASIBLE.

MODELING THE VOTING SCENARIO: ASSUMPTIONS AND FRAMEWORK

TO ANALYZE THE PROBABILITY SYSTEMATICALLY, WE NEED A CLEAR MODEL WITH ASSUMPTIONS; THESE INCLUDE:

- EACH VOTER VOTES INDEPENDENTLY.
- VOTERS HAVE A FIXED PROBABILITY P OF VOTING "YES" (FAVORING THE CANDIDATE).
- THE PROBABILITY P MAY VARY AMONG VOTERS, BUT FOR SIMPLICITY, WE OFTEN ASSUME IDENTICAL VOTERS (HOMOGENEOUS PROBABILITY).
- VOTES ARE BINARY: "APPROVE" OR "REJECT."
- THE GOAL IS TO COMPUTE THE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE TOTAL VOTES FAVOR THE CANDIDATE WITH EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE.

KEY PARAMETERS AND VARIABLES

PARAMETER	DESCRIPTION	TYPICAL RANGE OR VALUES
N	TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTERS	3, 5, 10, 100, ETC.

| P | PROBABILITY A SINGLE VOTER VOTES "YES" | 0.5 (NEUTRAL), >0.5 (FAVOR), <0.5 (OPPOSE) |
| K | NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES | VARIES BASED ON SCENARIO |

CALCULATING THE PROBABILITY: THE BINOMIAL MODEL

THE CORE PROBABILISTIC MODEL USED HERE IS THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION, WHICH DESCRIBES THE NUMBER OF SUCCESSSES (VOTES IN FAVOR) IN A FIXED NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT BERNOULLI TRIALS (VOTERS), EACH WITH PROBABILITY P.

BASIC BINOMIAL PROBABILITY FORMULA

$$P(K = k) = \binom{N}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{N - k}$$

WHERE:

- $\binom{N}{k}$ IS THE BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT.
- k IS THE NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES.

DETERMINING THE CONDITIONS FOR "ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE"

THE EVENT OF INTEREST IS: THE CANDIDATE IS ACCEPTED WITH EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN?

- TOTAL VOTES: N
- NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES: K
- NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES: N - K

FOR THE CANDIDATE TO BE SELECTED WITH ONLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE, THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS MUST BE MET:

1. THE TOTAL VOTES IN FAVOR MEET THE REQUIRED THRESHOLD (E.G., MORE THAN HALF, TWO-THIRDS, OR UNANIMITY).
2. THE NUMBER OF DISSENTING VOTES IS EXACTLY ONE, I.E., $(N - k = 1)$, OR EQUIVALENTLY, $(k = N - 1)$.

THUS, THE EVENT CORRESPONDS TO THE SCENARIO:

$$\text{NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES} = N - 1$$

CALCULATING THE PROBABILITY FOR DIFFERENT DECISION THRESHOLDS

THE PROBABILITY DEPENDS ON THE VOTING THRESHOLD AND THE ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT VOTER INDEPENDENCE AND UNIFORMITY.

CASE 1: SIMPLE MAJORITY DECISION

THRESHOLD: MORE THAN HALF OF THE VOTES (I.E., $\lceil n/2 \rceil$)

EVENT: CANDIDATE IS APPROVED WITH EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE, WHICH REQUIRES:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lceil k \rceil \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil \\ & \text{AND} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lceil n - k \rceil = 1 \rightarrow k = n - 1$$

FEASIBILITY: FOR THE EVENT TO BE POSSIBLE, $(k = n - 1 \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil)$.

- FOR EXAMPLE, IF $(n=5)$, THEN $(k=4)$. SINCE $(\lceil 5/2 \rceil=3)$, AND $(4 \geq 3)$, THIS EVENT IS POSSIBLE.

PROBABILITY CALCULATION:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\text{CANDIDATE SELECTED WITH EXACTLY ONE DISSENT}) = P(K = n - 1) = \binom{n}{n-1} p^{n-1} (1-p)^1 \\ & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = n \times p^{n-1} \times (1-p) \\ & \end{aligned}$$

INTERPRETATION: THE PROBABILITY DEPENDS ON THE NUMBER OF VOTERS, THE PROBABILITY p THAT EACH VOTES "YES," AND THE BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT.

EXAMPLE CALCULATION:

SUPPOSE:

- $(n=5)$
- $(p=0.6)$ (EACH VOTER HAS A 60% CHANCE OF VOTING "YES")

THEN,

$$\begin{aligned} & P = 5 \times (0.6)^4 \times 0.4 \approx 5 \times 0.1296 \times 0.4 = 5 \times 0.05184 = 0.2592 \\ & \end{aligned}$$

SO, THERE'S APPROXIMATELY A 25.92% CHANCE THAT THE CANDIDATE PASSES WITH EXACTLY ONE DISSENTING VOTE UNDER THESE ASSUMPTIONS.

CASE 2: SUPERMAJORITY THRESHOLDS (E.G., 2/3)

SUPPOSE THE DECISION REQUIRES AT LEAST $\lceil 2N/3 \rceil$ "YES" VOTES.

EVENT:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \\ & k \geq \lceil 2N/3 \rceil \\ & \} \\ \text{AND} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \\ & N - k = 1 \rightarrow k = N - 1 \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

CHECK IF $(k = N - 1 \geq \lceil 2N/3 \rceil)$.

- FOR EXAMPLE, WITH $(N=9)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \\ & \lceil 2 \times 9 / 3 \rceil = \lceil 6 \rceil = 6 \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

AND

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \\ & k = 8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\}$$

SINCE $8 \geq 6$, THE EVENT IS FEASIBLE.

PROBABILITY:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \\ & P = \text{BINOM}\{N\}\{N - 1\} p^{N - 1} (1 - p) = N \times p^{N - 1} \times (1 - p) \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

THE SAME FORMULA APPLIES, BUT THE EVENT'S FEASIBILITY DEPENDS ON THE THRESHOLD.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE MODEL: VOTER BEHAVIOR AND GROUP SIZE

THE CALCULATIONS REVEAL SEVERAL IMPORTANT POINTS ABOUT THE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCH DECISION OUTCOMES:

- VOTER AGREEMENT PROBABILITY INCREASES WITH P: IF VOTERS ARE HIGHLY LIKELY TO APPROVE (P CLOSE TO 1), THE PROBABILITY OF PASSING WITH ONLY ONE DISSENT IS HIGHER.
- GROUP SIZE MATTERS: LARGER GROUPS TEND TO REDUCE THE PROBABILITY OF SUCH NARROW MARGINS BECAUSE THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION BECOMES MORE CONCENTRATED AROUND THE MEAN.
- DECISION THRESHOLDS SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACT FEASIBILITY: HIGHER THRESHOLDS (SUPERMAJORITIES) MAY MAKE PASSING WITH JUST ONE DISSENT IMPOSSIBLE OR HIGHLY UNLIKELY.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE

UNDERSTANDING THE PROBABILITY OF A CANDIDATE PASSING WITH ONLY ONE DISSENT HAS REAL-WORLD RELEVANCE:

- ASSESSING DECISION ROBUSTNESS: NARROW APPROVALS SUGGEST CONTENTIOUS OR MARGINAL CONSENSUS.
- DESIGNING VOTING SYSTEMS: KNOWING THESE PROBABILITIES HELPS ORGANIZATIONS SET THRESHOLDS THAT BALANCE INCLUSIVITY AND DECISIVENESS.
- ANALYZING GROUP DYNAMICS: HIGH PROBABILITIES OF ONLY ONE DISSENT MIGHT INDICATE POLARIZATION OR STRATEGIC VOTING PATTERNS.
- PREDICTING OUTCOMES: FOR POLITICAL ANALYSTS AND ORGANIZATIONAL LEADERS, PROBABILISTIC MODELS INFORM EXPECTATIONS AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.

LIMITATIONS AND EXTENSIONS OF THE MODEL

WHILE THE BINOMIAL MODEL PROVIDES A SOLID FOUNDATION, IT HAS LIMITATIONS:

- ASSUMES INDEPENDENT VOTES, WHICH MAY NOT REFLECT REAL-WORLD CORRELATIONS.
- ASSUMES IDENTICAL VOTER PROBABILITIES (p), IGNORING VOTER INFLUENCE, ALLIANCES, OR PRESSURE.
- DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR STRATEGIC VOTING, WHERE VOTERS MAY VOTE DIFFERENTLY BASED ON EXPECTATIONS.

EXTENSIONS COULD INCLUDE:

[What Is The Probability That A Candidate Will Be Selected With Only One Dissenting Vote All But One](#)

What Is The Probability That A Candidate Will Be Selected With Only One Dissenting Vote All But One

Related Articles

- [True Or False: It Is Possible For The Unsystematic Risk Of A Portfolio To Be Reduced Almost To Zero.](#)
- [The Nurse Performed Physical Assessments For Four Female Clients During Their General Checkup. Which](#)
- [The European Great Powers Welcomed A Civil War In The United States For All Of The Following Reasons](#)

[Back to Home](#)