

Among All The Memory Allocation Schemas We Covered, Which Schemes Suffer From Internal Fragmentation?

Among All The Memory Allocation Schemas We Covered, Which Schemes Suffer From Internal Fragmentation?

Memory management is a fundamental aspect of operating systems, ensuring efficient utilization of system resources. Different memory allocation schemes have been developed to address various needs, but they come with their own trade-offs. One common challenge faced by many schemes is internal fragmentation, which leads to wasted space within allocated memory blocks. Understanding which schemes are prone to internal fragmentation is essential for selecting the most appropriate method for specific systems and applications. In this comprehensive article, we will explore the memory allocation schemes covered, analyze which suffer from internal fragmentation, and discuss the reasons behind it.

Understanding Internal Fragmentation

Before delving into specific schemes, it's important to understand what internal fragmentation entails.

What is Internal Fragmentation?

Internal fragmentation occurs when allocated memory blocks are larger than the requested memory size, leaving unused space within the block. This wasted space cannot be used by other processes and results in inefficient memory utilization.

Contrast with External Fragmentation

While internal fragmentation deals with wasted space within allocated blocks, external fragmentation refers to the scattered free memory blocks that are too small to satisfy new allocation requests, leading to inefficient overall memory utilization.

Impacts of Internal Fragmentation

- Reduced effective memory availability
- Increased memory wastage
- Potential for increased paging or swapping

Memory Allocation Schemes Covered

The common memory allocation schemes include:

1. Contiguous Allocation (Fixed and Variable Partitioning)
2. Buddy System Allocation
3. Slab Allocation
4. Segmentation
5. Paging
6. Segmentation with Paging

Each scheme offers various advantages and disadvantages, especially concerning internal fragmentation.

Schemes Prone to Internal Fragmentation

In this section, we analyze each scheme's susceptibility to internal fragmentation, detailing the causes and extent of wastage.

1. Fixed Partitioning

Overview

In fixed partitioning, memory is divided into fixed-sized partitions at system initialization. Processes are allocated to partitions that fit their size.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- High susceptibility to internal fragmentation.
- When a process is smaller than the partition size, the leftover space within the partition remains unused.
- For example, if a 50MB process is allocated a 64MB partition, 14MB remains unused.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- Predefined fixed sizes do not adapt to process sizes.
- Wasted space occurs whenever process size is less than the partition size.

Implications

- Inefficient utilization when process sizes vary significantly.
- Suitable only when process sizes are uniform.

2. Variable Partitioning

Overview

Memory is allocated dynamically based on process size, leading to variable-sized partitions.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- Less prone compared to fixed partitioning.
- Internal fragmentation is minimal as partitions match process sizes more closely.

However,

internal fragmentation can still occur if the allocated block is larger than needed and the allocator leaves unused space within the block.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- When memory is allocated in blocks larger than the process, leftover space inside the block causes internal fragmentation.
- Fragmentation depends on the allocator's strategy.

3. Buddy System Allocation

Overview

Memory is divided into blocks of size 2^k , where each block can be split or merged with its buddy.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- Moderate susceptibility.
- Because memory blocks are allocated in sizes that are powers of two, the requested size is rounded up to the nearest power of two.
- This rounding can lead to unused space within the allocated block.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- When a process requests memory, it is allocated the smallest power-of-two block that can accommodate it.
- The difference between the requested size and the block size constitutes internal fragmentation.

Example

If a process requests 70KB, the system allocates 128KB (2^7), resulting in 58KB of internal fragmentation.

4. Paging

Overview

Memory is divided into fixed-size pages, and processes are allocated pages as needed.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- Generally not affected by internal fragmentation.
- Because pages are fixed in size, internal fragmentation only occurs within the last page of a process if the process size is not a multiple of page size.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- The last page may be only partially filled.
- The remaining space in the last page is unused.

Implication

- Internal fragmentation in paging is limited to the last page.

- Suitable for systems where process sizes vary widely.

5. Segmentation

Overview

Memory is divided into segments based on logical divisions like code, data, stack, etc.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- Variable susceptibility.
- Internal fragmentation occurs if segments are allocated with extra space or if segment sizes are overestimated.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- When segments are allocated larger than needed, unused space within a segment causes internal fragmentation.
- Segmentation can be flexible, but inaccurate size estimation increases wastage.

6. Segmentation with Paging

Overview

Combines segmentation and paging to leverage benefits of both.

Internal Fragmentation Characteristics

- Reduced internal fragmentation compared to pure segmentation.
- Since segments are broken into pages, internal fragmentation mainly occurs within the last page of each segment.

Reasons for Internal Fragmentation

- Similar to paging, last page may be partially filled, causing small amounts of internal wastage.

Summary table of internal fragmentation susceptibility

Memory Scheme	Susceptibility to Internal Fragmentation	Key Reasons
Fixed Partitioning	High	Fixed sizes often do not match process sizes
Variable Partitioning	Low to Moderate	Allocation matches process size more closely
Buddy System	Moderate	Power-of-two block rounding causes wastage
Paging	Low	Limited to last page; internal fragmentation within last page
Segmentation	Variable	Overestimation of segment size causes internal wastage
Segmentation with Paging	Low	Paging reduces internal fragmentation, mainly last page

Conclusion: Which Schemes Suffer Most from Internal Fragmentation?

Based on the analysis, Fixed Partitioning exhibits the highest internal fragmentation among the schemes covered. Its rigid partition sizes often leave significant unused space within each partition, especially when process sizes vary widely. This leads to inefficient memory utilization and wastage.

Buddy System Allocation also suffers from internal fragmentation, but to a lesser extent, due to its power-of-two block allocation strategy, which causes rounding up of sizes.

Segmentation can suffer from internal fragmentation if segments are over-allocated; however, its flexible sizing allows for better utilization compared to fixed partitioning.

Paging and Segmentation with Paging are designed to minimize internal fragmentation, with paging only experiencing it at the last page boundary. Their fixed or variable segment sizes are broken down into smaller, manageable units, reducing internal wastage.

Final Thoughts

The choice of memory allocation scheme significantly impacts internal fragmentation. Schemes like fixed partitioning are simple but highly wasteful, making them suitable only for specific scenarios with uniform process sizes. In contrast, schemes like paging and segmentation can effectively reduce internal fragmentation, making them preferable in systems where efficient memory utilization is critical.

Understanding the nature of internal fragmentation and the characteristics of each scheme enables system designers and developers to select the most appropriate memory management strategy, balancing performance, complexity, and efficiency.

Keywords: internal fragmentation, memory allocation schemes, fixed partitioning, variable partitioning, buddy system, paging, segmentation, memory management, operating systems, memory wastage

Frequently Asked Questions

Which memory allocation schemes are prone to internal fragmentation?

Fixed partitioning schemes, such as fixed-sized partition allocation, suffer from internal fragmentation because memory is divided into fixed sizes, leading to unused space within allocated partitions.

Does dynamic partitioning experience internal fragmentation?

Yes, dynamic partitioning can experience internal fragmentation when allocated partitions are larger than the actual process size, leaving unused space inside the allocated area.

Are slab allocation schemes affected by internal fragmentation?

Slab allocation schemes are designed to reduce internal fragmentation by allocating memory in slabs suitable for objects of specific sizes, but some internal fragmentation can still occur if objects are smaller than the slab size.

Which memory management approach minimizes internal fragmentation?

Paging and segmentation with flexible sizing can minimize internal fragmentation, especially when used with techniques like page sharing or variable-sized segments.

How does internal fragmentation impact system performance?

Internal fragmentation leads to inefficient memory utilization, potentially reducing the amount of usable memory and decreasing system performance due to wasted space and increased memory management overhead.

Can compaction techniques help reduce internal fragmentation?

Yes, compaction techniques can help reduce internal fragmentation by rearranging memory contents to consolidate free space, making larger contiguous blocks available for allocation.

Additional Resources

Memory Allocation Schemes and Internal Fragmentation: An In-Depth Analysis

Memory management is a cornerstone of operating system design, directly impacting system performance, efficiency, and resource utilization. Among the various schemes devised for dynamic memory allocation, understanding their strengths and weaknesses—particularly regarding internal fragmentation—is crucial. In this detailed review, we explore which memory allocation schemes suffer from internal fragmentation, dissecting their mechanisms, advantages, and pitfalls.

Understanding Memory Allocation Schemes

Before diving into the specifics of internal fragmentation, it's essential to grasp the fundamental memory allocation schemes:

1. Fixed Partitioning

- Memory is divided into a fixed number of partitions of predetermined sizes.
- Each partition can hold exactly one process.
- Simple to implement but inflexible.

2. Dynamic Partitioning

- Memory is divided into partitions dynamically based on process size.
- Can be exact, with partitions sized to match process requirements.

3. Paging

- Memory is divided into fixed-size blocks called frames.
- Processes are divided into pages, which are mapped onto frames.
- Eliminates external fragmentation but can cause internal fragmentation.

4. Segmentation

- Memory is divided into variable-sized segments based on logical divisions like functions or modules.
- Can suffer from external fragmentation.

5. Buddy System

- Memory is managed in blocks that are powers of two.
- When a request arrives, the system finds a suitable block; if it's larger, it splits it.
- Combines free blocks of the same size during deallocation.

Defining Internal Fragmentation

Internal fragmentation occurs when allocated memory may be larger than the actual data needs, leaving unused space within an allocated block. This unused space cannot be allocated to other processes, resulting in inefficient utilization of memory.

Key points about internal fragmentation:

- It arises mainly due to allocation schemes that assign fixed-size blocks.
- It is different from external fragmentation, which involves free memory scattered in small, non-contiguous chunks.
- The degree of internal fragmentation depends on the allocation scheme and process size variations.

Which Schemes Suffer from Internal Fragmentation?

Analyzing each memory allocation scheme reveals varying degrees of susceptibility to internal fragmentation.

1. Fixed Partitioning

Susceptibility: High

- Why?

Fixed partitioning involves dividing memory into partitions of fixed sizes. When a process is loaded into a partition larger than its actual size, the remaining space within that partition remains unused. This space constitutes internal fragmentation.

- Example:

Suppose memory is divided into three fixed partitions: 100KB, 200KB, and 300KB.

- A process requiring 90KB will occupy the 100KB partition, leaving 10KB unused (internal fragmentation).

- Similarly, a 180KB process in the 200KB partition wastes 20KB internally.

- Implication:

The smaller the process relative to the partition size, the greater the internal fragmentation. To minimize waste, partitions are often made large enough to accommodate the largest process, but this leads to inefficient utilization for smaller processes.

- Advantages and Disadvantages:

- Advantages: Simple to implement, fast, and easy to manage.

- Disadvantages: High internal fragmentation, inflexibility, potential underutilization.

2. Dynamic Partitioning

Susceptibility: Moderate to High

- Why?

Dynamic partitioning attempts to allocate memory exactly matching process sizes, minimizing wastage. However, due to the variability of process sizes and the need to allocate in contiguous blocks, internal fragmentation can still occur.

- Mechanism:
- When a process is allocated memory, it receives a block that fits its size precisely or nearly so.
- If the process size does not exactly match available free space, the remaining space within the allocated block (if allocated as a single contiguous chunk) becomes internal fragmentation.

- Example:

If a process requires 120KB and the allocated block is 128KB, then 8KB remains unused internally.

- Trade-offs:
- Pros: Reduced external fragmentation compared to fixed partitioning.
- Cons: Internal fragmentation can still occur, especially when the process size is not a perfect fit.

- Mitigation Strategies:

- Use of best-fit or first-fit algorithms to find the most suitable free block.
- Partition splitting and coalescing to reduce internal wastage.

3. Paging

Susceptibility: High

- Why?

Paging fundamentally divides memory into fixed-size frames (say, 4KB). When a process is allocated pages, each page is mapped into a frame, but because process sizes rarely match frame sizes exactly, internal fragmentation arises within each frame.

- Mechanism:

- Each process is divided into pages, and each page is loaded into a frame.
- If a process's total size isn't an exact multiple of the frame size, the last page will only be partially used, leaving unused space.

- Example:

- Process size: 10,000 bytes
- Frame size: 4,096 bytes (4KB)
- Number of pages: 3 ($3 \times 4,096 = 12,288$ bytes)
- Total allocated memory: 12,288 bytes
- Internal fragmentation: $12,288 - 10,000 = 2,288$ bytes, which is wasted within the last page.

- Impact:

- Internal fragmentation is inherent to paging due to fixed frame sizes.
- Smaller frames reduce internal fragmentation but increase overhead.

- Larger frames minimize overhead but increase internal fragmentation.
- Advantages and Disadvantages:
 - Advantages: Eliminates external fragmentation, allows non-contiguous memory allocation.
 - Disadvantages: Internal fragmentation within frames, additional overhead for page tables.

4. Segmentation

Susceptibility: Low to Moderate

- Why?

Segmentation uses variable-sized segments based on logical divisions, which reduces internal fragmentation compared to fixed schemes. However, internal fragmentation can still occur if segments are allocated with some minimal overhead or if segment sizes are rounded up.
- Mechanism:
 - Segments are allocated based on process needs, often matching the actual data size.
 - Internal fragmentation might occur if segments are allocated slightly larger than necessary due to the allocator's constraints or alignment requirements.
- Example:
 - A code segment requiring 50KB might be allocated a segment of 52KB, resulting in 2KB of internal fragmentation.
- Impact:
 - Less internal fragmentation compared to fixed schemes, but still possible, especially in systems with alignment or padding constraints.
- Advantages and Disadvantages:
 - Advantages: More flexible, better utilization, logical division of memory.
 - Disadvantages: External fragmentation remains an issue.

5. Buddy System

Susceptibility: Moderate

- Why?

The Buddy System manages memory in blocks of sizes that are powers of two. When a process requests memory, the system finds a block of adequate size, splitting larger blocks if necessary. Upon deallocation, the system coalesces neighboring free blocks ("buddies"). Internal fragmentation arises because processes may not fit neatly into these power-of-two blocks, leading to wasted space.

- Mechanism:

- When a process requests memory, the allocator finds the smallest block that can satisfy the request.
- If the block is larger, it splits it into two "buddies" of half the size and continues until the block size matches the request or cannot be split further.

- Example:

- Request: 70KB

- Available block sizes: 128KB, 64KB, 32KB, etc.

- Since $70\text{KB} > 64\text{KB}$, the system allocates a 128KB block, then splits it into two 64KB buddies.

- The process receives 64KB, leading to internal fragmentation of 4KB ($128\text{KB} - 70\text{KB}$).

- Alternatively, the system might choose the next larger size, leading to similar internal waste.

- Impact:

- Internal fragmentation is inherent due to block size granularity, but it's somewhat controlled because blocks are of sizes that are powers of two.

- Advantages and Disadvantages:

- Advantages: Efficient coalescing of free blocks, reduces external fragmentation.

- Disadvantages: Internal fragmentation due to block size granularity, especially for small requests.

Summary of Internal Fragmentation Susceptibility

Memory Scheme	Degree of Internal Fragmentation	Explanation
---------------	----------------------------------	-------------

-----	-----	-----

Fixed Partitioning	High	Fixed sizes lead to significant waste for smaller processes.
--------------------	------	--

Dynamic Partitioning	Moderate to High	Exact fit reduces waste but still susceptible when sizes don't match exactly.
----------------------	------------------	---

Paging	High	Fixed frame sizes cause leftover space in the last page of processes.
--------	------	---

Segmentation	Low to Moderate	Variable-sized segments minimize internal waste, but some padding/alignment can cause minor waste.
--------------	-----------------	--

Buddy System	Moderate	Power-of-two block sizes cause internal
--------------	----------	---

Among All The Memory Allocation Schemas We Covered Which Schemes Suffer From Internal Fragmentation

Among All The Memory Allocation Schemas We Covered Which Schemes Suffer From Internal Fragmentation

Related Articles

- [Al Wants To Put Tile In His Kitchen That Measures 13 Feet Long And 7 Feet Wide. How Much Tile Will He](#)
- [Assume A New Customer Arrived And Was Good At Weight Lifting. The Employee Then Expected The Customer](#)
- [A Summary, In Your Own Words, Of The Book Selected For Two-year-olds. This Summary Should Provide The](#)

[Back to Home](#)