At What Speed, In M/s , Would A Moving Clock Lose 2.7 Ns In 1.0 Day According To Experimenters On The

At What Speed, In M/s , Would A Moving Clock Lose 2.7 Ns In 1.0 Day According To Experimenters On The

Understanding the effects of relativistic phenomena on time measurement has fascinated scientists and physics enthusiasts alike for over a century. One intriguing question posed in the realm of special relativity is: At what speed, in meters per second (m/s), would a moving clock lose 2.7 nanoseconds (Ns) over the course of one day, according to experimental observations? This question delves into the core principles of time dilation—a key concept introduced by Albert Einstein—and has practical implications in fields such as satellite navigation, high-speed travel, and fundamental physics research. This article aims to provide a comprehensive, step-by-step analysis of this problem, exploring the theoretical background, mathematical derivation, and real-world considerations.

Understanding Time Dilation in Special Relativity

What Is Time Dilation?

Time dilation is a relativistic effect predicted by Einstein's theory of special relativity, which states that time as measured by a clock moving at a significant fraction of the speed of light appears to pass more slowly compared to a stationary observer's clock. This phenomenon has been experimentally confirmed through various experiments, including atomic clock comparisons on airplanes, satellites, and particle accelerators.

Mathematical Expression of Time Dilation

The fundamental formula for time dilation is:

$$
\Delta t = \gamma \, \Delta t_0
$$

where:
- Δt is the time interval measured by the stationary observer (or the rest frame),
- Δt_0 is the proper time interval measured by the moving clock,
- γ (the Lorentz factor) is given by:

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
$$

In this context:
- v is the relative velocity between the observer and the moving clock,
- c is the speed of light in vacuum ($c \approx 3 \times 10^8 \, \text{m/s}$).

Breaking Down the Problem

The problem involves determining the velocity v at which a moving clock, observed over a period of one day, would lag behind a stationary clock by 2.7 nanoseconds. To clarify:

- The rest frame is the frame of the stationary observer or experimenters.
- The moving clock is traveling at speed v.
- The loss of 2.7 ns refers to the amount of proper time the moving clock "loses" compared to the stationary clock over one day.

Key Concepts and Assumptions

Before proceeding, it's essential to understand the assumptions and the setup:

- The duration of observation is 1 day in the stationary frame, i.e., $T = 1\, \text{day} = 86400\, \text{seconds}$.
- The time difference (loss) observed in the moving clock's proper time is $\Delta \tau = 2.7\, \text{ns} = 2.7 \times 10^{-9}\, \text{s}$.
- The frame of the experimenters is considered inertial, and relativistic effects are solely due to the relative motion.
- The proper time τ is the time experienced by the moving clock.
- The dilated time t (from stationary observer's perspective) is approximately 1 day.

Mathematical Derivation

Relating Proper Time and Coordinate Time

In special relativity, the proper time elapsed on the moving clock is related to the coordinate time (stationary frame) by:

$$
\Delta \tau = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma}
$$

where:
- $\Delta t = 86400\, \text{s}$,
- $\Delta \tau = \Delta t - \text{time lost}$.

Given that the moving clock loses 2.7 ns relative to the stationary clock, the proper time during the entire day is:

$$
\Delta \tau = \Delta t - 2.7 \times 10^{-9}\, \text{s}
$$

Since Δt is large compared to the loss, we approximate:

$$
\Delta \tau \approx 86400\, \text{s} - 2.7 \times 10^{-9}\, \text{s}
$$

But for the purposes of the derivation, it's more straightforward to express the relationship directly:

$$
\Delta \tau = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma}
$$

which leads to:

$$
\gamma = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta \tau}
$$

Given the small loss (2.7 ns), the proper time is:

$$
\Delta \tau = \Delta t - 2.7 \times 10^{-9}\, \text{s}
$$

But since $\Delta t = 86400\, \text{s}$, the ratio is:

$$
\gamma = \frac{86400\, \text{s}}{86400\, \text{s} - 2.7 \times 10^{-9}\, \text{s}} \approx 1 + \frac{2.7 \times 10^{-9}}{86400}
$$

Calculating this:

$$
\frac{2.7 \times 10^{-9}}{86400} \approx 3.125 \times 10^{-14}
$$

Thus,

$$

$$
\gamma \approx 1 + 3.125 \times 10^{-14}
$$

which indicates an extremely small deviation from 1, as expected.

Finding the Velocity v

Recall that:

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
$$

Rearranged to find v:

$$
v = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}}
$$

Given $\gamma \approx 1 + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \approx 3.125 \times 10^{-14}$, then:

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \approx 1 - 2\epsilon
$$

using the binomial approximation for small ϵ:

$$
(1 + \epsilon)^{-2} \approx 1 - 2\epsilon
$$

Substituting:

$$
v \approx c \sqrt{1 - (1 - 2 \times 3.125 \times 10^{-14})} = c \sqrt{2 \times 3.125 \times 10^{-14}}
$$

Calculating:

$$
2 \times 3.125 \times 10^{-14} = 6.25 \times 10^{-14}
$$

then:

$$
v \approx 3 \times 10^8\, \text{m/s} \times \sqrt{6.25 \times 10^{-14}}
$$

$$
\sqrt{6.25 \times 10^{-14}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}
$$

Therefore,

$$
v \approx 3 \times 10^8\, \text{m/s} \times 2.5 \times 10^{-7} = 75\, \text{m/s}
$$

Result:

$$
\boxed{
v \approx 75\, \text{m/s}
}
$$

Interpretation of the Result

The calculation reveals that a clock moving at approximately 75 meters per second (roughly 270 km/h or 168 mph) would experience a loss of about 2.7 nanoseconds over the span of one day, according to the predictions of special relativity. This is an extraordinarily small effect, illustrating why relativistic time dilation is negligible at everyday speeds but becomes significant at velocities approaching the speed of light.

Note: The derivation assumes ideal conditions and neglects other potential sources of error or experimental uncertainties.

Practical Implications and Real-World Examples

Relativistic Effects in Satellite Systems

The Global Positioning System (GPS) provides a real-world example of relativistic effects. Satellites orbiting Earth move at speeds of about 3.9 km/s and experience both special and general relativistic time dilation. The combined effect causes the satellite clocks to gain approximately 38 microseconds per day relative to ground-based clocks, which must be corrected for accurate positioning.

High-Speed Travel and Future Technologies

While current transportation technologies are far below the relativistic regime, future advancements in high-speed travel could make relativistic effects more pronounced. Understanding how time dilation operates at different velocities is crucial for navigation, communication, and synchronization in such scenarios.

Experimental Verification of Time Dilation

Experiments like the Hafele–Keating experiment (1971), where atomic clocks were flown around the world on commercial aircraft, have confirmed the predictions of special relativity with remarkable precision. These experiments validate that even at speeds much lower than the speed of light, tiny but measurable

Frequently Asked Questions

At what speed, in meters per second, would a moving clock lose 2.7 nanoseconds in 1.0 day according to experimenters?

The clock would need to move at approximately 91.4 m/s to lose 2.7 nanoseconds in one day.

How is time dilation related to the loss of time in a moving clock measured in experiments?

Time dilation causes moving clocks to run slower compared to stationary clocks, resulting in measurable time loss such as 2.7 nanoseconds over a day at specific speeds.

What is the significance of a 2.7 nanosecond time loss over one day in relativistic experiments?

It demonstrates the effects of special relativity at relatively low speeds, showing how even modest velocities can produce measurable time differences.

Which experimental setups typically measure such small time discrepancies in moving clocks?

High-precision atomic clocks on aircraft, satellites, or in particle accelerators are used to measure nanosecond-level time differences due to motion.

What formula is used to calculate the speed at which a clock loses a certain amount of time over a period?

The formula involves the time dilation relation: $\Delta t = t / \sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}$, where v is the speed and c is the speed of light, to determine the required velocity for a given time loss.

Why is the speed of approximately 91.4 m/s significant in this context?

Because it shows that relatively low speeds can cause measurable time dilation effects, which are important for precise timekeeping in technologies like GPS.

Can such a small time loss (2.7 ns in a day) be practically observed and measured with current technology?

Yes, with current high-precision atomic clocks and measurement techniques, such tiny differences can be accurately detected and analyzed.

Additional Resources

At What Speed, In M/s , Would A Moving Clock Lose 2.7 Ns In 1.0 Day According To Experimenters On The

In the realm of modern physics, the concept of time dilation—where time appears to pass differently for observers in relative motion—has transitioned from theoretical musings to experimental realities. One intriguing question that often arises in this context is: At what speed, in meters per second (m/s), would a moving clock lose a specific amount of time over a defined period? Specifically, how fast must an object travel so that its clock, compared to a stationary one, loses 2.7 nanoseconds (Ns) over the span of one day? This question not only touches the core principles of special relativity but also highlights the precision with which scientists measure and validate these effects.

In this article, we will explore the physics behind time dilation, interpret the given parameters, and methodically calculate the speed necessary for a clock to lose 2.7 nanoseconds in one day according to experimental observations. We will delve into the mathematical foundations, discuss real-world implications, and examine how such tiny temporal differences are significant in high-precision applications like GPS technology, particle physics experiments, and space navigation.

Understanding the Fundamentals: What is Time Dilation?

Before diving into calculations, it's essential to grasp the fundamental concept at play: time dilation.

The Principle of Special Relativity

Albert Einstein's special theory of relativity, formulated in 1905, revolutionized our understanding of space and time. One of its central tenets is that time is relative, and the rate at which a clock ticks depends on the observer's frame of reference. When an object moves at a significant fraction of the speed of light relative to an observer, the observer perceives that object's clock to run slower.

Quantitative Description

Time dilation is quantified by the Lorentz factor (γ), given by:

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
$$

where:
- v = relative velocity between observer and moving clock,
- c = speed of light in vacuum (~$3.00 \times 10^8 \, \text{m/s}$).

The relation between proper time (t_0), which is the time measured by the moving clock, and the coordinate time (t), measured by a stationary observer, is:

$$
t = \gamma t_0
$$

or equivalently, the moving clock runs slower:

$$
\Delta t = \gamma \Delta t_0
$$

In our context, we're interested in how much less time the moving clock records over a period, compared to a stationary clock.

Interpreting the Problem Parameters

The problem states:

> A moving clock would lose 2.7 nanoseconds (Ns) in 1.0 day according to experimenters.

Let's clarify what this means:

- "Lose" 2.7 Ns: The moving clock measures 2.7 nanoseconds less than the stationary clock over 1 day.
- Time period: 1 day, which is 86,400 seconds.
- What is being asked?: The speed v at which this discrepancy occurs.

In essence, the experimenters observe that, due to relativistic effects, the moving clock lags behind the stationary clock by 2.7 nanoseconds over one day. Our goal is to find the speed v that causes this specific time difference.

Step-by-Step Calculation

1. Establish the Relationship Between Time Difference and Velocity

The key idea is that the difference in elapsed time between the stationary and moving clocks over the period is caused by time dilation.

- Proper time for the moving clock (t'):

$$
t' = \frac{t}{\gamma}
$$

- The difference in time (Δt) between the stationary clock and the moving clock:

$$
\Delta t = t - t' = t - \frac{t}{\gamma} = t \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)
$$

Given:
- $t = 86,400 \, \text{s}$,
- $\Delta t = 2.7 \, \text{ns} = 2.7 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{s}$,

we can solve for γ:

$$
\Delta t = t \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{\Delta t}{t}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma} = 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{t}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Delta t}{t}}
$$

Calculating:

$$
\frac{\Delta t}{t} = \frac{2.7 \times 10^{-9}}{86,400} \approx 3.125 \times 10^{-14}
$$

Thus,

$$
\gamma \approx \frac{1}{1 - 3.125 \times 10^{-14}} \approx 1 + 3.125 \times 10^{-14}
$$

(Since the difference is extremely tiny, the Lorentz factor is very close to 1.)

---

2. Approximating the Lorentz Factor for Small Velocities

When $v \ll c$, the Lorentz factor can be approximated as:

\[

$$
\gamma \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2}
$$

Rearranged:

$$
\gamma - 1 \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2}
$$

From earlier, since $\gamma \approx 1 + 3.125 \times 10^{-14}$:

$$
\gamma - 1 \approx 3.125 \times 10^{-14}
$$

Plugging in:

$$
3.125 \times 10^{-14} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2}
$$

$$
v^2 \approx 2 \times 3.125 \times 10^{-14} \times c^2
$$

$$
v^2 \approx 6.25 \times 10^{-14} \times c^2
$$

Taking the square root:

$$
v \approx c \times \sqrt{6.25 \times 10^{-14}}
$$

$$
v \approx c \times 2.5 \times 10^{-7}
$$

Finally, substituting $c = 3.00 \times 10^8 \, \text{m/s}$:

$$
v \approx 3.00 \times 10^8 \times 2.5 \times 10^{-7} = 75 \, \text{m/s}
$$

Final Answer:

The moving clock must travel at approximately 75 meters per second to lag behind the stationary clock by 2.7 nanoseconds over the course of one day.

Implications and Real-World Context

While 75 m/s might seem modest—roughly equivalent to a fast highway speed—the significance of such tiny time differences becomes profound at higher velocities or in high-precision systems.

1. High-Precision Timing Devices

Atomic clocks on satellites, such as those used in GPS, experience relativistic effects that necessitate corrections even on the order of nanoseconds. At orbital speeds (~4 km/s), the cumulative time difference over a day approaches microseconds, which can cause positioning errors if uncorrected.

2. Particle Accelerators

In particle physics, particles are accelerated to speeds close to \(c \). The relativistic effects significantly influence decay rates and collision outcomes, making precise calculations essential.

3. Space Travel and Navigation

Understanding time dilation is crucial for navigation and communication with spacecraft traveling at high speeds or in strong gravitational fields, where even nanosecond discrepancies can impact mission success.

Conclusion

The phenomenon of time dilation, once a theoretical prediction, has become a measurable and integral aspect of modern science and technology. The calculation detailed above illustrates that to experience a delay of just 2.7 nanoseconds in a day—a tiny fraction of elapsed time—a clock must move at approximately 75 meters per second relative to a stationary observer. Though this speed is modest in everyday terms, it exemplifies the incredible precision of relativistic effects and their importance in high-precision systems.

Understanding and accounting for such minute differences ensures the accuracy of technologies ranging from global positioning systems to fundamental physics experiments. As our technological capabilities advance, so too does our appreciation for the subtle but profound effects of Einstein's theory of relativity, which continues to shape our understanding of the universe at every scale.

At What Speed In M S Would A Moving Clock Lose 2 7 Ns In 1 0 Day According To Experimenters On The

At What Speed In M S Would A Moving Clock Lose 2 7 Ns In 1 0 Day According To Experimenters On The

Related Articles

- A Random Sample Of 100 Magazine Subscribers Finds That 38 Do Not Read The Magazine They Subscribe To.
- A Scientist Is Studying Fossils In Layers Of Rock And Observes That Whole Groups Of Fossils Disappear
- A Scoop Of Ice Cream In The Shape Of A Whole Sphere Sits In A Right Cone. The Radius Of The Ice Cream

Back to Home