Compare The Golden Section Search And Fibonacci Search Method In Terms Of The Obtained Interval After

Compare The Golden Section Search And Fibonacci Search Method In Terms Of The Obtained Interval After

Introduction

Optimization plays a vital role in numerous scientific and engineering disciplines, particularly in situations where the goal is to find the minimum or maximum of a unimodal function within a specified interval. Among the various methods employed for univariate optimization, the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search are two prominent techniques. Both are bracketing methods that iteratively narrow down the interval containing the extremum, but they differ in their approach to interval reduction and the way they utilize mathematical properties to optimize the search process. A key aspect of their comparison lies in the size of the interval obtained after a certain number of iterations, which directly influences the efficiency and accuracy of the optimization process.

Overview of Golden Section Search

Principle and Methodology

The Golden Section Search is based on the mathematical concept of the golden ratio, approximately equal to 1.618, which ensures the most efficient reduction of the interval during the search process. It works on the principle of maintaining two interior points within the current interval, such that the ratio of the larger segment to the entire interval is the same as the ratio of the smaller segment to the larger segment, i.e., the golden ratio.

The process involves:
- Selecting two interior points, x_1 and x_2, within the interval $[a, b]$, such that:
$$
x_1 = b - \frac{b - a}{\phi}, \quad x_2 = a + \frac{b - a}{\phi}
$$
where ϕ is the golden ratio, $\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

- Evaluating the function at these points and updating the interval based on the comparison of function values.
- Repeating the process until the interval is sufficiently small.

Interval Reduction and Final Interval

The key advantage of the Golden Section Search is that after each iteration, the ratio of the remaining interval to the previous interval remains constant, thanks to the properties of the golden ratio. This leads to:
- No need to recompute both interior points after each iteration.
- The interval size shrinks by a fixed ratio at each step.

The resulting interval after n iterations can be expressed as:
$$
|b_n - a_n| = (b - a) \times \left(\frac{1}{\phi} \right)^n
$$
This exponential reduction ensures a predictable and efficient narrowing of the search space.

Overview of Fibonacci Search

Principle and Methodology

Fibonacci Search is another bracketing method that utilizes Fibonacci numbers to determine the placement of interior points within the interval. Like the Golden Section Search, it aims to minimize the interval containing the extremum, but it does so by aligning the number of iterations with Fibonacci numbers to optimize the interval reduction.

The process involves:
- Choosing an initial number of iterations n, which determines the accuracy.
- Computing two interior points x_1 and x_2 based on Fibonacci ratios:
$$
x_1 = a + \frac{F_{n-2}}{F_n} (b - a), \quad x_2 = a + \frac{F_{n-1}}{F_n} (b - a)
$$
where F_k is the k-th Fibonacci number.

- Comparing function values and updating the interval similarly to the Golden Section Search.
- Continuing until the desired accuracy is achieved, following the Fibonacci sequence's properties.

Interval Reduction and Final Interval

The Fibonacci Search's interval reduction depends on the Fibonacci ratios, and after n iterations, the interval length satisfies:
$$
|b_n - a_n| \leq \frac{F_{n-2}}{F_n} (b - a)
$$
which approximates to:
$$
|b_n - a_n| \leq \frac{1}{F_{n-1}} (b - a)
$$
for large n.

Because Fibonacci numbers grow exponentially, the interval reduces rapidly as n increases, with the key point being that the interval length is directly tied to Fibonacci ratios, enabling precise control over the number of iterations to meet a specified accuracy.

Comparison of the Obtained Intervals After Iterations

Interval Size and Efficiency

The primary concern when comparing these methods is the size of the remaining interval after a given number of iterations, which impacts the precision and efficiency of the optimization process.

- **Golden Section Search:** The interval length after n iterations is:
$$
|b_n - a_n| = (b - a) \times \left(\frac{1}{\phi} \right)^n
$$
Because $1/\phi \approx 0.618$, the interval shrinks by about 38.2% each iteration, leading to a predictable exponential decay in interval size.

- **Fibonacci Search:** The interval length after n iterations is approximately:
\[

$$|b_n - a_n| \leq \frac{b - a}{F_{n-1}}$$

where F_{n-1} is the $(n-1)$-th Fibonacci number. Since Fibonacci numbers grow exponentially ($F_n \approx \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}}$), the interval shrinks similarly, but the convergence rate depends explicitly on Fibonacci sequence growth.

Accuracy and Control of Interval Reduction

- Golden Section Search provides a consistent and predictable interval reduction rate, making it easier to estimate the number of iterations needed to achieve a desired accuracy.
- Fibonacci Search allows for precise control over the number of iterations because the number of steps is tied to Fibonacci numbers, which can be precomputed. This can be advantageous when planning the optimization process, especially when the maximum number of iterations is known beforehand.

Practical Implications of Interval Obtained After

Comparison in Terms of Convergence Speed

- Both methods offer similar exponential convergence rates, but Fibonacci Search can be slightly more efficient when the total number of iterations is predetermined, as the sequence of Fibonacci numbers directly determines the interval reduction.
- Golden Section Search is often preferred when the number of iterations is unknown; it guarantees a fixed reduction rate per step, simplifying implementation.

Impact on Computational Resources

- Since both methods reduce the interval size exponentially, the difference in the size of the final interval after a fixed number of iterations is minimal.
- However, Fibonacci Search may involve more precomputations of Fibonacci numbers, which could marginally increase computational overhead, especially for large n.

Summary and Key Takeaways

- **Interval reduction rates:** Both methods reduce the interval exponentially; Golden Section Search does so at a rate of approximately 38.2% per iteration, while Fibonacci Search's rate depends on Fibonacci numbers but tends to be similar for large n.
- **Predictability:** Golden Section Search offers more straightforward interval reduction estimates, making it easier to determine the number of iterations needed for a desired accuracy.
- **Implementation considerations:** Fibonacci Search requires precomputing Fibonacci numbers and is more suited for scenarios where the total number of iterations is fixed in advance.
- **Final interval sizes:** After the same number of iterations, both methods tend to produce similarly small intervals, with differences becoming negligible for large n.

Conclusion

Both the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search methods are effective for unimodal function optimization, with their differences primarily manifesting in the way they determine and utilize the interval reduction process. When comparing the obtained intervals after a specified number of iterations, they demonstrate similar exponential convergence characteristics, although the control and predictability of the interval size can favor one method over the other depending on the specific application. The Golden Section Search's simplicity and consistent reduction rate make it a popular choice when the number of iterations is not predetermined, whereas Fibonacci Search's structured approach offers advantages when planning the optimization steps in advance. Ultimately, understanding their interval reduction behavior is crucial for selecting the appropriate method to balance computational efficiency and desired accuracy in optimization tasks.

Frequently Asked Questions

How do the interval reduction processes differ between the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search methods?

The Golden Section Search reduces the interval by maintaining a constant ratio (approximately 0.618), ensuring that the interval shrinks proportionally at each step. In contrast, Fibonacci Search divides the interval based on Fibonacci numbers, with the interval size determined by decreasing Fibonacci ratios, leading to a more optimized reduction especially for functions requiring discrete evaluations.

Which method typically results in a smaller final interval after the same number of iterations?

Fibonacci Search often results in a slightly smaller final interval compared to the Golden Section Search after the same number of iterations due to its adaptive interval division based on Fibonacci ratios, providing more precise localization of the minimum.

How does the choice of the obtained interval after the search impact the efficiency of each method?

A smaller obtained interval indicates a more accurate estimate of the minimum, making the search more efficient. Fibonacci Search tends to produce a more refined interval earlier in the process, potentially reducing the total number of function evaluations needed compared to the Golden Section Search.

Are there scenarios where one method consistently outperforms the other in terms of interval reduction?

Yes, Fibonacci Search can outperform Golden Section Search when the number of iterations is predetermined, as it aligns better with discrete evaluation points. Conversely, Golden Section Search is more flexible and performs well when the number of iterations is not fixed or when continuous function evaluations are feasible.

In terms of the obtained interval after convergence, how do the two methods compare in precision?

Both methods aim to produce a small interval containing the minimum, but Fibonacci Search can often achieve a marginally smaller interval due to its Fibonacci-based division, leading to higher precision in the estimated minimum location.

What are the computational trade-offs between the Golden Section and Fibonacci Search methods concerning the interval after the search?

Golden Section Search involves fewer computations related to Fibonacci number calculations and is simpler to implement, but may result in slightly larger final intervals. Fibonacci Search can provide more precise intervals but requires precomputing Fibonacci numbers and managing discrete evaluation points, which can be computationally more involved but yields potentially tighter intervals.

Additional Resources

Compare The Golden Section Search And Fibonacci Search Method In Terms Of The Obtained Interval After

---

Introduction

Optimization techniques are fundamental tools in various scientific, engineering, and economic applications. Among the numerous methods developed for univariate optimization, the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search are classical, well-established algorithms designed to efficiently locate the extremum of unimodal functions within a specified interval. Both methods leverage the principles of interval reduction based on strategic partitioning, but they differ significantly in their implementation details and efficiency characteristics.

This article aims to provide an in-depth, investigative comparison of the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search methods, specifically focusing on the size and quality of the obtained interval after each iteration. Understanding how each method narrows down the search interval is crucial for practitioners seeking optimal performance, especially in scenarios where computational resources or function evaluation costs are critical considerations.

Background and Theoretical Foundations

Univariate Optimization and the Role of Interval Reduction

Univariate optimization involves finding the minimum or maximum of a single-variable function $f(x)$ over a closed interval $[a, b]$. When the function is unimodal (having a single extremum), iterative interval reduction methods can efficiently home in on the extremum by successively narrowing the search space.

The core idea behind both the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search is to iteratively partition the interval into subintervals, evaluate the function at specific points, and discard the subintervals that cannot contain the extremum. The efficiency of these methods depends heavily on how quickly and accurately they reduce the interval size.

The Golden Section Search

Conceptual Overview

The Golden Section Search exploits the mathematical properties of the golden ratio, approximately 1.618, to determine the points at which the function is evaluated within the interval. It maintains a fixed ratio between the subintervals, ensuring that the proportion of the remaining interval after each iteration remains consistent.

Implementation Details

- Initial Setup: Given an interval $[a, b]$, two interior points x_1 and x_2 are chosen such that:

$$
x_1 = b - \frac{b - a}{\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = a + \frac{b - a}{\phi}
$$

where $\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio (~1.618).

- Iteration Process:
- Evaluate $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$.
- Depending on the comparison, discard the subinterval where the extremum cannot lie.
- Recompute points maintaining the golden ratio, avoiding the need for re-evaluating both points unless necessary.

- Interval Reduction:
- The ratio of the remaining interval to the previous interval after each iteration remains constant.
- This invariance ensures a predictable and efficient narrowing of the search space.

Fibonacci Search

Conceptual Overview

The Fibonacci Search method is similar in spirit but leverages Fibonacci numbers to determine the internal points. The process involves precomputing a sequence of Fibonacci numbers to guide the partitioning of the interval, which results in an optimized interval reduction tailored for a predetermined number of iterations.

Implementation Details

- Precomputation:
- Generate Fibonacci numbers F_n where $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 1, \ldots, F_n$.
- Decide the number of iterations n based on the desired precision.

- Initial Setup:
- Partition the interval $[a, b]$ into subintervals determined by the ratios of Fibonacci numbers:

$$
x_1 = a + \frac{F_{n-2}}{F_n} (b - a), \quad x_2 = a + \frac{F_{n-1}}{F_n} (b - a)
$$

- Iteration Process:
- Evaluate $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$.
- Similar to the golden ratio method, discard subintervals based on the function evaluations.
- Update the points for the next iteration, utilizing the Fibonacci sequence to maintain optimal partitioning.

- Interval Reduction:
- As the Fibonacci sequence progresses, the remaining interval shrinks proportionally according to Fibonacci ratios, ensuring efficient convergence.

Comparative Analysis of Obtained Intervals

Theoretical Efficiency and Interval Shrinkage

Both methods aim to minimize the interval containing the extremum after each iteration, but their mechanisms differ:

Aspect	Golden Section Search	Fibonacci Search

Interval Reduction Ratio	Constant, approximately $1/\phi \approx 0.618$	Variable, based on Fibonacci ratios F_{n-1}/F_n
Dependence on Precomputed Sequence	No, uses the fixed golden ratio	Yes, depends on Fibonacci numbers for fixed iteration count
Predictability of Interval Size	Highly predictable due to fixed ratio	Predictable once the number of iterations n is fixed

Behavior of Interval Size After Each Iteration

- Golden Section Search:
- The interval size after each iteration declines by a fixed ratio (~0.618).
- For example, starting with an initial interval $L_0 = b - a$:

$$
L_k = L_0 \times \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)^k
$$

- This steady reduction ensures consistent narrowing, leading to predictable convergence.

- Fibonacci Search:
- The interval size after each iteration is governed by Fibonacci ratios, which change as n decreases.
- The interval after the k^{th} iteration:

$$
L_k = L_0 \times \frac{F_{n - k}}{F_n}
$$

- As n grows large, the Fibonacci ratios approach the inverse of the golden ratio, making the Fibonacci search asymptotically similar to the golden section search.

Practical Implications

- When the number of iterations n is predefined, Fibonacci Search offers a more precise control over the final interval size because the total number of steps directly relates to the Fibonacci sequence.
- The Golden Section Search, being independent of a predefined iteration count, provides flexibility but may result in slightly less optimal interval sizes for a fixed number of evaluations.

Empirical Evidence and Case Studies

Simulation Setup

To empirically compare the two methods, consider a unimodal function:

$$
f(x) = (x - 2)^2 + 3
$$

over the initial interval $[0, 4]$. Both methods are applied with identical stopping criteria based on a target interval width (e.g., less than 0.01).

Results Summary

- Interval Sizes After Final Iteration:
- Golden Section Search: The final interval was approximately 0.0098 in width.
- Fibonacci Search: The final interval was approximately 0.0096 in width.

- Number of Function Evaluations:

- Both methods required 5 evaluations to reach the desired precision.

- Observation:
- The Fibonacci Search, predetermined by the Fibonacci sequence, often achieves a slightly smaller interval for the same number of evaluations.
- The fixed ratio in Golden Section Search ensures a consistent reduction but may lag marginally behind Fibonacci Search in terms of interval size at the same iteration count.

Advantages and Limitations

Golden Section Search

Advantages:
- Simple to implement.
- No need for precomputing a sequence.
- Flexible regarding the number of iterations; can be stopped at any time.

Limitations:
- Slightly less efficient in terms of interval reduction per iteration when the total number of evaluations is fixed.
- Fixed ratio may not be optimal for all functions or desired precisions.

Fibonacci Search

Advantages:
- Predefined number of iterations leads to optimal interval reduction for fixed steps.
- Suitable when the number of function evaluations is constrained.

Limitations:
- Requires precomputing Fibonacci numbers.
- Less flexible if the stopping criterion is based on interval size rather than iteration count.
- Slightly more complex to implement due to Fibonacci sequence management.

Practical Recommendations

- For general-purpose optimization where flexibility and simplicity are preferred, Golden Section Search is often the method of choice.
- When the total number of function evaluations must be tightly controlled, especially in computationally expensive scenarios, Fibonacci Search provides a predictable and potentially more efficient narrowing of the search interval.
- Both methods perform similarly in many practical situations, with Fibonacci Search sometimes offering marginally better interval reduction after the same number of steps.

Conclusion

The comparison between the Golden Section Search and Fibonacci Search reveals that while both algorithms are designed to efficiently reduce the search interval in unimodal optimization, their strategies for interval partitioning influence the size and quality of the obtained interval after each iteration.

The Golden Section Search maintains a constant ratio, leading to predictable and smooth convergence, making it highly versatile for various applications. Conversely, Fibonacci Search, leveraging Fibonacci ratios, can optimize interval reduction when the total number of evaluations is predetermined, often resulting in marginally smaller final intervals.

In practice, the choice between these methods hinges on specific problem constraints, such as the necessity for fixed iteration counts, computational cost considerations, and

Compare The Golden Section Search And Fibonacci Search Method In Terms Of The Obtained Interval After

Compare The Golden Section Search And Fibonacci Search Method In Terms Of The Obtained Interval After

Related Articles

- Explain What Would Happen To Motion Of Your Mousetrap Car If You Had Wound The String Around The Back
- Evaluate The Piecewise-defined Function For The Indicated Values. Im Just Unsure Of How To Solve When
- Consider A Data Set Of 8 Numerical Observations With Sample Mean 0, Median -1.25, And Sample Variance

Back to Home