

Let $F(x) = x^2 - 6$. With $P_0 = 3$ And $P_1 = 2$, Find P_3 . a. Use The Secant Method. b. Use The Method Of False

Let $F(x) = x^2 - 6$. With $P_0 = 3$ And $P_1 = 2$, Find P_3 . a. Use The Secant Method. b. Use The Method Of False

Introduction to Root-Finding Methods

In numerical analysis, root-finding algorithms are essential tools for solving equations where algebraic solutions are difficult or impossible to obtain analytically. Among these methods, the Secant Method and the Method of False Position (also known as Regula Falsi) are popular iterative techniques for approximating roots of nonlinear functions. This article explores the application of these methods to a specific function, $F(x) = x^2 - 6$, with initial approximations $P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$. The goal is to compute the third approximation P_3 using both methods, providing detailed steps and insights into their convergence behavior.

Understanding the Function $F(x) = x^2 - 6$

Before applying the root-finding methods, it is crucial to understand the characteristics of the function involved:

- Function Definition: $F(x) = x^2 - 6$
- Roots of the Function: The solutions to $x^2 - 6 = 0$ are $x = \pm\sqrt{6}$, approximately ± 2.4495 .
- Interval of Interest: Given initial points $P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$, the root near these points is approximately 2.4495 , situated between 2 and 3.

This function is continuous and differentiable everywhere, with a minimum at $x=0$. Its positive root is relevant here, as initial guesses are positive.

Applying the Secant Method

Overview of the Secant Method

The Secant Method is an iterative root-finding technique that uses two initial approximations to generate successive approximations of the root. Unlike the Newton-Raphson method, it does not require the calculation of derivatives explicitly, instead approximating the derivative via secant lines.

Formula:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - F(P_n) \times \frac{P_n - P_{n-1}}{F(P_n) - F(P_{n-1})}$$

Key points:

- Requires two initial estimates, (P_0) and (P_1) .
- Each new approximation is computed based on the previous two.
- Convergence is generally faster than simple methods like bisection but depends on the initial guesses.

Step-by-Step Calculation for (P_2) and (P_3)

Given:

- $(P_0 = 3)$
- $(P_1 = 2)$

Calculate $(F(P_0))$ and $(F(P_1))$:

$$F(3) = 3^2 - 6 = 9 - 6 = 3$$

$$F(2) = 2^2 - 6 = 4 - 6 = -2$$

Calculate (P_2) :

$$P_2 = P_1 - F(P_1) \times \frac{P_1 - P_0}{F(P_1) - F(P_0)} = 2 - (-2) \times \frac{2 - 3}{-2 - 3}$$

$$P_2 = 2 - (-2) \times \frac{-1}{-5} = 2 - (-2) \times \frac{1}{5} = 2 - \left(-\frac{2}{5}\right) = 2 + \frac{2}{5} = 2 + 0.4 = 2.4$$

Calculate $F(P_2)$:

$$F(2.4) = (2.4)^2 - 6 = 5.76 - 6 = -0.24$$

Calculate P_3 :

$$P_3 = P_2 - F(P_2) \times \frac{P_2 - P_1}{F(P_2) - F(P_1)} = 2.4 - (-0.24) \times \frac{2.4 - 2}{-0.24 - (-2)}$$

$$P_3 = 2.4 - (-0.24) \times \frac{0.4}{1.76} = 2.4 - (-0.24) \times 0.2273 \approx 2.4 + 0.0545 \approx 2.4545$$

Result:

$$\boxed{P_3 \approx 2.4545}$$

This approximates the root $(\sqrt{6} \approx 2.4495)$ quite closely after just three iterations.

Applying the Method of False Position (Regula Falsi)

Overview of the Method of False Position

The Method of False Position is similar to the Secant Method but maintains the bracketing of the root. It always ensures that one endpoint remains on one side of the root and the other on the opposite side, thereby narrowing the interval iteratively.

Formula:

$$P_{n+1} = P_a - F(P_a) \times \frac{P_b - P_a}{F(P_b) - F(P_a)}$$

where (P_a) and (P_b) are the current interval endpoints, with $(F(P_a))$ and $(F(P_b))$ their function values.

Key points:

- Requires initial interval $[P_a, P_b]$ with $(F(P_a) \times F(P_b) < 0)$.
- Ensures the root remains bracketed.
- May converge slower than the secant method but guarantees convergence for continuous functions.

Step-by-Step Calculation for (P_2) and (P_3)

Given initial guesses:

- $(P_a = 2)$ (since $(F(2) = -2)$)
- $(P_b = 3)$ (since $(F(3) = 3)$)

Calculate (P_1) :

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= P_a - F(P_a) \times \frac{P_b - P_a}{F(P_b) - F(P_a)} = 2 - (-2) \times \frac{3 - 2}{3 - (-2)} \\ &= 2 - (-2) \times \frac{1}{5} = 2 + \frac{2}{5} = 2.4 \end{aligned}$$

This matches the previous secant method calculation and confirms the interval update.

Update the interval based on the sign of $(F(P_1))$:

- $(F(2.4) = -0.24)$, which is negative.
- Since $(F(2.4))$ and $(F(3))$ are of opposite signs, update $(P_a = 2.4)$, $(P_b = 3)$.

Calculate (P_2) :

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= P_a - F(P_a) \times \frac{P_b - P_a}{F(P_b) - F(P_a)} = 2.4 - (-0.24) \times \frac{3 - 2.4}{3 - (-0.24)} \\ &= 2.4 - (-0.24) \times \frac{0.6}{3.24} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= 2.4 + 0.24 \times 0.1852 \approx 2.4 + 0.0444 \approx 2.4444 \end{aligned}$$

Update the interval: since $(F(2.4444) \approx (2.4444)^2 - 6 = 5.9777 - 6 = -0.0223)$, still negative, so set $(P_a = 2.4444)$.

Calculate (P_3) :

$$\begin{aligned} F(2.4444) &\approx -0.0223 \end{aligned}$$

Now, with $(P_a=2.4444)$, $(F(P_a) \approx -0.0223)$; $(P_b=3)$, $(F(3)=3)$.

$$P_3 = 2.4444 - (-0.0223) \times \frac{3 - 2.4444}{3 - (-0.0223)} = 2.4444 + 0.0223 \times \frac{0.5556}{3.0223}$$

$$P_3 \approx 2.4444 + 0.0223 \times 0.1838 \approx 2.4444 + 0.0041 \approx 2.4485$$

Result:

$$\boxed{P_3 \approx 2}$$

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the function $F(x)$ given in the problem?

The function is $F(x) = x^2 - 6$.

What are the initial points P_0 and P_1 provided for the methods?

$P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$.

How do you apply the Secant Method to find P_3 for the function?

Using the formula $P_{n+1} = P_n - F(P_n) (P_n - P_{n-1}) / (F(P_n) - F(P_{n-1}))$, starting with P_0 and P_1 to compute P_2 and then P_3 .

What is the formula for the Method of False Position (Regula Falsi)?

$P_{\text{new}} = P_b - F(P_b) (P_a - P_b) / (F(P_a) - F(P_b))$, where P_a and P_b are the current interval endpoints.

How do you compute P_2 using the Secant Method with $P_0=3$ and $P_1=2$?

Calculate $F(3) = 9 - 6 = 3$ and $F(2) = 4 - 6 = -2$, then $P_2 = 2 - (-2)(2-3) / (-2 - 3) = 2 - (-2)(-1) / (-5) = 2 - 2 / (-5) = 2 + 0.4 = 2.4$.

How do you find P3 using the Secant Method after P2=2.4?

Calculate $F(2.4) = (2.4)^2 - 6 = 5.76 - 6 = -0.24$. Then, $P_3 = 2.4 - (-0.24)(2.4 - 2)/(-0.24 - (-2)) = 2.4 - (-0.24)0.4/(1.76) = 2.4 + 0.096/1.76 \approx 2.4 + 0.0545 \approx 2.4545$.

What is the process to apply the Method of False Position to find P3?

Identify interval endpoints P_a and P_b where $F(P_a)$ and $F(P_b)$ have opposite signs, then compute P_{new} using the False Position formula, replacing one endpoint with P_{new} based on the sign of $F(P_{new})$.

Can the Method of False Position be used directly to find P3 with initial points P0=3 and P1=2?

Yes, by applying the formula to the initial interval and iterating until the desired approximation is reached for P3.

What are the advantages of using the Secant Method and Method of False Position for root finding?

Both methods are simple to implement and do not require derivatives; the Secant Method converges faster, while the Method of False Position maintains bracketing, ensuring convergence under certain conditions.

What is the approximate value of P3 after applying both methods?

Using the calculations above, $P_3 \approx 2.4545$ for the Secant Method; the exact value for the False Position will depend on iterative steps but will be close to this approximation.

Additional Resources

Let $F(x) = x^2 - 6$. With $P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$, Find P_3 . a. Use The Secant Method. b. Use The Method of False

Understanding how to find roots of functions is a fundamental aspect of numerical analysis, especially when analytical solutions are difficult or impossible to obtain. In this context, the problem involves finding the third approximation, P_3 , of the root of the function $F(x) = x^2 - 6$, given initial guesses $P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$. Two popular iterative methods to achieve this are the Secant Method and the Method of False Position (Regula Falsi). This article provides a comprehensive analysis of these methods, demonstrating their application to the problem, and discussing their features, advantages, and limitations.

Understanding the Function and the Roots

Before delving into the methods, it's essential to understand the nature of the function:

- Function Definition: $F(x) = x^2 - 6$
- Roots of the Function: The roots satisfy $x^2 = 6$, so $x = \pm\sqrt{6} \approx \pm 2.4495$.
- Initial Guesses: $P_0 = 3$ and $P_1 = 2$.
- $P_0 = 3$: $F(3) = 9 - 6 = 3$
- $P_1 = 2$: $F(2) = 4 - 6 = -2$

The initial guesses straddle the root at approximately 2.4495, making the problem suitable for iterative methods that refine approximations toward the actual root.

Applying the Secant Method

Overview of the Secant Method

The Secant Method is an iterative technique used to approximate roots of a function, relying on the secant line between two points on the function's graph. Unlike Newton-Raphson, it does not require the computation of derivatives, making it advantageous when derivatives are difficult to compute.

Formula:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - \frac{F(P_n)(P_n - P_{n-1})}{F(P_n) - F(P_{n-1})}$$

Features:

- Does not require derivatives.
- Usually converges faster than simple methods like the bisection method.
- Convergence is superlinear but not quadratic.

Pros:

- Easy to implement.
- Efficient when derivatives are unavailable or expensive to calculate.

Cons:

- May fail to converge if initial guesses are poor.
- Can produce division by zero if $F(P_n) = F(P_{n-1})$.
- Less reliable than methods with guaranteed convergence like bisection.

Calculations for P3 using the Secant Method

Given:

- $P_0 = 3$, $F(P_0) = 3$
- $P_1 = 2$, $F(P_1) = -2$

Step 1: Calculate P2

$$P_2 = P_1 - \frac{F(P_1)(P_1 - P_0)}{F(P_1) - F(P_0)} = 2 - \frac{-2(2 - 3)}{-2 - 3}$$

$$P_2 = 2 - \frac{-2 \times (-1)}{-5} = 2 - \frac{2}{-5} = 2 + 0.4 = 2.4$$

$F(P_2)$:

$$F(2.4) = (2.4)^2 - 6 = 5.76 - 6 = -0.24$$

Step 2: Calculate P3

$$P_3 = P_2 - \frac{F(P_2)(P_2 - P_1)}{F(P_2) - F(P_1)} = 2.4 - \frac{-0.24(2.4 - 2)}{-0.24 - (-2)}$$

$$P_3 = 2.4 - \frac{-0.24 \times 0.4}{-0.24 + 2} = 2.4 - \frac{-0.096}{1.76} \approx 2.4 + 0.0545 \approx 2.4545$$

Result:

$$P_3 \approx 2.4545$$

This approximation is very close to the actual root at approximately 2.4495, demonstrating the efficiency of the Secant Method.

Applying the Method of False Position (Regula Falsi)

Overview of the Method of False Position

The Method of False Position is similar to the Secant Method but with a key difference: it maintains the bracketed interval where the root lies, ensuring convergence under certain conditions. It combines the idea of linear interpolation with the bracketing principle used in methods like bisection.

Procedure:

- Start with two points, P_0 and P_1 , such that $F(P_0)$ and $F(P_1)$ have opposite signs.
- Compute the point P_2 where the secant line intersects the x-axis.
- The interval is then updated to replace the endpoint that does not bracket the root.
- Repeat until the desired accuracy is achieved.

Formula:

$$P_{k+1} = P_k - \frac{F(P_k)(P_k - P_{k-1})}{F(P_k) - F(P_{k-1})}$$

But unlike the secant method, the new point replaces either P_0 or P_1 depending on the sign of $F(P_{k+1})$ to keep the root bracketed.

Features:

- Ensures the root remains within the interval.
- Usually converges more reliably than the secant method.
- Slightly slower convergence compared to methods like Newton-Raphson.

Pros:

- Reliable convergence if initial guesses bracket the root.
- Maintains interval boundaries, reducing divergence risk.

Cons:

- May converge slower than the secant method.
- Requires keeping track of brackets and updating them accordingly.

Calculations for P_3 using the Method of False Position

Given:

- $P_0 = 3, F(3) = 3$
- $P_1 = 2, F(2) = -2$

Step 1: Calculate P_2

$$P_2 = P_1 - \frac{F(P_1)(P_1 - P_0)}{F(P_1) - F(P_0)} = 2 - \frac{-2 \times (2 - 3)}{-2 - 3} = 2 - \frac{-2 \times (-1)}{-5} = 2 - \frac{2}{5} = 2 - 0.4 = 1.6$$

Since $F(1.6) \approx -0.24$, which is still negative, the root is between 1.6 and 3. Because $F(3) = 3 > 0$, we update P_0 to 1.6:

- New interval: [1.6, 3]

Step 2: Calculate P_3

$$P_3 = P_{\text{bracket}} - \frac{F(P_{\text{bracket}}) \times (P_{\text{bracket}} - P_{\text{other}})}{F(P_{\text{bracket}}) - F(P_{\text{other}})}$$

Using:

- $P_{\text{bracket}} = 1.6, F(1.6) \approx -0.24$
- $P_{\text{other}} = 3, F(3) = 3$

$$P_3 = 1.6 - \frac{-0.24 \times (1.6 - 3)}{-0.24 - 3} = 1.6 - \frac{-0.24 \times (-1.4)}{-3.24} = 1.6 - \frac{0.336}{-3.24} \approx 1.6 + 0.1037 \approx 1.7037$$

Now, evaluate $F(P_3)$:

$$F(1.7037) = (1.7037)^2 - 6 \approx 2.9026 - 6 = -3.0974$$

Since $F(1.7037) \approx -3.0974$, still negative, the interval updates to [1.7037, 3].

Now, the root is bracketed between 1.7037 and 3, with the function values at these points having opposite signs.

Further iterations would continue similarly, narrowing down the interval until P_3 approximates the root with desired precision.

Comparison and Final Thoughts

Both the Secant Method and the Method of False Position are valuable tools for root-finding, each with their unique features suited for different scenarios.

Features Summary:

Aspect	Secant Method	Method of False Position
Approach	Uses two previous points, no bracketing	Uses bracketing interval, maintains root within bounds
Convergence	Superlinear, faster	Usually slower, but more reliable
Derivative	Not required	Not required
Reliability	Less reliable if	

Let $f(x) = x^2 - 6$ With $P_0 = 3$ And $P_1 = 2$ Find P_3 A Use The Secant Method B Use The Method Of False

Let $f(x) = x^2 - 6$ With $P_0 = 3$ And $P_1 = 2$ Find P_3 A Use The Secant Method B Use The Method Of False

Related Articles

- [Points M, N, And P Are Midpoints. \$LK = 30\$, \$NP = 11\$, And \$JN = 18\$. \$MN = JL = JK = MP\$ = Perimeter Of Triangle](#)
- [Mr. Ramos Pays 22% Of His Income For Housing. If Mr. Ramos Pays \\$8,800 For Housing, How Much Money Did](#)
- [Maurice Has A Job As A Data Entry Clerk And Spends Eight Hours A Day Typing. What Type Of Injury Does](#)

[Back to Home](#)