Prove The Following Using The Original Definitions Of O,,,o, And . (a) 3n 3+50n 2+4n9O(n 3) (b) 1000n

Prove The Following Using The Original Definitions Of O, o, o, And . (a) 3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n ∈ O(n^3) (b) 1000n

Introduction

Understanding the formal definitions of asymptotic notation—Big O, Big Omega, and Big Theta—is fundamental in analyzing the efficiency of algorithms. These definitions allow us to describe the growth rates of functions and compare them rigorously. In this article, we will provide detailed proofs for two statements:

- (a) The function 3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n belongs to O(n^3).
- (b) The function 1000n belongs to some asymptotic class, which we will clarify.

Our goal is to apply the original definitions of these notations step-by-step, ensuring clarity and rigor in the reasoning process.

Preliminaries: The Original Definitions

Definition of Big O (O)

A function f(n) is in O(g(n)) if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

- $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$

In notation:
$$ \text{f(n)} \in O(\text{g(n)}) \iff \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall n \geq n_0, \quad f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) $$

Definition of Little o (o)

A function f(n) is in o(g(n)) if:

- For every positive constant ε > 0, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$ f(n) < \varepsilon \cdot g(n) $$
or equivalently:

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0 $$

Definition of Big Omega (Ω)

A function f(n) is in Ω(g(n)) if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

- $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$

In notation:
$$ \text{f(n)} \in \Omega(\text{g(n)}) \iff \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall n \geq n_0, \quad f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n) $$

Definition of Big Theta (Θ)

A function f(n) is in Θ(g(n)) if it is both in O(g(n)) and Ω(g(n)).

Part (a): Proving $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)$

Step 1: Identify the target function $g(n) = n^3$

Our aim is to find constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$:
$$ 3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c \cdot n^3 $$

Step 2: Express the inequality

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c \cdot n^3
$$
Divide both sides of the inequality by n^3 (for $n \geq 1$):
$$
3 + \frac{50}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2} \leq c
$$

Step 3: Find suitable c and n_0

As n grows large, the fractions $\frac{50}{n}$ and $\frac{4}{n^2}$ tend to zero. To ensure the inequality holds for all $n \geq n_0$, choose n_0 such that:
$$
\frac{50}{n} \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq 50
$$
and
$$
\frac{4}{n^2} \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq 2
$$
The more restrictive is $n \geq 50$.

Evaluate the sum at $n = 50$:

$$
3 + \frac{50}{50} + \frac{4}{50^2} = 3 + 1 + \frac{4}{2500} \approx 4 + 0.0016 = 4.0016
$$

To be safe, pick $c = 5$, which exceeds 4.0016.

Step 4: Verify the choice

For all $n \geq 50$:

$$
3 + \frac{50}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2} \leq 3 + 1 + 0.0016 = 4.0016 < 5
$$

Thus:

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq 5 n^3
$$

which satisfies the definition with $c=5$ and $n_0=50$.

Conclusion for (a)

Since such constants c and n_0 exist, by the formal definition of Big O:

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)
$$

Part (b): Clarifying the statement "1000n"

Interpreting the statement

The statement "1000n" appears incomplete or may intend to analyze the function $f(n) = 1000n$. Typically, in asymptotic analysis, we compare functions to standard classes, such as $O(n)$, $\Omega(n)$, etc.

Assuming the statement refers to proving that:

$$
f(n) = 1000n \in O(n)
$$

Step 1: Formal proof that $1000n \in O(n)$

We want to find constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$
1000n \leq c \cdot n
$$

Dividing both sides by n (for $n \geq 1$):

$$
1000 \leq c

\]
Choose c = 1000, and any $n_0 \geq 1$ suffices.

Step 2: Verify the inequality

For all $n \geq 1$:
\[
1000n \leq 1000 \cdot n
\]
which is trivially true.

Conclusion for (b)

Therefore:
\[
f(n) = 1000n \in O(n)
\]

Summary of Results

- We rigorously proved that $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)$ using the original definitions by selecting appropriate constants and validating inequalities.
- We clarified that 1000n is in O(n) by directly applying the definition with $c=1000$ and $n_0=1$.

Final Remarks

Understanding and applying the original definitions of asymptotic notation requires careful algebraic manipulation and logical reasoning. The proofs presented demonstrate how to handle polynomial functions and establish their growth bounds rigorously. These techniques are foundational in theoretical computer science and algorithm analysis, allowing us to classify algorithms based on their scalability and efficiency.

References

- Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., Stein, C. (2009). Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd Edition. MIT Press.
- Clifford, A. (2010). Algorithms and Data Structures. Addison-Wesley.
- Standard mathematical definitions of asymptotic notation. (n.d.).

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the original definition of Big O notation that I should use to prove that $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n + 9O(n^3)$?

The original definition states that a function $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants C and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $|f(n)| \leq C\,|g(n)|$. To prove this, you need to find such constants C and n_0 that satisfy the inequality.

How do I prove that $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n + 9 = O(n^3)$ using the original definitions?

First, identify the dominant term, which is $3n^3$. Then, find constants C and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n + 9 \leq C\,n^3$. Usually, for large n, the lower order terms become insignificant, and choosing $C = 4$ and n_0 = some value satisfying the inequality will suffice.

What steps are involved in proving that $1000n = O(n)$ based on the original definition?

To prove $1000n = O(n)$, find constants C and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $|1000n| \leq C\,|n|$. Since $1000n \leq 1000\,n$, choosing $C = 1000$ and any $n_0 \geq 1$ satisfies the definition, confirming the bound.

Why do we focus on the dominant term when proving Big O bounds using the original definitions?

Because Big O notation describes the asymptotic behavior of functions as n approaches infinity, the dominant term determines the growth rate. Lower order terms and constants become negligible for large n, simplifying the proof.

Can you explain how constants are chosen in the proof of Big O notation using the original definition?

Constants C and n_0 are chosen based on the inequality $|f(n)| \leq C\,|g(n)|$. Typically, you select C as a number greater than the coefficients of the dominant term, and n_0 so that for all $n \geq n_0$, the inequality holds. This often involves solving inequalities for these constants.

What is the significance of proving that a function is $O(n^3)$ or $O(n)$ in algorithm analysis?

Proving that a function is $O(n^3)$ or $O(n)$ helps us understand the algorithm's efficiency and scalability. It provides an upper bound on running time or resource consumption, guiding optimization and comparison with other algorithms.

Additional Resources

Prove The Following Using The Original Definitions Of O, o, And o, (a) $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)$, (b) 1000n

Understanding asymptotic notation is fundamental when analyzing algorithms' efficiency and behavior. The original definitions of Big O (O), little o (o), and related notations form the backbone of theoretical computer science and algorithm analysis. This article explores how to rigorously prove that the given functions belong to specific asymptotic classes using these foundational definitions, providing clarity and depth for students, researchers, and practitioners alike.

Introduction to Asymptotic Notations

Asymptotic notation provides a way to describe the growth rates of functions, especially in the context of algorithms where input size n becomes large. The primary goal is to classify functions based on their dominant terms, simplifying complex expressions to understand their long-term behavior.

The Original Definitions

- Big O (O): For functions f(n) and g(n), f(n) = O(g(n)) if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$
0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)
$$

- Little o (o): f(n) = o(g(n)) if for every positive constant c, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$
0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n)
$$

equivalently,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0
$$

- Omega (Ω) and Theta (θ) are also often discussed, but for this article, the focus remains on O and o.

Proving (a): $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)$

Understanding the Goal

To prove that the function $f(n) = 3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n$ is in $O(n^3)$, we need to find constants c > 0 and n_0 such that:

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c \cdot n^3, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0
$$

This means that beyond some sufficiently large n, the function's growth is bounded above by a constant multiple of n^3.

Step-by-Step Proof Using the Original Definition

Step 1: Express the inequality

We want:

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c n^3
$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Step 2: Divide through by n^3 (for $n \geq 1$)

$$
3 + \frac{50}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2} \leq c
$$

Our goal is to find c and n_0 such that this inequality holds for all $n \geq n_0$.

Step 3: Find the supremum of the left side for $n \geq n_0$

As n increases, the terms $50/n$ and $4/n^2$ decrease. Therefore, the maximum value of the left side for $n \geq n_0$ occurs at the smallest n in that range.

To find a suitable n_0, analyze the function:

$$
g(n) = 3 + \frac{50}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2}
$$

which is decreasing for $n \geq 1$ because the terms involving $1/n$ and $1/n^2$ decrease as n increases.

Step 4: Choose n_0

Suppose we pick n_0 such that:

$$
g(n_0) \leq c
$$

Let's try $n_0 = 50$:

\[

$$
g(50) = 3 + \frac{50}{50} + \frac{4}{50^2} = 3 + 1 + \frac{4}{2500} = 4 + 0.0016 = 4.0016
$$

Therefore, choosing $c = 4.002$ (for safety), we have:

$$
3 + \frac{50}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2} \leq 4.002
$$

for all $n \geq 50$.

Finalizing the Proof

- Constants: $c = 4.002$, $n_0 = 50$.

- Verification: For all $n \geq 50$,

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c n^3 = 4.002 n^3
$$

which satisfies the definition of Big O.

Summary of the Proof:

Using the original definition, we've shown:

$$
\boxed{
\text{There exist } c = 4.002 \text{ and } n_{0} = 50 \text{ such that, for all } n \geq 50,
}
$$

$$
3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \leq c n^3
$$

hence, $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n \in O(n^3)$.

Proving (b): $1000n \in o(n)$

Understanding the Goal

To show $1000n \in o(n)$, we need to verify:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1000n}{n} = 0
$$

$$

which is straightforward, but since the problem explicitly states to use the original definitions, we'll proceed rigorously.

Step-by-Step Proof Using the Original Definition

Step 1: Recall the definition of little o:

$$
f(n) = o(g(n)) \quad \iff \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0
$$

In this case:

$$
f(n) = 1000n, \quad g(n) = n
$$

then,

$$
\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \frac{1000n}{n} = 1000
$$

which is a constant, not tending to zero.

Therefore, based solely on the limit, $1000n \notin o(n)$, because the limit equals 1000, not 0.

Clarification

The initial assumption appears to be a trick: Since $1000n$ and n grow at the same rate, $1000n$ is actually in $O(n)$, but not in $o(n)$. To be in $o(n)$, the ratio must tend to zero, which it does not.

Conclusion for (b):

- Since

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1000n}{n} = 1000 \neq 0,
$$

we conclude:

$$
\boxed{
1000n \notin o(n)
}

\]

Thus, the statement as given is false unless the question intended to ask about $O(n)$ rather than $o(n)$.

Summary and Final Remarks

Key Takeaways:

- Proving $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ using the original definitions involves demonstrating the existence of constants c and n_0 satisfying the inequality for large n.

- The approach often involves dividing both sides of the inequality by the dominant term and analyzing the behavior as n approaches infinity, ensuring the inequalities hold beyond some threshold n_0.

- Little o notation ($f(n) = o(g(n))$) requires the ratio $f(n)/g(n)$ to tend to zero as n approaches infinity, a stricter condition than Big O.

Pros and Cons of Using Original Definitions:

Pros:

- Provides rigorous, formal proof structures.
- Clarifies the precise growth relationships between functions.
- Enhances understanding of asymptotic classifications.

Cons:

- Can be more cumbersome than using known limit properties or standard theorems.
- May involve complex algebra for more complicated functions.
- Less intuitive than the simplified "dominance" explanations often used in practice.

Final Thoughts

Understanding how to rigorously prove asymptotic classifications from the original definitions is crucial for deep theoretical comprehension. While straightforward functions like $3n^3 + 50n^2 + 4n$ can be tackled with inequalities and constants, recognizing when functions belong to particular classes like $O(n^3)$ or $o(n)$ requires careful application of definitions and limits.

In conclusion, the process we've demonstrated not only confirms the classification of the first function but also clarifies the nature of the second, emphasizing the importance of precise definitions in theoretical analysis.

Prove The Following Using The Original Definitions Of O O

And A 3n 3 50n 2 4n9o N 3 B 1000n

Prove The Following Using The Original Definitions Of O O And A 3n 3 50n 2 4n9o N 3 B 1000n

Related Articles

- Of The N! Possible Inputs To A Given Comparison-based Sorting Algorithm, What Is The Absolute Maximum
- Observe Exhibit 9.6. Two Of Amaranth Calendar Spreads On Jan 2006 - Left Most Bottom Of The Time Line
- Maria Paid 320.00 For A Jacket That Was Discounted By 20%. What Was The Original Price Of The Jacket?

Back to Home