

The Total Cost (in Dollars) Of Producing X Food Processors Is $C(x)=2100+80x+0.3x^2$. (A) Find The Exact

The Total Cost (in Dollars) Of Producing X Food Processors Is $C(x)=2100+80x+0.3x^2$. (A) Find The Exact in the first paragraph.

Understanding the Total Cost Function for Producing Food Processors

When manufacturing food processors, companies often rely on cost functions to estimate expenses associated with production levels. One such cost function is given by:

$$C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$$

where:

- $C(x)$ is the total cost in dollars,
- x represents the number of food processors produced.

In this article, we'll explore how to interpret this function, simplify it, and find the exact total cost for any given production level. Understanding this process is crucial for manufacturers aiming to optimize production and manage costs effectively.

Decoding the Cost Function: Breaking Down $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$

Step 1: Recognize the Components of the Function

The total cost function consists of two parts:

- Fixed costs: represented by the constant 2100 ,
- Variable costs: represented by the expression $80x + 0.3x^2$.

The fixed costs are expenses that do not change with the level of production, such as equipment or facility costs. The variable costs depend on the number of units produced, which in this case involves

the product of $(80x)$ and $(0.3x^2)$.

Step 2: Simplify the Variable Cost Expression

To find the total cost function explicitly, we need to simplify the variable component:

$$(80x \times 0.3x^2)$$

Here's how:

- Multiply the coefficients: $(80 \times 0.3 = 24)$,
- Multiply the variables: $(x \times x^2 = x^{1+2} = x^3)$.

Therefore, the variable cost component simplifies to:

$$(24x^3)$$

Now, the total cost function becomes:

$$C(x) = 2100 + 24x^3$$

Calculating the Exact Total Cost for a Given Production Level

With the simplified cost function:

$$C(x) = 2100 + 24x^3$$

we can now compute the total cost for any specific number of food processors produced.

Example: Calculating the Cost for Producing 10 Food Processors

Let's find the total cost when $(x = 10)$:

$$\begin{aligned} C(10) &= 2100 + 24 \times (10)^3 \\ &= 2100 + 24 \times 1000 \\ &= 2100 + 24,000 \\ &= 26,100 \end{aligned}$$

\]

Thus, producing 10 food processors costs exactly \$26,100.

General Formula for Any Production Level

To find the total cost for any number x of food processors, simply substitute x into the simplified function:

$$C(x) = 2100 + 24x^3$$

This formula allows manufacturers to quickly estimate costs at various production levels, aiding in decision-making and budgeting.

Understanding the Significance of the Cost Function

Why is Simplifying the Cost Function Important?

Simplification provides clarity and makes calculations straightforward. It enables:

- Easy computation of costs for different production quantities,
- Better understanding of how costs scale with production,
- Identification of fixed versus variable costs.

Implications for Production Planning

Knowing the exact total cost helps companies:

- Determine the minimum production level to cover fixed costs,
- Calculate the break-even point,
- Optimize production to maximize profit while controlling costs.

Additional Insights: Derivatives and Cost Behavior

While our primary focus is on calculating the total cost, understanding how costs change with production levels can be insightful. For instance, computing the derivative of $C(x)$:

$$C'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} (2100 + 24x^3) = 0 + 72x^2 = 72x^2$$

This derivative indicates the rate at which costs increase as production scales up. For example, at $x=10$:

$$C'(10) = 72 \times (10)^2 = 72 \times 100 = 7,200$$

Meaning, producing one additional unit when already producing 10 units would increase the total cost by approximately \$7,200, highlighting the increasing marginal cost at higher production levels.

Conclusion: Mastering Cost Calculations in Food Processor Manufacturing

Understanding the total cost function $C(x) = 2100 + 24x^3$ is essential for manufacturers aiming to optimize production and manage expenses. By simplifying the original function and applying it to specific production levels, businesses can make informed decisions, plan budgets, and identify profitable production scales.

Remember, the key steps involve:

- Recognizing and simplifying the cost function,
- Substituting specific production levels into the formula,
- Interpreting the results to inform manufacturing strategies.

Accurate cost estimation not only helps in controlling expenses but also enhances overall operational efficiency, ensuring the company's competitiveness in the market.

Note: Always double-check your calculations, especially when dealing with exponential expressions, to ensure precise budgeting and cost management in manufacturing processes.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the total cost function for producing X food processors?

The total cost function is $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$.

How do you interpret the components of the cost function

$$C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$$

2100 represents fixed costs, $80x$ is the variable cost per processor, and $0.3x^2$ accounts for increasing marginal costs as production scales up.

What is the exact total cost of producing 50 food processors?

$C(50) = 2100 + 80(50) + 0.3(50)^2 = 2100 + 4000 + 0.3(2500) = 2100 + 4000 + 750 = 6850$ dollars.

How do you find the marginal cost from the total cost function?

The marginal cost is the derivative of the total cost function with respect to x , which is $C'(x) = 80 + 0.6x$.

What is the marginal cost when producing 100 food processors?

$C'(100) = 80 + 0.6(100) = 80 + 60 = 140$ dollars per additional processor.

At what production level is the marginal cost equal to \$120?

Set $C'(x) = 120$: $80 + 0.6x = 120$; $0.6x = 40$; $x = 66.67$ processors.

How does increasing production affect the total cost based on the given function?

Increasing production increases total cost both linearly (via $80x$) and quadratically (via $0.3x^2$), indicating rising costs as output grows.

What is the significance of the fixed cost of \$2100 in the total cost function?

The fixed cost of \$2100 represents expenses incurred regardless of the number of processors produced, such as equipment or setup costs.

Additional Resources

The Total Cost (in Dollars) Of Producing X Food Processors Is $C(x)=2100+80x+0.3x^2$ — this fundamental cost function offers vital insights into the economics of manufacturing food processors. Understanding and analyzing this function allows producers, investors, and analysts to estimate expenses, optimize production levels, and make informed decisions about scaling operations. In this comprehensive review, we will explore the derivation, interpretation, and implications of this cost function, analyze its components, and examine the methods for calculating the exact costs associated

with producing a specific number of food processors.

Understanding the Cost Function

$$C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$$

Components of the Cost Function

The total cost function $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$ represents the cumulative expense incurred in producing x units of food processors. Each term corresponds to different aspects of the production process:

- Fixed Costs (2100): This constant term reflects the expenses that do not vary with the number of units produced. These could include machinery, factory rent, licenses, and initial setup costs.
- Variable Cost per Unit (80x): The linear term indicates the variable costs proportional to production volume, such as raw materials, labor, and energy consumption directly tied to each unit.
- Quadratic Cost Component ($0.3x^2$): The quadratic term suggests that the marginal costs increase as production scales, possibly due to factors like overtime labor, equipment wear, or inefficiencies at higher production levels.

Understanding these components helps in identifying the nature of costs involved and planning accordingly.

Interpreting the Cost Function: Features and Implications

Features of the Cost Function

- Nonlinear Nature: The presence of the quadratic term indicates increasing marginal costs as production volume increases.
- Cost Behavior: Initially, costs are dominated by fixed costs and variable linear costs, but at higher volumes, the quadratic term significantly impacts total costs.
- Economies and Diseconomies of Scale: The function can reflect economies of scale at lower production levels if the marginal cost decreases initially, or diseconomies at higher levels if costs escalate rapidly.

Implications for Production Planning

- Optimal Production Level: By analyzing the cost function, manufacturers can identify production quantities that minimize average costs or maximize profit margins.
- Pricing Strategies: Knowing the total cost helps set competitive prices to ensure profitability.
- Capacity Planning: The increasing marginal costs suggest the need for capacity adjustments or process improvements at higher production levels.

Calculating the Exact Cost for a Given Production Quantity

Methodology

To find the exact total cost of producing a specific number of units, say x units, simply substitute the value of x into the cost function:

$$C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$$

For example, if $x=50$ units:

$$C(50) = 2100 + 80 \times 50 + 0.3 \times 50^2$$

$$C(50) = 2100 + 4000 + 0.3 \times 2500$$

$$C(50) = 2100 + 4000 + 750$$

$$C(50) = 6850$$

Thus, producing 50 units costs exactly \$6,850.

Exact Cost Calculation for Various Production Levels

Let's examine some specific production quantities to illustrate the cost implications:

Units (x)	Total Cost C(x)	Calculation Details	Total Cost in Dollars
10	C(10)	$2100 + 80(10) + 0.3(10)^2$	$2100 + 800 + 30 = 2930$
25	C(25)	$2100 + 80(25) + 0.3(25)^2$	$2100 + 2000 + 187.5 = 4287.5$

50	$C(50)$	$2100 + 80(50) + 0.3(50)^2$	$2100 + 4000 + 750 = 6850$
100	$C(100)$	$2100 + 80(100) + 0.3(100)^2$	$2100 + 8000 + 3000 = 13100$

This table demonstrates how costs escalate with increased production, particularly due to the quadratic component.

Analyzing Marginal and Average Costs

Marginal Cost (MC)

The marginal cost indicates the expense of producing one additional unit and is the derivative of the cost function with respect to x :

$$MC = C'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} (2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2)$$

$$MC = 0 + 80 + 0.6x$$

This means the marginal cost at any production level x is:

$$MC(x) = 80 + 0.6x$$

Implications:

- The marginal cost increases linearly with production volume, reflecting the quadratic nature of the total cost.
- For example, at $x=50$, $MC = 80 + 0.6(50) = 80 + 30 = \110 per unit.

Average Cost (AC)

The average cost per unit is:

$$AC(x) = \frac{C(x)}{x} = \frac{2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2}{x}$$

$$AC(x) = \frac{2100}{x} + 80 + 0.3x$$

Analysis:

- The term $\frac{2100}{x}$ decreases as x increases, indicating fixed costs are spread over more units.
- The term $0.3x$ increases with x , representing the variable and quadratic costs.
- The average cost function helps identify the production level at which costs are minimized.

Optimizing Production: Finding the Cost-Minimizing Quantity

To determine the production level that minimizes the average cost, differentiate $AC(x)$ and set it to zero:

$$AC(x) = \frac{2100}{x} + 80 + 0.3x$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} AC(x) = -\frac{2100}{x^2} + 0 + 0.3$$

Set derivative to zero:

$$-\frac{2100}{x^2} + 0.3 = 0$$

$$\frac{2100}{x^2} = 0.3$$

$$x^2 = \frac{2100}{0.3} = 7000$$

$$x = \sqrt{7000} \approx 83.66$$

Interpretation:

- The approximate production quantity that minimizes average cost is around 84 units.
- At this level, the firm achieves optimal efficiency, balancing fixed, variable, and quadratic costs.

Pros and Cons of the Cost Model

Pros:

- Predictive Power: Enables precise estimation of costs at any production level.
- Decision-Making Aid: Facilitates identification of optimal production quantities.
- Cost Control: Highlights how costs evolve with scale, aiding in cost management.
- Flexibility: The quadratic term captures increasing marginal costs, making the model realistic for many manufacturing processes.

Cons:

- Simplification: Assumes costs follow a quadratic pattern, which may not reflect real-world complexities.
- Static Model: Does not account for potential changes in costs over time or with technological improvements.
- Limited Scope: Focuses solely on production costs, excluding factors like demand, pricing, or market

competition.

- Potential Overfitting: The quadratic term might exaggerate costs at very high production levels if not empirically validated.

Conclusion: The Significance of Cost Analysis in Manufacturing

Analyzing the total cost function $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$ provides crucial insights into the economics of producing food processors. By understanding the fixed costs, variable costs, and the increasing marginal costs reflected by the quadratic term, manufacturers can make informed decisions about optimal production levels, pricing strategies, and capacity planning. Calculating the exact costs for specific production quantities enables precise budgeting and financial forecasting. Moreover, examining the marginal and average costs facilitates the identification of cost-efficient production scales, ultimately enhancing profitability and competitiveness.

While the model has its limitations, its comprehensive nature makes it a valuable tool for strategic planning. Continuous refinement and empirical validation are recommended to ensure the model accurately reflects operational realities. Overall, understanding and leveraging such cost functions are vital in navigating the complexities of manufacturing economics and sustaining long-term business success.

The Total Cost In Dollars Of Producing X Food Processors Is $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$ Find The Exact

The Total Cost In Dollars Of Producing X Food Processors Is $C(x) = 2100 + 80x + 0.3x^2$ Find The Exact

Related Articles

- [The Midpoint Of Overline AB Is M\(-4, 0\)M If The Coordinates Of A Are \(-6, 6\) What Are The Coordinates](#)
- [The Hominin Family Includes A. Modern Humans Only. B. Living And Fossil Great Apes. C. Humans And The](#)
- [The Molar Absorptivities Of The Indicator Weak Acid HIn \(\$K = 1.42 \times 10^{-5}\$ \) And Its Conjugate Base In At](#)

[Back to Home](#)