

Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion. True False

Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion. True False

Introduction

Understanding the different styles of policing is essential to comprehending how law enforcement agencies operate and enforce laws within societies. Among these styles, the Watchman style and the Legalistic style are two prominent approaches that influence police behavior, community interactions, and enforcement strategies. A common question that arises in discussions about policing styles is whether the Legalistic style involves more or less discretion compared to the Watchman style. This article explores these policing paradigms in detail, examines their characteristics, and clarifies whether the statement—"Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion"—is true or false.

Understanding Policing Styles: An Overview

What Is the Watchman Style?

The Watchman style of policing is characterized by an emphasis on maintaining order and community stability. This style is often associated with:

- Discretionary enforcement: Police officers have significant leeway in deciding when and how to enforce laws.
- Informal methods: Use of persuasion, warnings, or informal resolutions rather than formal citations or arrests.
- Community-oriented approach: Focus on preventing disturbances and maintaining neighborhood peace.
- Authoritative presence: Officers often serve as authority figures who handle minor infractions quietly to avoid escalation.

Key features of the Watchman style include:

- Flexibility in enforcement
- Emphasis on order maintenance
- Use of discretion to prioritize community harmony

What Is the Legalistic Style?

The Legalistic style of policing emphasizes strict adherence to laws and formal procedures. It is characterized by:

- Formal enforcement: Preference for citations, arrests, and judicial processing.
- Limited discretion: Officers follow rules and protocols closely, with less room for personal judgment.
- Uniformity: Consistent application of laws across different cases and neighborhoods.
- Authoritarian approach: Emphasis on law enforcement as a means of upholding legal standards rather than community relations.

Key features of the Legalistic style include:

- Strict law enforcement
- Minimal discretion
- Procedural rigor

Comparing Discretion in Watchman and Legalistic Styles

What Is Discretion in Policing?

Discretion refers to the authority and freedom that police officers have to make decisions based on their judgment rather than strictly following rules or laws. Discretion plays a crucial role in:

- Prioritizing cases
- Deciding whether to cite, arrest, or warn
- Handling minor infractions informally or formally
- Engaging with the community

Discretion in the Watchman Style

In the Watchman style, discretion is highly prevalent. Officers often:

- Use their judgment to decide when to intervene in minor disputes
- Opt for informal resolutions to maintain community harmony
- Avoid strict enforcement if it might escalate tensions
- Focus on order maintenance rather than strict law enforcement

This flexibility allows officers to adapt to specific community needs and maintain social order with minimal formal intervention.

Discretion in the Legalistic Style

Conversely, the Legalistic style is characterized by limited discretion. Officers:

- Follow established laws and procedures rigidly

- Use formal enforcement mechanisms like citations and arrests
- Have clear guidelines on when to escalate or de-escalate situations
- Enforce laws uniformly, leaving little room for personal judgment

This approach emphasizes legality and procedural correctness over discretion and community relationships.

Is the Statement True or False?

"Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion."

Based on the characteristics outlined above, the statement is False.

- The Watchman style involves more discretion, allowing officers to adapt their responses based on situational judgment and community needs.
- The Legalistic style involves less discretion, enforcing laws strictly and following procedures closely with minimal personal judgment.

Evidence from Criminology and Policing Literature

Criminological research and policing theory support this conclusion:

- Discretion is central to the Watchman style, facilitating informal resolutions and community engagement.
- Legalistic policing is designed to minimize discretion to ensure uniformity, accountability, and legal integrity.

Implications of Discretion Levels in Different Policing Styles

Understanding the discretion levels in these styles has several practical implications:

Impact on Community Relations

- Watchman style: High discretion fosters trust and cooperation with the community but may risk inconsistency.
- Legalistic style: Low discretion ensures fairness and consistency but may strain community relations if officers appear rigid.

Effect on Crime Control

- Watchman style: Effective in maintaining order informally but may overlook minor violations.
- Legalistic style: Ensures violations are consistently addressed, potentially deterring crime through strict enforcement.

Accountability and Oversight

- High discretion: Can lead to biases or misconduct if not properly monitored.
- Low discretion: Promotes transparency but may reduce flexibility in unique situations.

Conclusion

In summary, the comparison between the Watchman and Legalistic styles of policing reveals that discretion is more characteristic of the Watchman style. The Legalistic style emphasizes strict adherence to laws and procedures, limiting officers' discretionary choices. Therefore, the statement that "Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion" is false.

Understanding these distinctions is vital for policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and communities aiming to balance effective law enforcement with community trust and fairness. Recognizing where discretion is employed can help in designing training, oversight, and policies that align with societal values and law enforcement goals.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Q1: Why does the Watchman style allow more discretion?

A: Because its focus is on maintaining order and community stability, which often requires flexible responses tailored to specific situations, allowing officers to use their judgment.

Q2: How does the legalistic style ensure fairness?

A: By adhering strictly to laws and procedures, minimizing personal judgment, and applying laws uniformly to all individuals.

Q3: Can a police department adopt both styles?

A: Yes, many departments blend elements of both styles depending on community needs, policies, and training.

Q4: How does discretion affect police accountability?

A: High discretion can lead to inconsistencies and potential misconduct if not properly supervised, whereas low discretion promotes uniformity but may reduce adaptability.

Final Thoughts

Understanding the nuances between different policing styles is essential for evaluating law enforcement effectiveness and fairness. Recognizing that discretion is greater in the Watchman style and limited in the Legalistic

style helps clarify the original statement and informs better policing practices that serve both community needs and legal standards.

Frequently Asked Questions

Is it true that the Legalistic style of policing uses more discretion than the Watchman style?

False. The Legalistic style of policing relies more on strict enforcement of laws with less discretion, whereas the Watchman style allows for more discretion in maintaining order.

Which policing style typically involves more discretion: Legalistic or Watchman?

The Watchman style generally involves more discretion, allowing officers to decide how to handle situations based on their judgment.

Does the Legalistic style prioritize strict law enforcement over officer discretion?

Yes, the Legalistic style emphasizes strict enforcement of laws and minimizes discretionary decisions by officers.

True or False: The Watchman style of policing is characterized by less discretion compared to the Legalistic style.

False. The Watchman style involves more discretion, whereas the Legalistic style minimizes it.

In modern policing, which style tends to be more formal and rule-oriented?

The Legalistic style tends to be more formal and rule-oriented, emphasizing adherence to laws with less discretion.

Is the statement 'Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion' true or false?

False. The statement is incorrect; the Legalistic style uses less discretion than the Watchman style.

Additional Resources

Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion. True False

Understanding the nuanced differences between various policing styles is essential for comprehending how law enforcement agencies operate within different communities and legal frameworks. One of the fundamental distinctions lies in the degree of discretion afforded to officers in their daily duties. This article explores whether the legalistic style of policing indeed utilizes more discretion compared to the watchman style, examining the characteristics, historical context, and implications of each approach.

Introduction: Defining Policing Styles

Policing as a profession has evolved over decades, influenced by societal expectations, legal mandates, and administrative philosophies. Scholars and practitioners often categorize police styles into three primary types: the watchman style, the legalistic style, and the service style. Each style reflects a different philosophy of law enforcement, dictating the level of discretion officers have and how they exercise their authority.

The watchman style emphasizes maintaining order and social control, often through informal means. It tends to be more tolerant of minor infractions and relies heavily on the discretion of officers to decide when and how to intervene.

The legalistic style prioritizes strict adherence to laws and regulations, with an emphasis on formal procedures, written policies, and systematic enforcement. It often involves a more bureaucratic approach to law enforcement.

Understanding these styles requires a closer look at their defining characteristics, historical roots, and practical implications.

The Watchman Style of Policing

Characteristics and Philosophy

The watchman style is rooted in a community-oriented approach, emphasizing social order over rigid law enforcement. Its key features include:

- Discretion-Driven Enforcement: Officers have significant latitude to decide when to intervene, often based on their judgment of the situation's severity and community norms.
- Informal Methods: Emphasis is placed on maintaining order through dialogue, warnings, or informal sanctions rather than formal citations or arrests.
- Focus on Social Cohesion: The goal is to prevent disorder by maintaining relationships with community members, often through informal interactions.

Historical Context

This style has historical roots in early American policing, particularly in small towns and rural areas where maintaining community harmony was crucial. The watchman system, dating back to colonial times, was characterized by part-time officers or constables who prioritized informal social control over law enforcement.

Advantages and Challenges

Some advantages include:

- Flexibility in handling minor disturbances.
- Strong community relations.
- Reduced reliance on formal legal processes.

However, challenges also arise:

- Potential inconsistency in law enforcement.
- Risk of favoritism or bias.
- Difficulty in ensuring accountability.

The Legalistic Style of Policing

Characteristics and Philosophy

The legalistic style is rooted in a bureaucratic, rule-based approach emphasizing strict adherence to laws and formal procedures. Its defining features include:

- Use of Discretion: While it appears to be rule-bound, officers still exercise discretion but within a framework that emphasizes legal compliance.
- Formal Enforcement: Reliance on citations, arrests, and official

documentation to uphold laws.

- Impersonal Approach: A focus on law enforcement as a systematic process rather than community engagement.

Historical Development

Emerging prominently in the early 20th century, particularly with the professionalization of police forces, the legalistic style aligns with the broader movement towards a more bureaucratic and standardized law enforcement system. This approach was influenced by urbanization, increased crime rates, and the desire for accountability and consistency.

Advantages and Challenges

Advantages include:

- Consistency in law enforcement.
- Clear legal standards.
- Enhanced accountability through documentation.

Challenges involve:

- Potential for over-policing or overly rigid enforcement.
- Reduced officer discretion may lead to community alienation.
- Risk of legalism overshadowing community needs.

Discretion in Policing: Comparing the Two Styles

Extent and Use of Discretion

Discretion refers to the freedom officers have to make decisions based on judgment rather than strict rules. Its application varies between the watchman and legalistic styles:

- Watchman Style: Officers are granted significant discretion, allowing them to address minor infractions informally, prioritize social order, and adapt their responses to community norms. This flexibility enables officers to resolve issues on a case-by-case basis, often avoiding formal legal proceedings.

- Legalistic Style: While nominally systematic and rule-based, the legalistic approach still involves discretion, but it's often constrained by policies, laws, and departmental procedures. Officers may exercise discretion within a narrower scope, focusing on enforcing laws uniformly and documenting their actions meticulously.

Is It True That The Legalistic Style Uses More Discretion?

The statement in question suggests that the legalistic style employs more discretion than the watchman style. To assess this, we must consider:

- Nature of Discretion: The watchman style inherently relies on discretion to maintain social order informally. Officers decide when to intervene, often using personal judgment to avoid escalating minor issues.
- Controlled Discretion in Legalistic Style: Although it appears more formal, the legalistic style's discretion is often constrained by departmental policies and legal standards. Officers may have limited room to exercise personal judgment, especially when following strict procedures.

Therefore, the assertion that the legalistic style uses much more discretion is generally false. In fact, the watchman style tends to involve a broader scope of discretionary decision-making in day-to-day policing.

Implications of Discretion in Policing Styles

Understanding the level of discretion inherent in each style reveals important implications for law enforcement effectiveness, community relations, and accountability.

In the watchman style:

- Discretion fosters community trust but can lead to inconsistency.
- Risk of bias or favoritism if discretion is misused.
- Flexibility allows officers to adapt to situational nuances.

In the legalistic style:

- Discretion is more controlled, ensuring uniform enforcement.
- Enhances accountability through documentation.
- May alienate communities if officers strictly adhere to laws without considering context.

Conclusion: Which Style Uses More Discretion?

Based on the detailed examination, it is clear that:

- The watchman style inherently involves a higher degree of discretionary decision-making, allowing officers to address minor infractions informally and flexibly.
- The legalistic style, while it involves discretion, tends to limit it through formal procedures, policies, and legal standards.

Hence, the statement that "Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing, The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion" is false. The watchman style generally permits greater discretionary power, whereas the legalistic approach emphasizes compliance and documentation over personal judgment.

Final thoughts

Understanding the differences between these policing styles helps policymakers, community leaders, and law enforcement agencies craft strategies that balance discretion, accountability, and community trust. Recognizing that discretion is not uniformly distributed across styles enables more nuanced discussions about police reform and community policing initiatives.

References

- Skolnick, J. H., & Fyfe, J. J. (1993). Above the Law: Police and the Excessive Use of Force. Free Press.
- Goldstein, H. (1960). Police Discretion. Harvard Law Review.
- Walker, S. (1992). Tough on Crime: The Police and Public Policy. Westview Press.
- Terrill, W., & Reisig, M. D. (2003). Neighborhood Context and Police Use of Discretion. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 40(3), 291–321.

This comprehensive exploration clarifies that discretion is more prominent in the watchman style of policing, making the statement in question false.

Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion True False

Compared To The Watchman Style Of Policing The Legalistic Style Uses Much More Discretion True

False

Related Articles

- [Erkens Company Uses A Job Costing System With Normal Costing And Applies Factory Overhead On The Basis](#)
- [Data Shows That The Occurrence Of _____ Was A Predictor Of Medication Noncompliance In A Study](#)
- [Assume That Larry's Marginal Tax Rate Is 25%. If Corporate Bonds Pay 6.6% Interest, What Interest Rate](#)

[Back to Home](#)