

For A Fixed Number $R \in \mathbb{R}$, Consider The Set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 4x < R \text{ And } x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Does A Have A Least Upper

Bound?

Introduction to the Concept of Least Upper Bound

Understanding whether a set has a least upper bound (also known as supremum) is fundamental in real analysis. The least upper bound of a set is the smallest real number that is greater than or equal to every element in the set. This concept plays a crucial role in various mathematical contexts, including limits, continuity, and convergence.

In the case of the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 4x < R \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, where R is a fixed real number, the question arises: does this set have a least upper bound? To explore this, we need to analyze the structure of the set, its properties, and how the set's elements relate to the fixed number R .

Defining the Set A and Its Properties

Understanding the Set A

Given a fixed real number R , the set A is defined as:

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 4x < R\}$$

This means:

- A consists of rational numbers x such that when multiplied by 4, the result is less than R .
- Since the set includes only rational numbers, A is a subset of the rationals, not necessarily the reals.

Key Observations

- For any rational number x in A , the inequality $4x < R$ holds.

- The set A is bounded above because, for example, any number greater than $R/4$ cannot be in A, as it would violate $4x < R$.
- The set A is not necessarily closed because it contains only rational numbers, and the supremum may or may not be rational.

Analyzing the Upper Bounds of Set A

Existence of Upper Bounds

To determine whether A has a least upper bound, we first examine whether A has an upper bound.

- For any element x in A:

$$\forall 4x < R \Rightarrow x < \frac{R}{4}$$

- Therefore, every element of A is less than $R/4$.

This implies that $R/4$ is an upper bound of A because:

- For all x in A, $x < R/4$.
- Since every element is less than $R/4$, $R/4$ is an upper bound but may not necessarily be the least upper bound.

Is $R/4$ the Least Upper Bound?

- Since for each x in A, $x < R/4$, $R/4$ is an upper bound.
- To see if $R/4$ is the least upper bound, we need to check whether any smaller number can serve as an upper bound.

Suppose we consider a number $c < R/4$:

- Is c an upper bound? No, because:

$$\forall c < R/4 \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } c < x < R/4$$

- Since the rationals are dense in the reals, there exists a rational number x satisfying:

$$\forall c < x < R/4$$

- But x would be in A because:

$$\forall x < R \rightarrow x < R/4$$

- And since $x > c$, and $c < R/4$, then x is greater than c , so c cannot be an upper bound.

Conclusion: $R/4$ is the least upper bound (supremum) of A in the real numbers.

Existence of the Least Upper Bound in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$

In the Real Numbers

- Since $R/4$ is an actual real number satisfying:

$$\forall x \in A, x \leq R/4$$

- And for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some x in A such that:

$$R/4 - \varepsilon < x < R/4$$

because the rationals are dense, $R/4$ is the supremum of A in $(\mathbb{R})$.

- Does A have a minimum? No, because for any x in A , there's always a larger rational number x' such that:

$$x < x' < R/4$$

and x' is still in A , but no element equals $R/4$ (since $4x' < R$, but $4(R/4) = R$, so $x' < R/4$).

In the Rational Numbers

- A is a subset of $(\mathbb{Q})$. The set of rationals is dense, but it is not complete.

- The supremum $R/4$ may not be rational if $R/4$ is irrational.

- If $R/4$ is irrational, then A has no rational least upper bound; the supremum exists only in $(\mathbb{R})$, not in $(\mathbb{Q})$.

Summary:

Aspect	Details
Supremum	If $R/4 \in \mathbb{Q}$ The supremum $R/4$ is rational, and A has a rational least upper bound. If $R/4 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ The least upper bound exists in $(\mathbb{R})$, but not in $(\mathbb{Q})$.

Implications and Examples

Example 1: Rational R with Rational R/4

Let $R = 8$, which is rational.

- $R/4 = 8/4 = 2$, which is rational.
- $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 4x < 8\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x < 2\}$
- The supremum of A in $\mathbb{Q}$ is 2.
- Since $2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, the set A has a rational least upper bound, which is 2.

Example 2: R Rational with Irrational R/4

Let $R = \pi$ (approximately 3.14159), which is irrational.

- $R/4 \approx 0.785398$, which is irrational.
- $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 4x < \pi\}$
- The least upper bound in $\mathbb{R}$ is $R/4 \approx 0.785398$, but not in $\mathbb{Q}$.
- Therefore, in $\mathbb{Q}$, A has no least upper bound, but in $\mathbb{R}$, it does.

Summary of Key Points

- The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 4x < R\}$ is bounded above by $R/4$.
- The least upper bound of A in $\mathbb{R}$ is $R/4$.
- If $R/4$ is rational, then A has a rational least upper bound equal to $R/4$.
- If $R/4$ is irrational, then A does not have a rational least upper bound, but it does have a least upper bound in $\mathbb{R}$.
- The set A contains rational numbers arbitrarily close to $R/4$ from below, but never reaches $R/4$, since $4x < R$, not $\leq R$.

Conclusion: Does A Have a Least Upper Bound?

In conclusion, the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 4x < R\}$ does have a least upper bound in the real numbers, which is $R/4$. The existence of a least upper bound within the rationals depends on whether $R/4$ is rational:

- If $R/4$ is rational, then the least upper bound is $R/4$, and it belongs to $\mathbb{Q}$.
- If $R/4$ is irrational, then the least upper bound exists in $\mathbb{R}$, but not in $\mathbb{Q}$.

This analysis highlights the importance of understanding the difference between bounds in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, especially considering the density and completeness properties of these sets. The concept of least upper bounds is central to many areas of mathematics, underpinning the rigorous formulation of limits, continuity, and convergence.

Meta-Note: For SEO purposes, integrating keywords such as "least upper bound," "supremum," "set in real analysis," "bounded sets," "rational numbers," and "density of rationals" throughout the article helps improve search engine ranking and relevance for readers seeking mathematical explanations related to bounds and set properties.

Frequently Asked Questions

Given a fixed R in $\mathbb{R}$, is the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 4x < R\}$ bounded above?

Yes, the set A is bounded above because for any x in A , $4x < R$, so $x < R/4$, which provides an upper bound $R/4$.

Does the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 4x < R\}$ have a least upper bound in $\mathbb{Q}$?

No, A does not have a least upper bound in $\mathbb{Q}$ because $R/4$ may not be rational, so the supremum in $\mathbb{R}$ is not necessarily rational and hence not in $\mathbb{Q}$.

Can the supremum of A be found in the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$?

Yes, the supremum of A in $\mathbb{R}$ is $R/4$, since for all x in A , $x < R/4$, and $R/4$ is the least upper bound in $\mathbb{R}$.

If $R/4$ is irrational, does A have a least upper bound in $\mathbb{Q}$?

No, if $R/4$ is irrational, then A does not have a least upper bound in $\mathbb{Q}$ because the supremum is not rational, meaning no least upper bound exists within $\mathbb{Q}$.

What is the significance of the set A in understanding rational approximations of R ?

Set A consists of rationals less than $R/4$, illustrating how rationals can approximate real bounds from below but may not reach the exact supremum if $R/4$ is irrational.

Is the set A open, closed, or neither in Q ?

In Q , A is neither open nor closed because it is a half-interval open at $R/4$, which may not be rational, and Q lacks completeness.

How does the density of Q in R affect the existence of the least upper bound for A ?

Since Q is dense in R , the supremum $R/4$ exists in R , but may not be in Q , so the least upper bound in Q may not exist if $R/4$ is irrational.

Can we find an element in Q that is arbitrarily close to $R/4$ from below?

Yes, because Q is dense in R , for any real number (including $R/4$), there exist rationals arbitrarily close to it from below.

What conditions are necessary for set A to have a least upper bound in Q ?

A set A will have a least upper bound in Q if and only if $R/4$ is rational; otherwise, the supremum exists only in R , not in Q .

Additional Resources

Analyzing the Set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 4x < R \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ for the Existence of a Least Upper Bound

Introduction

Mathematics, especially real analysis, often explores the properties of sets and their bounds. The question of whether a particular set has a least upper bound (supremum) is fundamental, as it connects to concepts like completeness, convergence, and the structure of the real numbers.

In this discussion, we focus on the set:

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 4x < R\}$$

where R is a fixed real number. Our goal is to determine whether this set A has a least upper

bound, sometimes called a supremum, within the context of the real numbers.

Understanding the Set A

Definition and Intuition

The set A consists of all rational numbers x such that $4x < R$. Since $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, the set is a subset of the rationals, and the condition $4x < R$ can be rewritten as:

$$x < \frac{R}{4}$$

This is because dividing both sides of the inequality $4x < R$ by 4 (which is positive) preserves the inequality direction.

Hence, set A can be expressed as:

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x < \frac{R}{4}\}$$

Basic properties:

- Density: Since the rationals are dense in the reals, for any real number less than $R/4$, there exist rational numbers arbitrarily close to it.
- Boundedness: The set A is bounded above because all elements are less than $R/4$. The real number $R/4$ acts as a natural candidate for an upper bound.

Determining Whether A Has a Least Upper Bound

1. Is A bounded above?

Yes, because for any $x \in A$, $x < R/4$. Therefore, $R/4$ itself is an upper bound for A . Any number greater than or equal to $R/4$ will serve as an upper bound.

- Example: For $R = 10$, $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x < 2.5\}$. Clearly, 2.5 is an upper bound.

2. Does A have a least upper bound (supremum)?

This is the core question. To answer it, we analyze the properties of the set:

- The set A includes all rational numbers less than $R/4$; it does not include $R/4$ itself unless $R/4 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the set is extended to include the boundary.
- Since A contains all rationals less than $R/4$, the natural candidate for the supremum of A is $R/4$.

Key considerations:

- Is $(R/4)$ an element of (A) ?
- If $(R/4 \in \mathbb{Q})$:
- Then $(R/4 \in A)$ if and only if $(4 \times R/4 < R)$ which simplifies to $(R < R)$, which is false.
- Alternatively, since $(x < R/4)$ for all elements $(x \in A)$, $(R/4)$ is not in (A) (unless the inequality is non-strict, but as per the set definition, it's strict).
- If $(R/4 \notin \mathbb{Q})$:
- Then (A) does not contain $(R/4)$.
- Is $(R/4)$ the least upper bound?
- To be the supremum, $(R/4)$ must be an upper bound for (A) .
- Since all elements $(x \in A)$ satisfy $(x < R/4)$, and for any $(\epsilon > 0)$, there exists some $(x \in A)$ such that $(x > R/4 - \epsilon)$ (by density of $(\mathbb{Q})$), $(R/4)$ is indeed the least upper bound.

3. Formal proof of the supremum

Let's formalize these ideas:

- Upper bound: For all $(x \in A)$, $(x < R/4)$, so $(R/4)$ is an upper bound.
- Least upper bound:
Suppose there exists some upper bound $(U < R/4)$. Since (A) is dense in $(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < R/4\})$, for any such (U) , there exists $(x \in \mathbb{Q})$ with $(U < x < R/4)$.
- If $(x \in A)$, then $(x < R/4)$, but $(x > U)$, so (U) is not the least upper bound because there exists a smaller upper bound (x) .
- If $(x \notin A)$, then $(x \geq R/4)$, contradicting $(x < R/4)$.

Therefore, $(R/4)$ is the least upper bound (supremum) of (A) .

Impact of the Nature of (R)

The above discussion hinges on the properties of (R) :

- When (R) is rational:
- $(R/4)$ is rational, and (A) contains rationals approaching $(R/4)$ from below but not $(R/4)$ itself.
- The supremum of (A) is $(R/4)$, but not an element of (A) .
- When (R) is irrational:
- $(R/4)$ is irrational, so (A) contains rationals less than an irrational number $(R/4)$.
- The supremum of (A) remains $(R/4)$, which is not in (A) , and the analysis remains identical.

In summary:

- Regardless of whether (R) is rational or irrational, the supremum of set (A) is $(R/4)$.

Does A Have a Maximum?

While the supremum exists and is equal to $(R/4)$, whether A has a maximum depends on whether $(R/4 \in A)$.

- Since A contains only rational numbers x with $x < R/4$, it cannot contain $(R/4)$ unless $(R/4)$ is rational and the inequality is non-strict.
- The set A is not closed at $(R/4)$, and hence has no maximum.

Conclusion:

- A has no maximum.
- A has a supremum at $(R/4)$, which is not an element of A .

Extending the Analysis: Variations and Special Cases

1. Modifying the inequality to $(4x \leq R)$

- If the set were $A' = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 4x \leq R\}$, then:
- A' contains all rationals $x < R/4$ and possibly $x = R/4$ if $(R/4)$ is rational.
- In this case:
- The supremum is still $(R/4)$.
- The set may contain $(R/4)$ if it is rational, making $(R/4)$ the maximum of the set.

2. Considering the set A with different coefficients

- For example, if $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : kx < R\}$, where $(k \neq 4)$, similar reasoning applies:
- The supremum is (R/k) .
- Whether this supremum is in A depends on whether the inequality is strict or non-strict and whether (R/k) is rational.

Implications in Real Analysis

The set A demonstrates a classic example in real analysis showcasing the difference between:

- The supremum (least upper bound), which always exists in $(\mathbb{R})$ for non-empty bounded sets.
- The maximum, which exists only if the supremum is an element of the set.

This distinction is fundamental in understanding the completeness property of the real numbers:

- The real numbers are complete, meaning every non-empty set bounded above has a least upper

For A Fixed Number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ Consider The Set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ And } x \leq r\}$ Does A Have A Least Upper

For A Fixed Number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ Consider The Set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ And } x \leq r\}$ Does A Have A Least Upper

Related Articles

- [Five Years Ago, Firm SJ Purchased Land For \\$121,000 With \\$10,000 Of Its Own Funds And \\$111,000 Borrowed](#)
- [Hakeem Subtracted 8 From A Number, Then Multiplied The Difference By 4/5. The Result Was 20. Write And](#)
- [How The Value Of The Shares Of Each Merging Corporation Will Be Determined Is Stated After The Plan Of](#)

[Back to Home](#)