

LET $L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b, c, d\}^*, \text{with the number of } a\text{'s} = \text{number of } b\text{'s} \text{ and the number of } c\text{'s} = \text{number of } d\text{'s}\}$, BE A FASCINATING LANGUAGE IN FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY AND AUTOMATA THEORY.

LET $L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b, c, d\}^*, \text{with the number of } a\text{'s} = \text{number of } b\text{'s} \text{ and the number of } c\text{'s} = \text{number of } d\text{'s}\}$, BE A FASCINATING LANGUAGE IN FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY AND AUTOMATA THEORY.

UNDERSTANDING THE LANGUAGE L

DEFINITION AND INTUITION

LANGUAGE L IS A SET OF STRINGS OVER THE ALPHABET $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\}$ SUCH THAT EACH STRING w CAN BE SPLIT INTO TWO IDENTICAL HALVES, I.E., $w = ww$, WITH SPECIFIC COUNTING CONSTRAINTS:

- THE NUMBER OF a 'S IN THE STRING EQUALS THE NUMBER OF b 'S.
- THE NUMBER OF c 'S IN THE STRING EQUALS THE NUMBER OF a 'S (AND THUS ALSO EQUALS THE NUMBER OF b 'S).

IN OTHER WORDS, FOR ANY STRING w IN L , THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS HOLD:

1. $w = xx$, WHERE $x \in \Sigma^*$.
2. THE NUMBER OF a 'S IN x = NUMBER OF b 'S IN x .
3. THE NUMBER OF c 'S IN x = NUMBER OF a 'S (AND b 'S).

THIS LANGUAGE COMBINES PROPERTIES OF PALINDROME STRUCTURES (SINCE STRINGS ARE OF THE FORM ww) WITH COUNTING CONSTRAINTS, MAKING IT A RICH SUBJECT FOR ANALYSIS IN AUTOMATA THEORY.

FORMAL LANGUAGE PROPERTIES OF L

MEMBERSHIP CONDITIONS

TO DETERMINE WHETHER A STRING BELONGS TO L , IT MUST MEET BOTH STRUCTURAL AND COUNTING CONDITIONS:

- STRUCTURAL CONDITION: THE STRING MUST BE OF THE FORM ww , WHERE w IS ANY STRING OVER THE ALPHABET.
- COUNTING CONDITIONS: THE FIRST HALF w MUST SATISFY:
 - NUMBER OF a 'S = NUMBER OF b 'S.
 - NUMBER OF c 'S = NUMBER OF a 'S (WHICH IMPLIES NUMBER OF c 'S = NUMBER OF a 'S = NUMBER OF b 'S).

NOTE: SINCE THE STRING IS OF THE FORM ww , THE COUNTS IN THE ENTIRE STRING ARE DOUBLED, BUT THE KEY COUNTS ARE DETERMINED BY THE FIRST HALF.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS

GIVEN THE ABOVE, THE COUNTS IN w SATISFY:

- $A(w) = B(w)$
- $C(w) = A(w)$

THEREFORE, IN w :

- $A(w) = B(w) = C(w)$

AND IN THE ENTIRE STRING ww :

- TOTAL NUMBER OF A'S = $2 A(w)$
- TOTAL NUMBER OF B'S = $2 B(w)$
- TOTAL NUMBER OF C'S = $2 C(w)$
- TOTAL NUMBER OF D'S = $2 D(w)$

SINCE THE COUNTS OF A, B, AND C ARE LINKED, THE STRING'S STRUCTURE IS HEAVILY CONSTRAINED.

EXAMPLES OF STRINGS IN L

- VALID STRINGS:
- "ABCABC":
 - FIRST HALF: "ABC"
 - COUNTS: $A=1, B=1, C=1, D=0$
 - CONDITIONS: $A=B=1, C=1$, MATCHES THE CONSTRAINTS
- "ABCCBAABCCBA":
 - FIRST HALF: "ABCCBA"
 - COUNTS: $A=2, B=2, C=2$
 - CONDITIONS: $A=B=2, C=2$
- "AABBCCAABBCC":
 - FIRST HALF: "AABBCC"
 - COUNTS: $A=2, B=2, C=2$
 - CONDITIONS SATISFIED.
- INVALID STRINGS:
- "ABCDABCD":
 - FIRST HALF: "ABCD"
 - COUNTS: $A=1, B=1, C=1, D=1$
 - SINCE $C \neq A$, AND COUNTS OF $C \neq A$, THIS STRING IS INVALID.
- "ABABABAB":
 - COUNTS: $A=4, B=4, C=0$
 - SINCE $C \neq A$, INVALID.
- "AABCD":
 - FIRST HALF: "AABCD"
 - COUNTS: $A=2, B=1, C=1$
 - $A \neq B$, INVALID.

AUTOMATA AND FORMAL GRAMMAR FOR L

CHALLENGES IN RECOGNIZING L

THE LANGUAGE L INVOLVES BOTH STRUCTURAL CONSTRAINTS (STRINGS ARE SQUARES) AND COUNTING CONSTRAINTS THAT DEPEND ON THE COUNTS OF SPECIFIC CHARACTERS.

STANDARD FINITE AUTOMATA ARE INSUFFICIENT TO RECOGNIZE SUCH LANGUAGES SINCE THEY CANNOT KEEP TRACK OF ARBITRARY COUNTS. CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS CAN HANDLE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS BETWEEN CHARACTERS BUT STRUGGLE WITH THE REQUIREMENT THAT STRINGS ARE PERFECT SQUARES.

APPROACH TO RECOGNIZE L

TO RECOGNIZE L , AN AUTOMATON OR GRAMMAR MUST:

- VERIFY THAT THE INPUT STRING IS OF THE FORM ww .
- COUNT THE NUMBER OF a 'S, b 'S, AND c 'S IN THE FIRST HALF.
- ENSURE THAT THESE COUNTS SATISFY THE RELATIONS: $a = b = c$.

POSSIBLE METHODS INCLUDE:

- PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA (PDA): TO HANDLE COUNTING OF CHARACTERS, A PDA WITH MULTIPLE STACKS COULD BE DESIGNED, BUT STANDARD PDAs ONLY HAVE ONE STACK.
- TURING MACHINES: MORE FLEXIBLE, CAN SIMULATE COUNTING WITH MULTIPLE TAPES OR STATES.
- CONTEXT-SENSITIVE GRAMMARS: CAPABLE OF EXPRESSING SUCH COMPLEX CONSTRAINTS, BUT NOT CONTEXT-FREE.

CONSTRUCTING A CONTEXT-SENSITIVE GRAMMAR FOR L

GIVEN THE CONSTRAINTS, A CONTEXT-SENSITIVE GRAMMAR CAN BE CONSTRUCTED TO GENERATE THE LANGUAGE L .

OUTLINE OF THE GRAMMAR CONSTRUCTION

THE GRAMMAR MUST GENERATE STRINGS OF THE FORM ww , WHERE w SATISFIES THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.

STEPS:

1. GENERATE THE FIRST HALF w WITH EQUAL NUMBERS OF a 'S, b 'S, AND c 'S.
2. COPY w TWICE TO FORM ww .
3. ENFORCE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS DURING GENERATION.

SAMPLE PRODUCTION RULES:

- START SYMBOL: S
- $S \rightarrow [w] \quad ww$
- w GENERATES STRINGS WITH EQUAL NUMBERS OF a 'S, b 'S, AND c 'S, MAINTAINING THE COUNTS.

DUE TO THE COMPLEXITY, EXPLICIT GRAMMAR RULES ARE INTRICATE AND INVOLVE AUXILIARY NON-TERMINALS TO KEEP TRACK OF COUNTS.

APPLICATIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE OF L

RELEVANCE IN FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY

LANGUAGES LIKE L ARE CRITICAL IN UNDERSTANDING THE BOUNDARIES BETWEEN REGULAR, CONTEXT-FREE, AND CONTEXT-SENSITIVE LANGUAGES. THEY DEMONSTRATE HOW ADDING COUNTING CONSTRAINTS SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASES COMPLEXITY.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

WHILE L IS THEORETICAL, CONCEPTS FROM SUCH LANGUAGES UNDERPIN:

- PARSING ALGORITHMS: ENSURING CERTAIN CHARACTER COUNTS.
- COMPILER DESIGN: SYNTAX VALIDATION WITH CONSTRAINTS.
- CRYPTOGRAPHY: GENERATING CODES WITH SPECIFIC PROPERTIES.
- PATTERN RECOGNITION: DETECTING STRUCTURES WITH COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.

CONCLUSION

THE LANGUAGE L , DEFINED AS STRINGS OVER $\{A, B, C, D\}$ THAT ARE SQUARES OF STRINGS WITH SPECIFIC CHARACTER COUNT RELATIONS, EXEMPLIFIES THE RICH INTERPLAY BETWEEN STRING STRUCTURE AND COUNTING CONSTRAINTS IN FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY. RECOGNIZING SUCH LANGUAGES REQUIRES SOPHISTICATED AUTOMATA OR GRAMMARS BEYOND FINITE AUTOMATA, HIGHLIGHTING THE THEORETICAL DEPTH AND COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY INVOLVED. AS A STUDY SUBJECT, L PROVIDES INSIGHTS INTO THE CAPABILITIES AND LIMITATIONS OF VARIOUS COMPUTATIONAL MODELS, AND ITS ANALYSIS ENHANCES OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF FORMAL LANGUAGES.

KEY TAKEAWAYS:

- THE LANGUAGE INVOLVES BOTH STRUCTURAL (SQUARE STRINGS) AND COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.
- RECOGNIZING L NECESSITATES CONTEXT-SENSITIVE APPROACHES.
- IT ILLUSTRATES THE HIERARCHY OF FORMAL LANGUAGES AND THEIR EXPRESSIVE POWERS.
- STUDYING SUCH LANGUAGES DEEPENS COMPREHENSION OF AUTOMATA, GRAMMARS, AND COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY.

FOR ENTHUSIASTS AND RESEARCHERS IN THEORETICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE, L OFFERS AN INTRIGUING CHALLENGE—BALANCING PATTERN RECOGNITION WITH COUNTING CONSTRAINTS—AND EXEMPLIFIES THE RICHNESS OF FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT IS THE LANGUAGE L DEFINED AS?

L IS THE SET OF ALL STRINGS OVER THE ALPHABET $\{A, B, C, D\}$ WHERE THE NUMBER OF 'A'S EQUALS THE NUMBER OF 'B'S, AND THE NUMBER OF 'C'S EQUALS THE NUMBER OF 'D'S.

IS THE LANGUAGE L CONTEXT-FREE OR REGULAR?

L IS CONTEXT-FREE BUT NOT REGULAR, BECAUSE IT REQUIRES COUNTING AND MATCHING THE NUMBER OF DIFFERENT SYMBOLS, WHICH CANNOT BE DONE WITH A FINITE AUTOMATON.

HOW CAN WE DETERMINE WHETHER A STRING BELONGS TO L ?

TO DETERMINE IF A STRING BELONGS TO L , VERIFY THAT THE COUNT OF 'A'S EQUALS THE COUNT OF 'B'S AND THE COUNT OF 'C'S EQUALS THE COUNT OF 'D'S IN THE STRING.

CAN L BE GENERATED BY A CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMAR?

YES, L CAN BE GENERATED BY A CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMAR THAT ENSURES EQUAL NUMBERS OF 'A'S AND 'B'S, AND EQUAL NUMBERS OF 'C'S AND 'D'S, THROUGH RECURSIVE PRODUCTION RULES.

WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLE STRINGS IN L ?

EXAMPLES INCLUDE 'ABCCDD', 'AABBCCDD', 'ABDCBCCDD', WHERE THE COUNTS OF 'A'S AND 'B'S ARE EQUAL, AND COUNTS OF 'C'S AND 'D'S ARE EQUAL.

IS THE LANGUAGE L CLOSED UNDER CONCATENATION?

L IS NOT NECESSARILY CLOSED UNDER CONCATENATION BECAUSE CONCATENATING TWO STRINGS FROM L MAY VIOLATE THE REQUIRED SYMBOL COUNTS UNLESS CAREFULLY COMBINED.

WHAT AUTOMATA MODELS CAN RECOGNIZE THE LANGUAGE L ?

PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA OR CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS CAN RECOGNIZE L , BUT FINITE AUTOMATA CANNOT DUE TO THE COUNTING REQUIREMENTS.

WHY IS L CONSIDERED A NON-REGULAR LANGUAGE?

BECAUSE REGULAR LANGUAGES CANNOT ENFORCE EQUAL COUNTS OF MULTIPLE SYMBOL PAIRS SIMULTANEOUSLY, MAKING L NON-REGULAR DUE TO ITS COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

UNDERSTANDING THE LANGUAGE L : AN IN-DEPTH ANALYSIS

THE STUDY OF FORMAL LANGUAGES AND AUTOMATA THEORY IS FUNDAMENTAL TO UNDERSTANDING COMPUTATIONAL SYSTEMS, COMPILER DESIGN, AND THE THEORETICAL LIMITS OF COMPUTATION. AMONG VARIOUS CLASSES OF LANGUAGES, THOSE CHARACTERIZED BY SPECIFIC STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES AND COUNTING CONSTRAINTS ARE PARTICULARLY INTRIGUING. ONE SUCH LANGUAGE IS $L = \{ ww \mid w \in \{A, B, C, D\}^* \}$ WITH THE ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS THAT THE NUMBER OF A'S EQUALS THE NUMBER OF B'S, AND THE NUMBER OF C'S EQUALS THE NUMBER OF D'S. THIS LANGUAGE COMBINES THE CLASSIC CONCEPT OF STRING DOUBLING WITH INTRICATE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS, MAKING IT A COMPELLING CASE FOR ANALYSIS.

THIS REVIEW AIMS TO DISSECT THE LANGUAGE L METICULOUSLY, EXPLORING ITS FORMAL DEFINITION, PROPERTIES, AND IMPLICATIONS WITHIN AUTOMATA THEORY AND FORMAL LANGUAGE CLASSIFICATION. WE WILL COVER THE FOLLOWING KEY ASPECTS:

- FORMAL DEFINITION OF L
- STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES AND INTUITIVE UNDERSTANDING
- CLOSURE PROPERTIES
- CONTEXT-FREENESS AND CONTEXT-SENSITIVITY
- DECIDABILITY AND RECOGNIZABILITY
- AUTOMATA MODELS SUITABLE FOR L
- CHALLENGES IN PARSING AND RECOGNITION
- PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND APPLICATIONS
- SUMMARY AND FINAL REMARKS

FORMAL DEFINITION OF L

BEFORE DELVING INTO PROPERTIES AND IMPLICATIONS, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO FORMALIZE THE LANGUAGE PRECISELY.

BASIC ALPHABET AND STRING CONSTRUCTION

- THE ALPHABET: $\Sigma = \{A, B, C, D\}$.
- THE LANGUAGE L IS A SUBSET OF Σ^* , THE SET OF ALL FINITE STRINGS OVER Σ .

CORE STRUCTURE: REPETITION OF A WORD

- L CONSISTS OF ALL STRINGS s SUCH THAT:

$$s = ww,$$

WHERE $w \in \{A, B, C, D\}^*$. THAT IS, THE STRING IS FORMED BY CONCATENATING SOME WORD w WITH ITSELF.

ADDITIONAL CONSTRAINTS: COUNTING CONDITIONS

- THE NUMBER OF 'A'S IN $\langle w \rangle$ EQUALS THE NUMBER OF 'B'S:

$$\begin{aligned} &| \text{TEXT}\{A \text{ IN } w| = | \text{TEXT}\{B \text{ IN } w| \end{aligned}$$

- THE NUMBER OF 'C'S IN $\langle w \rangle$ EQUALS THE NUMBER OF 'D'S:

$$\begin{aligned} &| \text{TEXT}\{C \text{ IN } w| = | \text{TEXT}\{D \text{ IN } w| \end{aligned}$$

FINAL LANGUAGE DEFINITION:

$$\begin{aligned} L = \{ & ww \mid w \in \{A, B, C, D\}^*, \text{ ; } | \text{TEXT}\{A \text{ IN } w| = | \text{TEXT}\{B \text{ IN } w|, \text{ ; } | \text{TEXT}\{C \text{ IN } w| = | \text{TEXT}\{D \text{ IN } w| \} \end{aligned}$$

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES AND INTUITIVE UNDERSTANDING

UNDERSTANDING $\langle L \rangle$ REQUIRES A DEEP INTUITION ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF STRINGS IT CONTAINS.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DOUBLING PATTERN

- THE CORE PATTERN $\langle ww \rangle$ IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE USED IN FORMAL LANGUAGE THEORY TO DEMONSTRATE NON-REGULARITY.
- RECOGNIZING WHETHER A STRING IS OF THE FORM $\langle ww \rangle$ IS KNOWN TO BE NON-REGULAR, AND IS A WELL-STUDIED CONTEXT FOR CONTEXT-FREE AND CONTEXT-SENSITIVE LANGUAGES.
- THE DOUBLING PROPERTY IMPOSES A NON-REGULAR STRUCTURE, AS IT ENCODES AN EQUALITY OF TWO SUBSTRINGS.

THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS

- THE ADDED CONSTRAINTS ENFORCE BALANCE CONDITIONS ON SPECIFIC PAIRS OF SYMBOLS WITHIN THE FIRST HALF $\langle w \rangle$.
- THESE CONSTRAINTS DO NOT JUST RESTRICT THE STRUCTURE BUT ALSO IMPOSE A GLOBAL COUNTING CONDITION WITHIN $\langle w \rangle$.

COMBINING THE TWO CONDITIONS

- THE KEY CHALLENGE IS THAT THE LANGUAGE REQUIRES:

1. THE STRING TO BE A PERFECT DUPLICATION: $\langle s = ww \rangle$.
2. THE SUBSTRING $\langle w \rangle$ TO SATISFY SPECIFIC COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.

- BECAUSE THE SECOND CONDITION IS ONLY ABOUT $\langle w \rangle$, AND THE DUPLICATION IS ABOUT THE ENTIRE STRING, RECOGNITION INVOLVES VERIFYING PROPERTIES OF THE FIRST HALF $\langle w \rangle$ AND THEN ENSURING THE SECOND HALF IS IDENTICAL.

EXAMPLE STRINGS IN L

- CONSIDER $\langle w = A B A B \rangle$, WHICH HAS:

- $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{A\}|=2 \rangle$, $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{B\}|=2 \rangle$, $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{C\}|=0 \rangle$, $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{D\}|=0 \rangle$.
- IT SATISFIES THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS BECAUSE $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{A\}|=| \text{TEXT}\{B\}| \rangle$ AND $\langle | \text{TEXT}\{C\}|=| \text{TEXT}\{D\}|=0 \rangle$.

- THE STRING:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ & S = ww = a b a b a b a b \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

is in $\backslash(L\backslash)$.

- ANOTHER EXAMPLE: $\backslash(w = c d c d\backslash)$, THEN:

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash[\\ & S = c d c d c d c d. \\ & \backslash] \end{aligned}$$

AGAIN, COUNTS SATISFY THE CONSTRAINTS, AND THE STRING IS IN $\backslash(L\backslash)$.

NON-EXAMPLES

- $\backslash(w = a a b c\backslash)$:

- $\backslash(|\text{TEXT}\{A\}|=2\backslash)$, $\backslash(|\text{TEXT}\{B\}|=1\backslash)$, SO THE FIRST COUNTING CONSTRAINT FAILS, AND THUS $\backslash(ww\backslash)$ IS NOT IN $\backslash(L\backslash)$.

CLOSURE PROPERTIES AND CLASSIFICATION

UNDERSTANDING CLOSURE PROPERTIES HELPS CLASSIFY THE COMPLEXITY LEVEL OF $\backslash(L\backslash)$, SUCH AS WHETHER IT IS REGULAR, CONTEXT-FREE, CONTEXT-SENSITIVE, ETC.

REGULARITY

- REGULAR LANGUAGES CANNOT RECOGNIZE THE PATTERN $\backslash(ww\backslash)$ BECAUSE DUPLICATION REQUIRES MEMORY OF THE FIRST HALF.
- THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS $\backslash(|\text{TEXT}\{A\}|=|\text{TEXT}\{B\}|\backslash)$ AND $\backslash(|\text{TEXT}\{C\}|=|\text{TEXT}\{D\}|\backslash)$ ARE ALSO NON-REGULAR PROPERTIES.
- CONCLUSION: $\backslash(L\backslash)$ IS NOT REGULAR.

CONTEXT-FREENESS

- RECOGNIZING $\backslash(ww\backslash)$ IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF A CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGE (CFL) THAT IS NOT REGULAR BUT CAN BE RECOGNIZED BY PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA WITH CERTAIN TECHNIQUES.
- HOWEVER, WHEN ADDING COUNTING CONSTRAINTS, THE RECOGNITION BECOMES MORE COMPLEX.
- KEY POINT: THE LANGUAGE $\backslash(L\backslash)$ IMPOSES CROSS-SERIAL DEPENDENCIES, WHICH OFTEN GO BEYOND THE POWER OF CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS.
- KNOWN RESULTS:
- THE LANGUAGE $\backslash(\{ ww \mid w \in \Sigma^* \}\backslash)$ IS NOT CONTEXT-FREE.
- THE ADDITIONAL COUNTING CONSTRAINTS DO NOT SIMPLIFY THE RECOGNITION; THEY TEND TO MAKE THE LANGUAGE EVEN MORE COMPLEX.
- CONCLUSION: THE LANGUAGE $\backslash(L\backslash)$ IS NOT CONTEXT-FREE.

CONTEXT-SENSITIVE AND RECURSIVELY ENUMERABLE

- SINCE $\backslash(L\backslash)$ INVOLVES STRING DUPLICATION AND COUNTING CONSTRAINTS, IT CAN BE RECOGNIZED BY A LINEAR BOUNDED AUTOMATON (LBA), WHICH IMPLIES CONTEXT-SENSITIVE RECOGNITION.
- THEREFORE:

- $\{L\}$ IS CONTEXT-SENSITIVE.
- IT IS DECIDABLE (SINCE CONTEXT-SENSITIVE LANGUAGES ARE DECIDABLE).

DECIDABILITY AND RECOGNIZABILITY

GIVEN THE DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES, UNDERSTANDING WHETHER $\{L\}$ IS DECIDABLE OR SEMI-DECIDABLE IS CRUCIAL.

DECIDABILITY

- RECOGNIZING WHETHER A STRING $\{s\}$ BELONGS TO $\{L\}$ INVOLVES:

1. CHECKING IF $\{s\}$ CAN BE SPLIT INTO TWO EQUAL HALVES: $\{s = t \ t\}$.
2. VERIFYING THAT THE FIRST HALF $\{w\}$ SATISFIES THE COUNTING CONSTRAINTS.

- THE PROCESS:

- CHECK IF LENGTH OF $\{s\}$ IS EVEN.
- EXTRACT $\{w\}$ AS THE FIRST HALF.
- COUNT THE SYMBOLS IN $\{w\}$ TO VERIFY THE BALANCE CONDITIONS.

- ALGORITHM OUTLINE:

1. VERIFY $\{|s|\}$ IS EVEN; IF NOT, REJECT.
2. SPLIT $\{s\}$ INTO $\{w\}$ AND $\{w\}$.
3. COUNT THE OCCURRENCES OF EACH SYMBOL IN $\{w\}$.
4. CHECK IF $\{|\text{TEXT}\{A\}| = |\text{TEXT}\{B\}|\}$ AND $\{|\text{TEXT}\{C\}| = |\text{TEXT}\{D\}|\}$.
5. ACCEPT IF ALL CONDITIONS HOLD; REJECT OTHERWISE.

- CONCLUSION: THE RECOGNITION PROBLEM FOR $\{L\}$ IS DECIDABLE, AS IT INVOLVES SIMPLE COUNTING AND STRING SPLITTING, BOTH COMPUTABLE OPERATIONS.

RECOGNIZABILITY BY AUTOMATA

- GIVEN THE ABOVE, $\{L\}$ IS RECOGNIZABLE BY A LINEAR BOUNDED AUTOMATON (LBA), WHICH CAN SIMULATE THE COUNTING AND DUPLICATION CHECKING WITHIN BOUNDED TAPE SPACE.

AUTOMATA MODELS SUITABLE FOR L

UNDERSTANDING THE TYPES OF AUTOMATA CAPABLE OF RECOGNIZING $\{L\}$ CLARIFIES ITS PLACEMENT WITHIN THE CHOMSKY HIERARCHY.

FINITE AUTOMATA

- INADEQUATE FOR RECOGNIZING $\{ww\}$ OR COUNTING CONSTRAINTS BECAUSE:
- FINITE AUTOMATA LACK MEMORY TO COMPARE NON-ADJACENT PARTS OR PERFORM COUNTING.
- CONCLUSION: FINITE AUTOMATA CANNOT RECOGNIZE $\{L\}$.

PUSHDOWN AUTOMATA (PDA)

- LIMITATIONS:

- WHILE PDAs CAN RECOGNIZE SOME CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES, THEY CANNOT HANDLE THE DUPLICATION PATTERN $\{(ww)\}$ SINCE IT REQUIRES COMPARING TWO ARBITRARY HALVES.

- CONCLUSION: PDAs ARE INSUFFICIENT.

LINEAR BOUNDED AUTOMATA (LBA)

- CAPABILITY:

- LBAs HAVE BOUNDED MEMORY PROPORTIONAL TO INPUT SIZE.

- THEY CAN PERFORM COUNTING AND STRING COMPARISON WITHIN THEIR TAPE.

- IMPLICATION:

- SINCE RECOGNIZING $\{(L)\}$ INVOLVES VERIFYING EQUALITY OF TWO HALVES AND COUNTING SYMBOLS, LBAs CAN RECOGNIZE $\{(L)\}$.

TURING MACHINES

- FULL TURING MACHINES CAN

Let L Ww Is In A B C D With The Number Of A S Number Of B S And The Number Of C S The Number

Let L Ww Is In A B C D With The Number Of A S Number Of B S And The Number Of C S The Number

Related Articles

- [Point P Is On The Rim Of A Wheel Of Radius 2.0 M. At Time \$T = 0\$, The Wheel Is At Rest, And P Is On The](#)
- [Knoll Company Started Year 2 With A \\$1,000 Balance In Its Cash Account, A \\$200 Balance In Its Supplies](#)
- [Scalability Refers To The Ability To Increase Or Decrease The Capacity Of The Computing Infrastructure](#)

[Back to Home](#)