

QUESTION 2 WHAT IS THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES? HOW WOULD YOU REWRITE THE QUOTE ABOVE BASED ON

QUESTION 2 WHAT IS THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES? HOW WOULD YOU REWRITE THE QUOTE ABOVE BASED ON

UNDERSTANDING THE NUANCES OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR CAN SOMETIMES BE CHALLENGING, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COMES TO THE RULES GOVERNING SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND STYLE. ONE OF THE MOST DEBATED TOPICS AMONG WRITERS AND GRAMMARIANS ALIKE IS THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES. THIS ARTICLE AIMS TO CLARIFY WHAT SPLITTING INFINITIVES ENTAILS, EXPLORE THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND CURRENT STANDARDS, AND PROVIDE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO PROPERLY REVISE SENTENCES THAT CONTAIN SPLIT INFINITIVES.

WHAT IS AN INFINITIVE? A BRIEF OVERVIEW

BEFORE DELVING INTO THE SPECIFICS OF SPLITTING INFINITIVES, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO UNDERSTAND WHAT AN INFINITIVE IS.

DEFINITION OF AN INFINITIVE

- AN INFINITIVE IS THE BASE FORM OF A VERB, OFTEN PRECEDED BY THE WORD "TO."
- EXAMPLES INCLUDE: TO RUN, TO WRITE, TO UNDERSTAND, TO BE.

FUNCTION OF INFINITIVES IN SENTENCES

- INFINITIVES CAN ACT AS NOUNS, ADJECTIVES, OR ADVERBS.
- THEY OFTEN EXPRESS PURPOSE, INTENT, OR ACTION.

WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO SPLIT AN INFINITIVE?

UNDERSTANDING THE CONCEPT

- A SPLIT INFINITIVE OCCURS WHEN A WORD, USUALLY AN ADVERB OR ADVERBIAL PHRASE, IS INSERTED BETWEEN "TO" AND THE VERB.
- EXAMPLE: "TO QUICKLY UNDERSTAND" INSTEAD OF THE CORRECT "TO UNDERSTAND QUICKLY."

COMMON EXAMPLES OF SPLIT INFINITIVES

- "SHE DECIDED TO BOLDLY GO WHERE NO ONE HAD GONE BEFORE."
- "HE PROMISED TO COMPLETELY FINISH THE TASK."

WHY DO WRITERS SPLIT INFINITIVES?

- FOR EMPHASIS OR CLARITY.
- TO MAINTAIN THE NATURAL FLOW OR RHYTHM OF A SENTENCE.
- SOMETIMES, SPLITTING THE INFINITIVE MAKES THE SENTENCE MORE IDIOMATIC OR EXPRESSIVE.

THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES

THE ORIGINS OF THE RULE

- THE RULE AGAINST SPLITTING INFINITIVES ORIGINATED IN THE 19TH CENTURY, INFLUENCED BY LATIN GRAMMAR, WHERE INFINITIVES ARE SINGLE, UNBREAKABLE WORDS (E.G., "AMARE" - TO LOVE).
- ENGLISH GRAMMARIANS SOUGHT TO EMULATE LATIN SYNTAX, LEADING TO THE PROHIBITION OF INSERTING WORDS BETWEEN "TO" AND THE VERB.

WHY THE RULE BECAME POPULAR

- PRESCRIPTIVE GRAMMARIANS AIMED TO PRESERVE WHAT THEY CONSIDERED "PROPER" SENTENCE STRUCTURE.
- THE RULE WAS REINFORCED BY STYLE GUIDES AND LANGUAGE AUTHORITIES, ESPECIALLY IN FORMAL WRITING.

CHANGING PERSPECTIVES OVER TIME

- MANY LINGUISTS AND WRITERS ARGUE THAT THE PROHIBITION IS OVERLY RIGID AND OUTDATED.
- MODERN USAGE OFTEN ACCEPTS SPLIT INFINITIVES FOR CLARITY, EMPHASIS, OR STYLISTIC REASONS, ESPECIALLY IN INFORMAL WRITING.

IS IT CORRECT TO SPLIT INFINITIVES? THE CURRENT STANDARD

TRADITIONAL VS. MODERN VIEWS

- TRADITIONAL VIEW: SPLIT INFINITIVES ARE CONSIDERED GRAMMATICALLY INCORRECT.
- MODERN VIEW: SPLIT INFINITIVES ARE ACCEPTABLE AND OFTEN PREFERABLE FOR CLARITY AND STYLE.

GUIDELINES FOR USING OR AVOIDING SPLIT INFINITIVES

- USE SPLIT INFINITIVES WHEN THEY IMPROVE CLARITY OR EMPHASIS.
- AVOID SPLITTING INFINITIVES IF THE SENTENCE REMAINS CLEAR AND CONCISE WITHOUT DOING SO.
- CONSIDER YOUR AUDIENCE AND CONTEXT; FORMAL WRITING MAY FAVOR AVOIDING SPLIT INFINITIVES, WHILE CREATIVE OR INFORMAL WRITING MAY FREELY EMPLOY THEM.

WHEN TO BE CAUTIOUS

- EXCESSIVE SPLITTING CAN MAKE SENTENCES AWKWARD OR CONFUSING.
- AVOID SPLITTING INFINITIVES IN VERY FORMAL OR ACADEMIC WRITING UNLESS NECESSARY FOR CLARITY.

HOW TO IDENTIFY AND CORRECT SPLIT INFINITIVES

STEPS TO IDENTIFY A SPLIT INFINITIVE

1. LOCATE THE "TO" + BASE VERB STRUCTURE.
2. CHECK IF THERE IS AN ADVERB OR PHRASE INSERTED BETWEEN "TO" AND THE VERB.
3. DETERMINE WHETHER THE SPLIT AFFECTS CLARITY OR EMPHASIS.

EXAMPLES OF REVISION STRATEGIES

- ORIGINAL: "SHE DECIDED TO QUICKLY FINISH THE PROJECT."
- REVISED: "SHE DECIDED TO FINISH THE PROJECT QUICKLY."

- ORIGINAL: "HE AIMS TO COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM."
- REVISED: "HE AIMS TO UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM COMPLETELY."

TIPS FOR REWRITING SENTENCES

- MOVE THE ADVERB OR PHRASE BEFORE OR AFTER THE INFINITIVE.
- REPHRASE TO ELIMINATE THE SPLIT IF IT RESULTS IN AWKWARD SENTENCES.
- USE SYNONYMS OR ALTERNATIVE SENTENCE STRUCTURES TO MAINTAIN EMPHASIS WITHOUT SPLITTING.

SAMPLE REWRITING BASED ON THE QUOTE ABOVE

SUPPOSE THE ORIGINAL QUOTE IS:

"TO BOLDLY GO WHERE NO ONE HAS GONE BEFORE."

REWRITTEN OPTIONS:

- "TO GO BOLDLY WHERE NO ONE HAS GONE BEFORE."
- "GO BOLDLY TO PLACES WHERE NO ONE HAS GONE BEFORE."
- "WHERE NO ONE HAS GONE BEFORE, WE BOLDLY GO."

EACH ALTERNATIVE PRESERVES THE MEANING WHILE AVOIDING THE SPLIT INFINITIVE, DEPENDING ON STYLISTIC PREFERENCE.

PRACTICAL TIPS FOR WRITERS AND EDITORS

BALANCING RULES AND STYLE

- UNDERSTAND THE RULE BUT PRIORITIZE CLARITY AND NATURAL FLOW.
- USE SPLIT INFINITIVES JUDICIOUSLY, ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY ENHANCE READABILITY OR EMPHASIS.

WHEN IN DOUBT

- CONSIDER YOUR AUDIENCE'S EXPECTATIONS.
- WHEN WRITING FORMAL DOCUMENTS, OPT FOR THE TRADITIONAL APPROACH IF IT MAINTAINS CLARITY.
- IN CREATIVE OR INFORMAL CONTEXTS, FEEL FREE TO SPLIT INFINITIVES FOR STYLISTIC EFFECT.

CONSULT STYLE GUIDES

- CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE: ACCEPTS SPLIT INFINITIVES WHEN THEY IMPROVE READABILITY.
- STRUNK AND WHITE'S THE ELEMENTS OF STYLE: FOCUSES ON CLARITY; SPLITTING IS ACCEPTABLE IF IT MAKES SENTENCES CLEARER.

CONCLUSION

THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES HAS EVOLVED SIGNIFICANTLY OVER TIME. WHILE HISTORICALLY CONSIDERED A GRAMMATICAL ERROR ROOTED IN LATIN INFLUENCE, MODERN ENGLISH USAGE RECOGNIZES THAT SPLITTING INFINITIVES CAN BE BOTH ACCEPTABLE AND EFFECTIVE. WRITERS SHOULD PRIORITIZE CLARITY, EMPHASIS, AND NATURAL FLOW OVER RIGID ADHERENCE TO OUTDATED RULES. WHEN REVISING SENTENCES, CONSIDER WHETHER SPLITTING THE INFINITIVE ENHANCES THE MESSAGE OR CLARITY. BY UNDERSTANDING THE CONTEXT AND PURPOSE, WRITERS CAN CONFIDENTLY DECIDE WHEN TO SPLIT OR AVOID SPLITTING INFINITIVES, ENSURING THEIR WRITING REMAINS CLEAR, EFFECTIVE, AND STYLISTICALLY APPROPRIATE.

FINAL THOUGHTS

- REMEMBER, LANGUAGE IS DYNAMIC, AND RULES OFTEN ADAPT TO PRACTICAL USAGE.
- FOCUS ON MAKING YOUR WRITING CLEAR AND ENGAGING.
- USE SPLIT INFINITIVES THOUGHTFULLY, AND DON'T BE AFRAID TO BREAK TRADITIONAL RULES WHEN IT BENEFITS YOUR EXPRESSION.

META DESCRIPTION:

DISCOVER THE TRUE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES, EXPLORE ITS HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, AND LEARN HOW TO PROPERLY REVISE SENTENCES CONTAINING SPLIT INFINITIVES FOR CLARITY AND STYLE.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT IS THE RULE ABOUT SPLITTING INFINITIVES IN ENGLISH GRAMMAR?

THE TRADITIONAL RULE STATES THAT YOU SHOULD NOT SPLIT INFINITIVES, MEANING YOU SHOULD AVOID PLACING WORDS BETWEEN 'TO' AND THE VERB, SUCH AS IN 'TO BOLDLY GO.' HOWEVER, MODERN USAGE OFTEN ACCEPTS SPLITTING INFINITIVES FOR CLARITY OR EMPHASIS.

WHY DO SOME WRITERS CHOOSE TO SPLIT INFINITIVES DESPITE TRADITIONAL RULES?

WRITERS MAY SPLIT INFINITIVES TO IMPROVE CLARITY, MAINTAIN NATURAL PHRASING, OR EMPHASIZE A PARTICULAR WORD OR IDEA, MAKING THE SENTENCE CLEARER OR MORE IMPACTFUL.

How can I identify a split infinitive in a sentence?

A split infinitive occurs when an adverb or other word is placed between 'to' and the verb, such as in 'to quickly run' instead of 'to run quickly.' Look for 'to' followed directly by a verb with words in between.

Is it always incorrect to split an infinitive in formal writing?

Traditionally, yes, especially in formal or academic writing, but modern style guides often accept split infinitives when they improve clarity or readability.

How would you rewrite the quote 'to boldly go where no man has gone before' based on the rule about splitting infinitives?

You could rewrite it as 'to go boldly where no man has gone before' to avoid splitting the infinitive.

Are there any style guides that recommend avoiding split infinitives?

Yes, style guides such as The Chicago Manual of Style and AP Stylebook historically recommended avoiding split infinitives, though they acknowledge that in many cases, splitting is acceptable for clarity.

Can splitting an infinitive sometimes change the meaning or emphasis of a sentence?

Yes, splitting an infinitive can shift emphasis or nuance, highlighting the adverb or modifying phrase, which can affect the tone or focus of the sentence.

What are some common examples of split infinitives in modern English?

Examples include 'to clearly understand,' 'to quickly finish,' and 'to completely forget.' These are often acceptable in casual or creative writing.

How should I decide whether to split an infinitive or not in my writing?

Consider clarity, emphasis, and style guidelines. If splitting the infinitive improves readability or emphasis without causing confusion, it is generally acceptable in modern usage.

Additional Resources

SPLITTING INFINITIVES: A DEEP DIVE INTO GRAMMAR RULES AND THEIR MODERN RELEVANCE

Understanding the Rule About Splitting Infinitives

Language and grammar are living entities, constantly evolving as speakers and writers adapt to new contexts and stylistic preferences. Among the many grammar rules that have sparked debate and discussion, the rule about splitting infinitives is one of the most historically prominent and often misunderstood. To appreciate this rule fully, we must explore its origins, traditional stance, and how contemporary usage has shifted or maintained its stance.

THE ORIGIN OF THE RULE

THE RULE AGAINST SPLITTING INFINITIVES ORIGINATES FROM A DESIRE TO EMULATE LATIN GRAMMAR, WHERE INFINITIVES ARE SINGLE WORDS AND CANNOT BE SPLIT. LATIN INFINITIVES ARE INHERENTLY ONE WORD — FOR EXAMPLE, AMARE (TO LOVE) OR VIDERE (TO SEE). EARLY ENGLISH GRAMMARIANS, INSPIRED BY LATIN, BELIEVED THAT ENGLISH INFINITIVES SHOULD MIRROR THIS STRUCTURE FOR THE SAKE OF CORRECTNESS AND ELEGANCE.

IN ENGLISH, THE INFINITIVE IS FORMED BY THE BASE FORM OF THE VERB PRECEDED BY "TO" (E.G., TO RUN, TO EAT, TO UNDERSTAND). THE TRADITIONAL PRESCRIPTIVE RULE STATES:

> "DO NOT SPLIT THE INFINITIVE"

WHICH MEANS PLACING WORDS BETWEEN "TO" AND THE VERB IS CONSIDERED INCORRECT.

THIS RULE BECAME CODIFIED IN PRESCRIPTIVE GRAMMAR GUIDES DURING THE 19TH AND EARLY 20TH CENTURIES, INFLUENCING TEACHING AND EDITING STANDARDS SIGNIFICANTLY.

WHY IS IT CALLED A "SPLITTING INFINITIVE"?

THE TERM "SPLITTING INFINITIVE" REFERS TO THE ACT OF INSERTING AN ADVERB OR PHRASE BETWEEN "TO" AND THE VERB, EFFECTIVELY "SPLITTING" THE INFINITIVE INTO TWO PARTS. FOR EXAMPLE:

- TO BOLDLY GO WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE.

HERE, BOLDLY SPLITS THE INFINITIVE TO GO.

THE PHRASE WAS POPULARIZED IN GRAMMAR DISCUSSIONS AND STYLE GUIDES, OFTEN AS A STRICT RULE TO AVOID IN FORMAL WRITING.

THE RATIONALE BEHIND THE RULE

EARLY GRAMMAR AUTHORITIES CLAIMED THAT SPLITTING THE INFINITIVE WAS UNGRAMMATICAL AND STYLISTICALLY POOR. THE REASONS GIVEN INCLUDED:

- CLARITY AND ELEGANCE: KEEPING "TO" AND THE VERB TOGETHER WAS THOUGHT TO PRODUCE CLEANER, MORE ELEGANT SENTENCES.
- LATIN INFLUENCE: SINCE LATIN INFINITIVES ARE ONE WORD, THE RULE AIMED TO PRESERVE A CLASSICAL STANDARD.
- CONSISTENCY: AVOIDING SPLITTING WAS BELIEVED TO MAINTAIN GRAMMATICAL CONSISTENCY IN SENTENCE STRUCTURE.

HOWEVER, AS LANGUAGE PRACTITIONERS AND LINGUISTS OBSERVED, ENGLISH SYNTAX NATURALLY ALLOWS FOR AND SOMETIMES NECESSITATES SPLITTING INFINITIVES FOR CLARITY OR EMPHASIS.

THE MODERN PERSPECTIVE: IS THE RULE STILL RELEVANT?

CHANGING ATTITUDES IN GRAMMAR AND STYLE

IN CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH, THE STRICT PROHIBITION AGAINST SPLITTING INFINITIVES HAS SOFTENED CONSIDERABLY. MANY LINGUISTS AND STYLE GUIDES ACCEPT AND EVEN ENCOURAGE SPLITTING INFINITIVES WHEN IT IMPROVES CLARITY, EMPHASIS, OR

NATURAL FLOW.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE AND THE ASSOCIATED PRESS STYLEBOOK ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SPLITTING INFINITIVES IS ACCEPTABLE AND OFTEN PREFERABLE IN MODERN USAGE.

WHY THE SHIFT? BECAUSE THE PRIMARY GOAL OF LANGUAGE IS EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION, AND RIGID ADHERENCE TO RULES THAT SOMETIMES HINDER CLARITY IS COUNTERPRODUCTIVE.

WHEN TO SPLIT AND WHEN NOT TO

DESPITE THE RELAXED STANCE, SOME GUIDELINES CAN HELP DETERMINE WHEN SPLITTING INFINITIVES IS ACCEPTABLE:

- USE SPLIT INFINITIVES FOR EMPHASIS: IF INSERTING AN ADVERB OR PHRASE ENHANCES THE FOCUS OR CLARITY, IT IS OFTEN JUSTIFIED.
- AVOID SPLITTING WHEN IT CAUSES AMBIGUITY OR AWKWARDNESS: IF THE SPLIT MAKES THE SENTENCE CONFUSING OR CUMBERSOME, IT'S BETTER TO REPHRASE.

EXAMPLES:

- SHE DECIDED TO QUICKLY FINISH HER HOMEWORK. (ACCEPTABLE FOR EMPHASIS)
- SHE DECIDED TO FINISH HER HOMEWORK QUICKLY. (ALSO ACCEPTABLE)
- HE WANTED TO ONLY SEE THE MOVIE. (AWKWARD; BETTER AS HE WANTED ONLY TO SEE THE MOVIE.)

NOTE: THE SECOND EXAMPLE ILLUSTRATES THAT SOMETIMES, REPHRASING IS PREFERABLE TO SPLITTING.

HOW WOULD YOU REWRITE THE QUOTE ABOVE BASED ON

LET'S SUPPOSE THE QUOTE IN QUESTION IS:

> "TO BOLDLY GO WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE."

THIS FAMOUS PHRASE, ORIGINATING FROM THE STAR TREK FRANCHISE, EXEMPLIFIES A CLASSIC SPLIT INFINITIVE. CRITICS AND STYLE PURISTS MIGHT ARGUE THAT IT SHOULD BE REWRITTEN TO AVOID SPLITTING:

- TO GO BOLDLY WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE.

OR

- BOLDLY, TO GO WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE.

ANALYZING THE REWRITES

1. "TO GO BOLDLY WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE."

- PROS: ELIMINATES THE SPLIT, ALIGNING WITH TRADITIONAL RULES.
- CONS: SLIGHTLY LESS EMPHASIS ON BOLDLY, WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY PLACED THERE FOR EMPHASIS AND RHYTHM.

2. "BOLDLY, TO GO WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE."

- PROS: MAINTAINS EMPHASIS ON BOLDLY WITHOUT SPLITTING THE INFINITIVE.
- CONS: SLIGHTLY ALTERS THE RHYTHM AND TONE.

3. REPHRASING FOR CLARITY AND STYLE:

- WE BOLDLY GO WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE. (REPHRASING TO AVOID THE SPLIT INFINITIVE ALTOGETHER)

THE BEST APPROACH

IN MODERN WRITING, ESPECIALLY WHEN CLARITY OR EMPHASIS IS IMPORTANT, THE ORIGINAL SPLIT INFINITIVE IS OFTEN ACCEPTABLE. IF ADHERING STRICTLY TO TRADITIONAL RULES, THE MOST STRAIGHTFORWARD CORRECTION WOULD BE:

> "TO GO BOLDLY WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE."

OR, MORE FORMALLY:

> "TO GO BOLDLY WHERE NO MAN HAS GONE BEFORE."

THE CHOICE DEPENDS ON STYLISTIC PREFERENCES AND CONTEXT.

PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WRITERS AND EDITORS

- PRIORITIZE CLARITY AND FLOW: DON'T SACRIFICE READABILITY FOR THE SAKE OF RULES.
- USE SPLIT INFINITIVES WHEN THEY IMPROVE EMPHASIS OR NATURALNESS: FOR EXAMPLE, "TO REALLY UNDERSTAND" MIGHT BE BETTER THAN "TO UNDERSTAND REALLY" IN SOME CONTEXTS.
- REPHRASE IF NECESSARY: IF A SPLIT INFINITIVE RESULTS IN AWKWARD PHRASING, CONSIDER REWORDING THE SENTENCE.
- KNOW YOUR AUDIENCE AND STYLE GUIDE: FORMAL ACADEMIC WRITING MAY LEAN TOWARD AVOIDING SPLIT INFINITIVES, WHILE CREATIVE OR JOURNALISTIC WRITING MIGHT BE MORE FLEXIBLE.

SUMMARY: THE EVOLVING VIEW OF THE RULE

THE RULE AGAINST SPLITTING INFINITIVES IS A PRODUCT OF HISTORICAL LATIN INFLUENCE AND PRESCRIPTIVE GRAMMAR STANDARDS. WHILE IT REMAINS A GOOD RULE OF THUMB IN HIGHLY FORMAL CONTEXTS, MODERN LINGUISTICS AND STYLE GUIDES RECOGNIZE THAT SPLITTING INFINITIVES IS OFTEN NATURAL, EFFECTIVE, AND ACCEPTABLE.

IN ESSENCE:

- THE ORIGINAL RULE WAS BASED ON LATIN GRAMMAR.
- MODERN USAGE ACCEPTS SPLIT INFINITIVES WHEN THEY IMPROVE CLARITY OR EMPHASIS.
- THE DECISION TO SPLIT OR NOT DEPENDS ON CONTEXT, STYLE, AND PURPOSE.
- REPHRASING IS A VIABLE ALTERNATIVE WHEN STRICT ADHERENCE TO THE RULE IS DESIRED BUT MAY LEAD TO LESS NATURAL SENTENCES.

FINAL THOUGHT

LANGUAGE RULES ARE TOOLS, NOT STRICT COMMANDMENTS. UNDERSTANDING THE ORIGINS AND INTENTIONS BEHIND RULES LIKE "NO SPLIT INFINITIVES" HELPS WRITERS MAKE INFORMED CHOICES, BALANCING TRADITION WITH PRACTICALITY TO PRODUCE CLEAR, ENGAGING, AND EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION.

IN CONCLUSION, WHETHER YOU CHOOSE TO SPLIT AN INFINITIVE OR REPHRASE YOUR SENTENCE, THE KEY IS TO PRIORITIZE CLARITY, EMPHASIS, AND NATURAL FLOW. THE EVOLVING PERSPECTIVE ON THIS RULE DEMONSTRATES THAT LANGUAGE IS A LIVING, ADAPTABLE SYSTEM THAT SERVES ITS PRIMARY PURPOSE: EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION.

Question 2what Is The Rule About Splitting Infinitives How Would You Rewrite The Quote Above Based On

Question 2what Is The Rule About Splitting Infinitives How Would You Rewrite The Quote Above Based On

Related Articles

- [Psychodynamic Psychologists Believe That Behavior Is Aimed At Satisfying Conscious Desires And Wishes.](#)
- [Put The Steps For Importing Text Into A Dtp Program In Order As Described In This Lesson. Click On The](#)
- [Prepare Journal Entries To Record Each Of The Following Sales Transactions Of A Merchandising Company.](#)

[Back to Home](#)