

The Global-minimum Variance Portfolio Of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities Has A Standard

The Global-minimum Variance Portfolio Of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities Has A Standard is a fundamental concept in modern portfolio theory, offering insights into how investors can optimize risk and return when dealing with assets that move in perfect opposition. This unique scenario presents an intriguing case where the traditional assumptions of diversification and risk mitigation are pushed to their limits, revealing elegant mathematical properties and practical strategies for constructing portfolios with minimal variance. Understanding this concept is crucial for financial analysts, portfolio managers, and individual investors seeking to harness the power of negative correlation to achieve superior risk-adjusted returns.

Understanding the Global-minimum Variance Portfolio (GMVP)

What Is the Global-minimum Variance Portfolio?

The Global-minimum Variance Portfolio (GMVP) is the portfolio that offers the lowest possible variance (or standard deviation) among all possible combinations of a set of assets. It is a cornerstone of mean-variance optimization, a framework introduced by Harry Markowitz in the 1950s, which aims to balance risk and return efficiently.

Key points about the GMVP include:

- It minimizes the overall portfolio risk given the assets' expected returns and covariances.
- It does not necessarily maximize returns; its primary focus is risk reduction.
- The composition of the GMVP depends on the covariance matrix of asset returns, emphasizing the importance of asset correlations.

Role of Asset Correlation in Portfolio Optimization

Correlation measures the degree to which two assets move in relation to each other:

- Perfect positive correlation (+1): assets move exactly together.
- No correlation (0): assets move independently.
- Perfect negative correlation (-1): assets move in exactly opposite directions.

The correlation significantly influences the potential for risk reduction:

- Assets with low or negative correlation can diversify risk.
- Perfect negative correlation enables the possibility of complete risk hedging.

Perfect Negative Correlation and Its Impact on Portfolio Variance

The Unique Case of Perfect Negative Correlation

When two securities are perfectly negatively correlated (correlation coefficient = -1), their returns move in perfect opposition:

- When one security's return increases, the other's decreases proportionally.
- This relationship creates an ideal scenario for risk mitigation.

Mathematically, if two securities, A and B, have:

- Expected returns: (μ_A, μ_B)
- Variances: (σ_A^2, σ_B^2)
- Covariance: $(\text{Cov}(A,B) = \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B)$

and $(\rho_{AB} = -1)$, then:

$$(\text{Cov}(A,B) = -\sigma_A \sigma_B)$$

Implications for Portfolio Variance

The variance of a two-asset portfolio with weights (w_A) and $(w_B = 1 - w_A)$ is:

$$(\sigma_p^2 = w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2 w_A (1 - w_A) \text{Cov}(A,B))$$

Substituting the covariance:

$$(\sigma_p^2 = w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2 \sigma_B^2 - 2 w_A (1 - w_A) \sigma_A \sigma_B)$$

This quadratic function in (w_A) can be minimized to find the portfolio weights that yield the minimum variance.

Deriving the Global-minimum Variance Portfolio for Perfectly Negatively Correlated Assets

Optimal Weights Calculation

Given the covariance structure, the optimal weight (w_A^*) in asset A that minimizes variance is derived by setting the first derivative of (σ_p^2) with respect to (w_A) to zero:

$$(\frac{d \sigma_p^2}{d w_A} = 2 w_A \sigma_A^2 + 2 (w_A - 1) \sigma_B^2 - 2 (1 - 2 w_A) \sigma_A \sigma_B)$$

$$\sigma_B = 0$$

\]

Simplifying:

\[

$$2 w_A \sigma_A^2 + 2 w_A \sigma_B^2 - 2 \sigma_B^2 - 2 \sigma_A \sigma_B + 4 w_A \sigma_A \sigma_B = 0$$

\]

Further simplifying:

\[

$$w_A (2 \sigma_A^2 + 2 \sigma_B^2 + 4 \sigma_A \sigma_B) = 2 \sigma_B^2 + 2 \sigma_A \sigma_B$$

\]

Dividing both sides:

\[

$$w_A = \frac{\sigma_B^2 + \sigma_A \sigma_B}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + 2 \sigma_A \sigma_B}$$

\]

In the special case where $(\sigma_A = \sigma_B = \sigma)$:

\[

$$w_A = \frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + 2 \sigma^2} = \frac{2 \sigma^2}{4 \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

\]

Thus, the weights are equal at 50% in the symmetric case.

Resulting Portfolio Variance

Substituting optimal weights back into the variance equation, the minimized variance becomes:

\[

$$\sigma_{p,\min}^2 = (\text{expression depending on } \sigma_A, \sigma_B)$$

\]

But more notably, in the case of perfect negative correlation:

- The combined portfolio can, under certain conditions, achieve zero variance.
- This is because the negative covariance cancels out the individual variances entirely.

The Special Case: Zero Variance in a Portfolio of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities

Achieving Zero Variance

When two securities are perfectly negatively correlated, and their variances satisfy the condition that their weighted combination cancels out the total variance, the portfolio's standard deviation becomes zero:

$$\sigma_{p,\min} = 0$$

This implies:

- The portfolio is riskless, despite individual assets being volatile.
- It is a perfect hedge, with the negative correlation precisely offsetting risk.

Conditions for Zero Variance

To achieve a zero-variance portfolio:

- The assets must be perfectly negatively correlated ($\rho = -1$).
- Their variances must satisfy specific relationships, such as equal variances or proportional relationships.

For example, if $\sigma_A = \sigma_B$, then a 50-50 portfolio yields zero variance:

$$w_A = w_B = 0.5$$

This portfolio effectively acts as a riskless hedge, combining two assets in perfect opposition.

Practical Applications and Limitations

Benefits of Using Perfect Negative Correlation

- Risk elimination: Investors can construct portfolios with minimal or zero risk.
- Hedging strategies: Perfect negative correlation is ideal for hedging against market downturns.
- Enhanced risk-adjusted returns: Achieving the maximum possible return for a given level of risk.

Limitations and Real-World Considerations

Despite its theoretical appeal, perfect negative correlation is rare in practice:

- True perfect negative correlation is extremely rare; most assets exhibit less than perfect correlation.
- Correlations tend to fluctuate over time due to changing market conditions.
- Relying solely on negatively correlated assets can introduce other risks, such as liquidity or credit risk.

Key Points to Remember:

1. The global-minimum variance portfolio of two perfectly negatively correlated securities can, under ideal conditions, have zero standard deviation.
2. Achieving zero variance requires precise relationships between asset variances and perfect negative correlation.
3. Such portfolios serve as powerful theoretical models and practical hedging strategies but face real-world implementation challenges.

Conclusion

The concept of the global-minimum variance portfolio of two perfectly negatively correlated securities highlights the profound impact of correlation in portfolio theory. When assets move in perfect opposition, risk can be effectively eliminated through optimal weighting, resulting in a riskless portfolio. This theoretical insight underscores the importance of diversification and correlation analysis in investment management. While perfect negative correlation is rare in practice, understanding its properties enables investors to better design hedging strategies and optimize risk-adjusted returns. Ultimately, mastery of these principles empowers financial professionals to craft resilient portfolios capable of weathering market volatility with enhanced confidence.

Keywords for SEO optimization:

- Global-minimum variance portfolio
- Perfect negative correlation
- Risk reduction
- Portfolio optimization
- Diversification strategies
- Riskless portfolio
- Hedging assets
- Covariance and correlation
- Investment risk management
- Portfolio theory

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the standard deviation of the global-minimum variance portfolio when two securities are perfectly negatively correlated?

When two securities are perfectly negatively correlated, the standard deviation of the global-minimum variance portfolio can be minimized to zero, indicating a riskless combined portfolio.

How does perfect negative correlation between two securities affect the construction of the minimum variance portfolio?

Perfect negative correlation allows for the potential to eliminate portfolio risk entirely by combining the two securities in proportions that offset each other's fluctuations.

Can the global-minimum variance portfolio of two perfectly negatively correlated securities have a non-zero standard deviation?

No, if the securities are perfectly negatively correlated, the minimum variance portfolio can theoretically have a standard deviation of zero, implying no risk.

What role does covariance play in determining the standard deviation of the minimum variance portfolio with two securities?

Covariance directly influences the portfolio's variance; in the case of perfect negative correlation, covariance is at its minimum, allowing risk to be fully hedged away.

Is the global-minimum variance portfolio with two perfectly negatively correlated securities always feasible?

Yes, it is feasible and, in fact, can achieve a riskless position by holding appropriate proportions of the two securities.

How does the standard deviation of the minimum variance portfolio change as the correlation between two securities approaches -1?

As the correlation approaches -1, the standard deviation of the minimum variance portfolio decreases, reaching zero when correlation is exactly -1.

What are the practical implications of having a minimum variance portfolio with zero standard deviation?

A zero standard deviation indicates a riskless portfolio, which is theoretically possible with perfect negative correlation but is challenging to realize perfectly in practice.

How does the concept of perfect negative correlation help in diversification strategies?

Perfect negative correlation allows investors to combine assets to entirely offset each other's risks, leading to a riskless or minimal-risk portfolio.

Additional Resources

The Global-Minimum Variance Portfolio of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities Has a Standard: An In-Depth Analysis

Introduction to Portfolio Variance and Correlation

Understanding the behavior of investment portfolios requires a comprehensive grasp of concepts like variance, covariance, and correlation. These statistical measures help investors assess risk and optimize asset allocations.

- Variance: A measure of the dispersion of returns of a single asset or a portfolio around its mean.
- Covariance: Indicates how two assets move together; positive covariance means they tend to move in the same direction, negative covariance indicates opposite movement.
- Correlation coefficient (ρ): Normalized covariance, ranging between -1 and +1, providing a standardized measure of the relationship between two assets:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

where σ_X and σ_Y are the standard deviations of assets X and Y respectively.

Understanding these concepts sets the foundation for analyzing how portfolios behave under different correlation structures.

Fundamentals of the Global-Minimum Variance Portfolio (GMVP)

The Global-Minimum Variance Portfolio is the portfolio with the lowest possible variance (risk) for a given set of assets, without any constraints other than the weights summing to one.

- Mathematically, for two assets, the portfolio variance is:

$$\sigma_p^2 = w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2 w_1 w_2 \text{Cov}(1,2)$$

where:

- (w_1, w_2) are the weights assigned to assets 1 and 2,
- (σ_1^2, σ_2^2) are their variances,
- $(\text{Cov}(1,2))$ is the covariance between the two assets.

- Optimization goal: Minimize (σ_p^2) with respect to (w_1) and (w_2) , subject to $(w_1 + w_2 = 1)$.

- Solution: For two assets, the weights that minimize variance are:

$$w_1^* = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \text{Cov}(1,2)}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2 \text{Cov}(1,2)}$$

$$w_2^* = 1 - w_1^*$$

This optimization ensures the portfolio's risk is minimized given the assets' variances and covariance.

Effect of Perfect Negative Correlation on Portfolio Variance

A perfect negative correlation ($(\rho = -1)$) between two assets is a special and highly significant case.

What Does Perfect Negative Correlation Mean?

- When $(\rho = -1)$, the returns of the two assets move exactly in opposite directions in a perfectly linear manner.
- The covariance in this case is:

$$\text{Cov}(1,2) = -\sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

Implications for Portfolio Variance

- The covariance term becomes:

$$2 w_1 w_2 \text{Cov}(1,2) = -2 w_1 w_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

- When constructing the minimum variance portfolio, the weights can be tuned so that the combined variability cancels out.

Theoretical Outcome

- Complete risk elimination: If the assets have perfectly negative correlation, it is theoretically

possible to create a portfolio with zero variance.

- Mathematically, the variance simplifies to:

$$\sigma_p^2 = w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2 w_1 w_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

- Selecting weights:

$$w_1 = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

$$w_2 = 1 - w_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

- The resulting portfolio variance:

$$\sigma_p^2 = 0$$

indicating riskless diversification.

Practical Perspective

While perfect negative correlation is rare in real markets, the theoretical scenario provides valuable insights into diversification benefits and risk minimization strategies.

Constructing the Minimum Variance Portfolio with Perfect Negative Correlation

Let's delve into the step-by-step process of constructing this ideal portfolio.

Step 1: Determine Asset Parameters

Suppose:

- Asset 1 has standard deviation (σ_1) ,
- Asset 2 has standard deviation (σ_2) ,
- Their correlation coefficient $(\rho = -1)$.

Step 2: Calculate Covariance

$$\sigma_{12} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

$$\text{Cov}(1,2) = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = -\sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

Step 3: Find Optimal Weights

Using the formulas:

$$w_1^* = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \text{Cov}(1,2)}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2 \text{Cov}(1,2)}$$

$$w_2^* = 1 - w_1^*$$

Substituting $\text{Cov}(1,2) = -\sigma_1 \sigma_2$:

$$w_1^* = \frac{\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2}$$

$$w_1^* = \frac{\sigma_2 (\sigma_2 + \sigma_1)}{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}$$

Similarly,

$$w_2^* = \frac{\sigma_1 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)}{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

And

$$w_1^* = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

$$w_2^* = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

Step 4: Achieve Zero Variance

With these weights, the portfolio variance becomes:

$$\sigma_p^2 = w_1^{*2} \sigma_1^2 + w_2^{*2} \sigma_2^2 + 2 w_1^* w_2^* \text{Cov}(1,2)$$

Plugging in the values:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p^2 = & \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right)^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2 \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

Simplify numerator:

$$\sigma_2^2 \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = 0$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_p^2 = 0$$

which verifies the theoretical possibility of constructing a riskless portfolio.

Implications and Practical Considerations

While the theory is elegant, real-world applications face several challenges.

Limitations in Practice

- Perfect negative correlation is rare: Most asset pairs are positively correlated or only negatively correlated to a limited extent.
- Dynamic correlations: Market conditions cause correlation coefficients to fluctuate over time.
- Transaction costs and liquidity: Frequent rebalancing to maintain optimal weights can incur costs.
- Estimation errors: Variance and covariance estimates are subject to statistical uncertainty.

Real-World Significance

Despite limitations, the scenario provides critical insights:

- Diversification: Combining assets with negative correlation reduces overall risk.
- Risk management: Recognizing the potential for near-zero risk portfolios encourages strategic asset pairing.
- Hedging strategies: Using assets with negative correlation (e.g., long-short strategies) to hedge against market risks.

Broader Theoretical and Academic Insights

This case serves as a cornerstone in modern portfolio theory:

- Markowitz Efficient Frontier: The point of zero variance in the two-asset case with perfect negative correlation is the ultimate riskless portfolio.
- Sharpe Ratio implications

[The Global Minimum Variance Portfolio Of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities Has A Standard](#)

The Global Minimum Variance Portfolio Of Two Perfectly Negatively Correlated Securities Has A Standard

Related Articles

- [Suppose You Are The Campaign Manager For One Of The Presidential Candidates In 1800. Create A Campaign](#)
- [Spring Appliances Received An Invoice Dated June 13 With Terms 4/10 E.O.M. For The Items Listed Below.](#)
- [Sigma Company Has The Following Capital Structure: 30% Debt, 15% Preferred Stock And 55% Common Stock.](#)

[Back to Home](#)