

Three Of The Following Resulted From Andrew Jackson's Use Of The Spoils System. Which Is The EXCEPTION?

Three Of The Following Resulted From Andrew Jackson's Use Of The Spoils System. Which Is The EXCEPTION?

The Spoils System, introduced and vigorously implemented by President Andrew Jackson in the 1820s, marked a significant shift in American political practices. It was characterized by the practice of rewarding political supporters with government jobs, rather than filling positions based solely on merit or expertise. While the Spoils System aimed to democratize government and ensure loyalty to the political party in power, it also led to widespread patronage, corruption, and inefficiency in the federal bureaucracy. As a result, Jackson's use of the Spoils System produced various consequences that shaped the political landscape of the United States for decades.

In this discussion, we will explore three specific outcomes that resulted from Andrew Jackson's implementation of the Spoils System, and identify which of these is the exception—an outcome that was not a direct result of Jackson's patronage practices. Understanding these effects provides insight into the broader implications of Jacksonian politics and the evolution of American governance.

Major Outcomes of Andrew Jackson's Use of the Spoils System

The Spoils System had profound effects on the structure and operation of the federal government during Jackson's presidency and beyond. The following are three notable results that emerged from Jackson's patronage approach:

1. Increased Political Loyalty and Party Cohesion

One of the primary goals of Jackson's Spoils System was to strengthen political loyalty among government officials and promote party unity. By rewarding supporters with government jobs, Jackson sought to create a government that was more responsive to the will of the people and aligned with his political ideals.

- **Mobilization of Supporters:** Jackson's practice incentivized political support, encouraging supporters to actively participate in campaigns and party activities.
- **Party Loyalty:** Officials appointed through the Spoils System were expected to demonstrate allegiance to Jackson and his Democratic Party, fostering a cohesive political environment.

- **Expansion of Political Participation:** The system allowed more ordinary citizens to attain government positions, thereby broadening political engagement.

This outcome contributed to the democratization of American politics, making government more accessible to a broader segment of society. However, it also meant that many officials lacked experience or qualifications, which could compromise administrative effectiveness.

2. Growth of Patronage and Political Corruption

While the Spoils System aimed to democratize government, it also led to significant issues related to patronage and corruption. The practice of filling government posts with loyal supporters often prioritized political loyalty over competence.

- **Widespread Patronage:** Jackson's administration replaced many qualified civil servants with party loyalists, leading to a rise in patronage appointments.
- **Corruption and Nepotism:** The influx of unqualified appointees sometimes resulted in corrupt practices, favoritism, and misuse of government resources.
- **Decline in Civil Service Merit:** The emphasis on loyalty over merit contributed to inefficiency and ineffectiveness within government agencies.

This environment of patronage fueled perceptions of corruption and incompetence, prompting calls for reform and the eventual development of a merit-based civil service system in later decades.

3. Political Instability and Changes in Federal Appointments

Andrew Jackson's use of the Spoils System also contributed to increased political instability in federal appointments. Since positions were often awarded based on political support rather than expertise, changes in administration could lead to wholesale replacements of government officials.

- **Frequent Turnover:** New presidents often replaced large numbers of civil servants upon taking office, leading to a high turnover rate.
- **Policy Discontinuity:** The frequent changes in personnel sometimes resulted in inconsistent policy implementation and administrative disruptions.
- **Partisan Politics in Federal Office:** Appointments became highly politicized, with officials serving primarily to support the current

administration's agenda.

This dynamic heightened political tensions and reduced the professionalism of the federal workforce, impacting the efficiency and stability of government operations.

The Exception: What Did Not Result from Jackson's Spoils System?

Having discussed three significant outcomes—enhanced political loyalty, patronage and corruption, and political instability—it is essential to identify which of the following did not result from Jackson's use of the Spoils System:

- Imposition of a merit-based civil service system
- Increased political participation among ordinary citizens
- Growth of patronage and political corruption
- Frequent turnover of government officials with each administration

The correct answer, or the exception, is:

Imposition of a merit-based civil service system

Why is this the exception?

The merit-based civil service system was not a result of Jackson's Spoils System. In fact, Jackson's approach was quite the opposite. The Spoils System prioritized loyalty and political support over qualifications, which often led to unqualified appointments and inefficiency. It was only later, in response to the widespread corruption and inefficiency associated with patronage, that reform efforts emerged to establish a merit-based civil service. The Pendleton Civil Service Reform Act of 1883, for instance, marked a significant departure from Jacksonian practices, creating a professional civil service that prioritized competence over political loyalty.

Summary and Conclusion

Andrew Jackson's implementation of the Spoils System fundamentally altered the political and administrative landscape of the United States. It fostered increased political loyalty and participation, but also engendered patronage,

corruption, and instability within the federal government. These outcomes reflected Jackson's vision of a more democratic and responsive government, though they also revealed the drawbacks of a patronage-based system.

The exception to the outcomes discussed is the development of a merit-based civil service system, which was not a consequence of Jackson's Spoils System but rather a response to its excesses. Over time, reformers recognized the need for a more professional and impartial bureaucracy, leading to reforms that sought to reduce partisan influence and improve governmental efficiency.

Understanding these effects provides valuable insight into the evolution of American political practices and highlights the ongoing balance between political loyalty and administrative competence in government. Jackson's legacy in this regard is complex—marked by efforts to democratize politics but also by the challenges of patronage and corruption that necessitated future reforms.

Meta Description: Discover the key outcomes of Andrew Jackson's use of the Spoils System and learn which result was NOT a consequence of his patronage practices. Analyzing political loyalty, corruption, and civil service reforms.

Frequently Asked Questions

Which of the following was a result of Andrew Jackson's use of the spoils system?

Increased political loyalty among government officials.

How did Jackson's spoils system impact government staffing?

It led to the replacement of many existing officials with Jackson's supporters.

What was one consequence of Jackson's spoils system on government efficiency?

It often resulted in unqualified or less experienced individuals holding office.

Which of the following was a criticism of Jackson's use of the spoils system?

It fostered corruption and favoritism in government appointments.

Which of the following was NOT a result of Jackson's spoils system?

Establishment of a professional, non-partisan civil service.

How did the spoils system affect political parties during Jackson's presidency?

It strengthened party loyalty and helped consolidate party power.

Which of the following was a direct outcome of Jackson's spoils system?

The widespread rotation of government officials.

What was a long-term effect of Jackson's implementation of the spoils system?

It contributed to calls for civil service reform in later years.

Which of the following is an exception to the typical results of Jackson's spoils system?

The development of a merit-based civil service.

Which of the following was a result of Jackson's use of the spoils system that is considered an exception?

It promoted political stability and continuity in government.

Additional Resources

Andrew Jackson's implementation of the spoils system marked a pivotal moment in American political history, reflecting both the populist ideals he championed and the controversial practices that would shape government staffing for decades. This system, which rewarded political supporters with government jobs, was intended to democratize and decentralize the federal bureaucracy but also raised significant concerns about corruption, incompetence, and the erosion of merit-based appointment standards. As we explore the consequences of Jackson's use of the spoils system, it is essential to distinguish which outcomes were direct results and which—if any—were exceptions to the general pattern. This article provides a comprehensive analysis of three key effects of Jackson's spoils system, highlighting the nuances and complexities of its legacy.

Understanding the Spoils System in the Jackson Era

Before delving into its consequences, it is crucial to understand the context and mechanics of the spoils system under Andrew Jackson. Rooted in the idea that political supporters deserved rewards, the spoils system involved replacing previous administration appointees with loyal followers, often regardless of their qualifications. Jackson believed this would promote democratic participation, prevent entrenched elites from monopolizing government jobs, and foster a government more responsive to the will of the common man.

By adopting and expanding this practice, Jackson transformed the federal bureaucracy, making it more partisan and patronage-driven. While supporters argued this democratized government, critics warned it led to widespread corruption and inefficiency. The consequences of this policy are multifaceted, and their analysis reveals a mix of intended outcomes and unintended side effects.

Result 1: Expansion of Political Patronage and Partisanship

How Jackson's Spoils System Fostered Political Loyalty

One of the most immediate and visible results of Jackson's use of the spoils system was the dramatic expansion of political patronage. Jackson believed that government officials should be loyal to the President's political vision, and thus, rewarding supporters with government jobs became a central strategy to secure political loyalty.

This led to a significant increase in the number and diversity of government employees who owed their positions to political favor rather than merit. The practice reinforced party loyalty and helped Jackson and his successors build a more disciplined and unified political machine.

Consequences of Increased Partisanship

The influx of loyal supporters into government roles intensified partisan politics within the federal bureaucracy. Political opponents often faced dismissals or marginalization, leading to a government that was more responsive to the current administration's agenda but less insulated from political fluctuations.

This environment had both positive and negative implications:

- Positive: It encouraged political engagement among supporters, fostering a sense of participation and reward for loyalty.
- Negative: It contributed to a highly politicized government that prioritized party loyalty over competence, often resulting in inefficiency and mismanagement.

Implications for Governance

The emphasis on patronage under Jackson's system set a precedent for future administrations, embedding a culture of political appointment that persisted well into the 19th and early 20th centuries. While it democratized access to government jobs in theory, in practice, it often led to qualified candidates being overlooked in favor of political allies, complicating efforts to maintain an effective and professional civil service.

Result 2: Erosion of Merit-Based Appointments

and Civil Service Reform

Impact on Qualifications and Competence

A direct consequence of the spoils system was the decline of merit-based appointments. Jackson's approach prioritized loyalty and political support over expertise or professional qualifications. As a result, many government positions—especially at lower levels—were filled by individuals lacking the necessary skills or experience.

This practice frequently resulted in inefficiency, errors, and mismanagement within government agencies. Public administration suffered as a consequence of unqualified personnel handling complex tasks, such as treasury management, law enforcement, and diplomatic relations.

Calls for Civil Service Reform

The widespread dissatisfaction with patronage-driven appointments eventually sparked reform movements. The most notable of these was the Pendleton Civil Service Reform Act of 1883, which sought to establish a merit-based civil service and curb the rampant patronage practices that Jackson's era had institutionalized.

While Jackson himself did not implement civil service reform, his expansion of the spoils system highlighted the need for a professionalized and depoliticized bureaucracy. The reforms that followed aimed to balance political loyalty with competence, reducing the influence of partisan favoritism.

Long-Term Impacts on Government Effectiveness

The shift toward meritocracy in civil service was a gradual process, but Jackson's spoils system made clear the risks of unqualified appointments. Over time, the federal government's efficiency and professionalism improved as reforms took hold, but the legacy of patronage persisted well into the 20th century, often complicating efforts to reform government institutions.

Result 3: Political Instability and Corruption

Corruption and Abuse of Power

The patronage system under Jackson often enabled corrupt practices. Supporters occupying government positions could influence decisions to favor their allies or personal interests, leading to favoritism, kickbacks, and other forms of corruption.

Instances of corruption were documented, and critics argued that the spoils system eroded public trust in government institutions. The close ties between political supporters and officeholders created opportunities for abuse and favoritism, undermining the integrity of federal administration.

Political Instability and Changing Administrations

The frequent turnover of government officials due to the spoils system also contributed to political instability. Each new administration could overhaul personnel, disrupting continuity and institutional memory. This constant reshuffling made it difficult to establish long-term policies and undermined stability within government agencies.

Moreover, the practice fostered a climate where political conflicts and personal loyalties overshadowed administrative competence. This environment could lead to inefficiency, delays, and conflicts that hindered effective governance.

Reforms and the End of the Spoils System

Recognition of these issues eventually led to efforts to curb the spoils system. The Pendleton Act and subsequent civil service laws aimed to professionalize government appointments, reducing corruption and promoting stability. While Jackson's era marked the height of patronage, the long-term trend has been toward more objective, merit-based hiring practices.

EXCEPTION: Which of the following did NOT result from Andrew Jackson's use of the Spoils System?

- Increased political patronage and partisanship
- Erosion of merit-based appointments
- Political instability and corruption
- Implementation of comprehensive civil service reforms

Answer: Implementation of comprehensive civil service reforms

Explanation:

While Jackson's spoils system led to increased patronage, erosion of meritocracy, and political instability with corruption, it did not directly result in the implementation of civil service reforms. In fact, civil service reforms such as the Pendleton Act came after Jackson's presidency, as a response to the abuses and inefficiencies caused by the patronage system. Jackson's administration was characterized by expansion of the spoils system rather than its reform; reforms aimed at civil service professionalism occurred later, during subsequent administrations.

In conclusion, Andrew Jackson's use of the spoils system was transformative, shaping the American political landscape in profound ways. It expanded political patronage and partisanship, contributed to the decline of merit-based appointments, and fostered a climate prone to corruption and instability. However, it did not lead to immediate civil service reforms; rather, it underscored the need for such reforms, which would be implemented in later years to professionalize and depoliticize the federal bureaucracy. Understanding these dynamics offers valuable insights into the evolution of American political institutions and the ongoing debate between political loyalty and administrative competence.

Three Of The Following Resulted From Andrew Jackson S Use Of The Spoils System Which Is The Exception

Three Of The Following Resulted From Andrew Jackson S Use Of The Spoils System Which Is The Exception

Related Articles

- [Thomas Saved \\$70 At The End Of Every Month For 3 Years In His Bank Account That Earned 4.40% Compounded](#)
- [Sunnis Wanted Their Own Take On Tradition, ____ Disagreed, That Meant Opposition. Muslim Empire Expanded](#)
- [The Gravitational Strength At The Poles Is Greater Than The Gravitational Strength At The Equator. What](#)

[Back to Home](#)