

UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE? CHECK ALL

UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE? CHECK ALL

THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, RATIFIED IN 1781, SERVED AS THE FIRST CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES. WHILE IT ESTABLISHED A FRAMEWORK FOR THE NEWLY INDEPENDENT STATES TO COOPERATE, IT ALSO REVEALED SIGNIFICANT WEAKNESSES—PARTICULARLY IN THE REALM OF FISCAL POLICY. ONE OF THE MOST CRITICAL ISSUES WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT'S INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE EFFECTIVELY. THIS LIMITATION HAD FAR-REACHING CONSEQUENCES, AFFECTING THE GOVERNMENT'S CAPACITY TO PAY DEBTS, FUND DEFENSE, AND SUSTAIN NATIONAL INTERESTS. IN THIS ARTICLE, WE WILL EXPLORE THE KEY REASONS WHY THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION WAS UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE, EXAMINING THE STRUCTURAL, LEGAL, AND POLITICAL FACTORS INVOLVED. CHECK ALL THE MAIN POINTS TO UNDERSTAND THIS FUNDAMENTAL WEAKNESS.

STRUCTURAL LIMITATIONS OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION

LACK OF POWER TO LEVY TAXES

THE MOST FUNDAMENTAL REASON THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT COULD NOT RAISE REVENUE WAS ITS LACK OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAXES. UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION:

- THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAD NO INDEPENDENT POWER TO LEVY TAXES ON INDIVIDUALS OR STATES.
- INSTEAD, IT DEPENDED ENTIRELY ON VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE STATES.
- THERE WAS NO CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE COMPELLING STATES TO FUND THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT.

THIS MEANT THAT WITHOUT A DIRECT TAXATION POWER, THE GOVERNMENT HAD NO RELIABLE SOURCE OF INCOME, MAKING IT DIFFICULT TO MEET FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS SUCH AS PAYING SOLDIERS, FOREIGN DEBTS, OR OPERATIONAL EXPENSES.

DEPENDENCE ON STATE CONTRIBUTIONS

THE ARTICLES CREATED A DECENTRALIZED SYSTEM WHERE:

- STATES RETAINED PRIMARY CONTROL OVER THEIR OWN FINANCES.
- THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COULD REQUEST FUNDS, BUT HAD NO ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE.
- STATES OFTEN FAILED OR DELAYED CONTRIBUTIONS, LEADING TO CHRONIC FUNDING SHORTAGES.

THIS VOLUNTARY FUNDING MODEL LACKED THE ENFORCEABILITY NECESSARY FOR CONSISTENT REVENUE COLLECTION.

LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL CONSTRAINTS

NO POWER TO REGULATE COMMERCE

COMMERCE AND TRADE ARE SIGNIFICANT SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR MODERN GOVERNMENTS. UNDER THE ARTICLES:

- THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LACKED AUTHORITY TO REGULATE INTERSTATE OR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE.
- IT COULD NOT IMPOSE TARIFFS OR DUTIES TO GENERATE INCOME.
- STATES INDEPENDENTLY SET TARIFFS, OFTEN COMPETING OR IMPOSING CONFLICTING TAXES.

THIS FRAGMENTED APPROACH LIMITED REVENUE FLOW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND DISCOURAGED COHERENT ECONOMIC POLICY.

LIMITED AUTHORITY OVER CURRENCY AND TARIFFS

THE ARTICLES DID NOT GRANT THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT CONTROL OVER:

- THE ISSUANCE OF CURRENCY BEYOND A FEW SPECIFIC CASES.
- IMPOSING TARIFFS OR DUTIES THAT COULD GENERATE REVENUE.

CONSEQUENTLY, THE GOVERNMENT COULD NOT LEVERAGE TRADE POLICIES TO BOLSTER ITS FINANCES, FURTHER CONSTRAINING ITS REVENUE-RAISING CAPACITY.

POLITICAL AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES

STATES' SOVEREIGNTY AND RESISTANCE

BECAUSE THE ARTICLES PRIORITIZED STATE SOVEREIGNTY:

- STATES VIEWED FEDERAL TAXATION AS AN ENCROACHMENT ON THEIR INDEPENDENCE.
- MANY WERE RELUCTANT TO FUND A DISTANT CENTRAL AUTHORITY.
- STATES OFTEN REFUSED TO CONTRIBUTE FUNDS OR DID SO INCONSISTENTLY.

THIS POLITICAL RESISTANCE SEVERELY HAMPERED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO ACCESS REVENUE SOURCES.

INABILITY TO BORROW EFFECTIVELY

WHILE THE GOVERNMENT ATTEMPTED TO RAISE FUNDS THROUGH BORROWING:

- THE LACK OF A RELIABLE REVENUE STREAM UNDERMINED CONFIDENCE AMONG LENDERS.
- STATES WERE OFTEN UNWILLING TO GUARANTEE FEDERAL DEBTS.
- THIS MADE BORROWING EXPENSIVE OR IMPOSSIBLE, LIMITING THE GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL FLEXIBILITY.

WITHOUT CREDIBLE MEANS TO GENERATE REVENUE OR SECURE LOANS, THE GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL POSITION REMAINED WEAK.

ECONOMIC INSTABILITY AND ITS IMPACT ON REVENUE

POST-REVOLUTION ECONOMIC CHALLENGES

THE ECONOMIC LANDSCAPE AFTER THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION WAS TURBULENT:

- STATES AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FACED LARGE WAR DEBTS.
- INFLATION AND LACK OF A STABLE NATIONAL CURRENCY HINDERED ECONOMIC GROWTH.
- UNSTABLE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS DISCOURAGED INVESTMENT AND TRADE, REDUCING POTENTIAL REVENUE SOURCES.

THIS ECONOMIC INSTABILITY MADE IT EVEN MORE DIFFICULT FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO RAISE FUNDS THROUGH TRADITIONAL MEANS.

FRAGMENTED ECONOMIC POLICIES

DUE TO THE LACK OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY:

- STATES PURSUED CONFLICTING ECONOMIC POLICIES AND TARIFFS.
- THIS FRAGMENTATION LIMITED THE OVERALL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT NECESSARY FOR TAXATION AND REVENUE GENERATION.

THE RESULT WAS A WEAK FISCAL FOUNDATION FOR THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE

INABILITY TO PAY DEBTS AND MAINTAIN DEFENSE

THE GOVERNMENT'S REVENUE SHORTFALLS LED TO:

- FAILURE TO PAY FOREIGN DEBTS, DAMAGING INTERNATIONAL CREDIBILITY.
- INABILITY TO FUND THE CONTINENTAL ARMY OR MAINTAIN NATIONAL DEFENSE.
- ECONOMIC INSTABILITY THAT THREATENED THE STABILITY OF THE YOUNG NATION.

CALLS FOR CONSTITUTIONAL REFORM

THE FISCAL WEAKNESSES UNDER THE ARTICLES PROMPTED CALLS FOR CHANGE:

- LEADERS RECOGNIZED THE NEED FOR A GOVERNMENT WITH TAXING POWERS.
- THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION OF 1787 WAS PARTLY DRIVEN BY THE DESIRE TO CREATE A MORE EFFECTIVE REVENUE SYSTEM.

CONCLUSION: THE NEED FOR A STRONGER FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION'S INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE WAS ROOTED IN ITS STRUCTURAL DESIGN, LEGAL LIMITATIONS, AND POLITICAL REALITIES. WITHOUT THE POWER TO TAX, REGULATE COMMERCE, OR ENFORCE CONTRIBUTIONS, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS FINANCIALLY WEAK AND UNABLE TO SUPPORT THE NATION'S NEEDS. THIS FUNDAMENTAL FLAW LED TO ECONOMIC INSTABILITY, WEAKENED INTERNATIONAL STANDING, AND ULTIMATELY, THE PUSH FOR A NEW CONSTITUTION THAT GRANTED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THE AUTHORITY TO RAISE REVENUE EFFECTIVELY. THE SUBSEQUENT ADOPTION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ADDRESSED THESE ISSUES BY ESTABLISHING A GOVERNMENT WITH ROBUST FISCAL POWERS, ENSURING THAT THE NATION COULD FUND ITS OPERATIONS, PAY DEBTS, AND PROMOTE ECONOMIC GROWTH—AN ESSENTIAL STEP IN CREATING A SUSTAINABLE AND UNITED UNITED STATES.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE?

BECAUSE THE ARTICLES DID NOT GRANT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THE POWER TO TAX DIRECTLY, RELYING INSTEAD ON VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS FROM STATES, WHICH WERE OFTEN INSUFFICIENT.

DID THE LACK OF POWER TO LEVY TAXES UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION LIMIT THE GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO FUND NATIONAL INITIATIVES?

YES, WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAXES, THE GOVERNMENT STRUGGLED TO GENERATE REVENUE NECESSARY FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE, DEBT REPAYMENT, AND OTHER ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS.

HOW DID THE REQUIREMENT FOR STATES TO VOLUNTARILY CONTRIBUTE FUNDS AFFECT THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT'S REVENUE UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION?

IT LED TO INCONSISTENT AND OFTEN INADEQUATE FUNDING, AS STATES COULD CHOOSE WHETHER OR NOT TO CONTRIBUTE, WEAKENING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL STABILITY.

WAS THE INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE A MAJOR WEAKNESS OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION?

YES, IT WAS ONE OF THE KEY WEAKNESSES THAT HINDERED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S EFFECTIVENESS AND CONTRIBUTED TO CALLS FOR A STRONGER CONSTITUTION.

DID THE LACK OF TAXATION POWER UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION CAUSE FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES FOR THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT?

YES, IT CAUSED SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES, MAKING IT HARD TO PAY DEBTS, FUND MILITARY OPERATIONS, OR SUPPORT NATIONAL PROGRAMS.

WHY DID THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION RESTRICT THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT'S POWER TO RAISE REVENUE?

BECAUSE THE FOUNDERS WANTED TO PRESERVE STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND AVOID CREATING A CENTRAL AUTHORITY THAT COULD IMPOSE TAXES WITHOUT CONSENT.

HOW DID THE INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION INFLUENCE THE MOVE TOWARDS THE U.S. CONSTITUTION?

IT HIGHLIGHTED THE NEED FOR A STRONGER FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH TAXATION POWERS, LEADING TO THE DRAFTING AND RATIFICATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION TO ADDRESS THESE LIMITATIONS.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE? CHECK ALL

THE QUESTION "UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE?" REMAINS A FUNDAMENTAL POINT OF DISCUSSION FOR ANYONE EXPLORING EARLY U.S. HISTORY AND THE EVOLUTION OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE. THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, RATIFIED IN 1781, SERVED AS THE INITIAL CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, ESTABLISHING A LOOSE UNION OF STATES WITH A VERY LIMITED CENTRAL AUTHORITY. WHILE THIS STRUCTURE AIMED TO PRESERVE STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND PREVENT TYRANNY, IT ALSO CREATED SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES—PARTICULARLY IN GENERATING THE REVENUE NEEDED TO FUND THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT'S OPERATIONS, MILITARY DEFENSES, AND DEBT OBLIGATIONS. UNDERSTANDING THE REASONS BEHIND THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT'S INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE UNDER THE ARTICLES PROVIDES CRITICAL INSIGHT INTO THE WEAKNESSES THAT EVENTUALLY LED TO THE DRAFTING OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

THE FRAMEWORK OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION: A PRIMER

BEFORE DELVING INTO WHY THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT STRUGGLED TO RAISE REVENUE, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO UNDERSTAND THE CORE PRINCIPLES AND STRUCTURE OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION.

KEY FEATURES:

- WEAK CENTRAL AUTHORITY: THE ARTICLES ESTABLISHED A CONGRESS THAT LACKED EXECUTIVE AND JUDICIAL BRANCHES.
- STATE SOVEREIGNTY: STATES RETAINED MOST POWERS, INCLUDING TAXATION AND TRADE REGULATION.
- UNANIMOUS AMENDMENTS: CHANGES REQUIRED THE APPROVAL OF ALL 13 STATES.
- LIMITED POWERS OF CONGRESS: CONGRESS COULD REQUEST FUNDS, BUT HAD NO POWER TO IMPOSE TAXES OR DIRECTLY ENFORCE REVENUE COLLECTION.

THIS DECENTRALIZED FRAMEWORK WAS DESIGNED TO PROTECT STATES' RIGHTS BUT INADVERTENTLY HAMPERED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO GENERATE INCOME.

WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE? CHECK ALL

THE INABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION STEMMED FROM MULTIPLE INTERCONNECTED FACTORS. HERE, WE EXPLORE THE MAJOR REASONS:

1. LACK OF POWER TO TAX

PRIMARY LIMITATION: THE MOST SIGNIFICANT OBSTACLE WAS THAT CONGRESS HAD NO CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO LEVY TAXES DIRECTLY ON INDIVIDUALS OR STATES.

- VOLUNTARY FUNDING MODEL: CONGRESS COULD REQUEST FUNDS FROM STATES, BUT IT COULD NOT COMPEL THEM TO CONTRIBUTE.
- DEPENDENCE ON STATE CONTRIBUTIONS: REVENUE WAS ENTIRELY DEPENDENT ON VOLUNTARY STATE PAYMENTS, WHICH WERE OFTEN INCONSISTENT OR INSUFFICIENT.
- NO ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM: WITHOUT POWER TO ENFORCE TAXATION, STATES COULD REFUSE OR DELAY PAYMENTS WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE.

IMPACT: THIS STRUCTURAL WEAKNESS MEANT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LACKED A RELIABLE SOURCE OF INCOME, CRIPPLING ITS CAPACITY TO PAY DEBTS OR FUND ESSENTIAL OPERATIONS.

2. RELIANCE ON VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS

SINCE CONGRESS COULD ONLY REQUEST FUNDS, THE FINANCIAL SUPPORT FROM STATES WAS UNPREDICTABLE AND OFTEN INADEQUATE.

- STATES' PRIORITIES: MANY STATES PRIORITIZED THEIR OWN INTERESTS OVER NATIONAL NEEDS, LEADING TO RELUCTANCE IN FUNDING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
- ECONOMIC CONSTRAINTS: SOME STATES FACED ECONOMIC DIFFICULTIES POST-REVOLUTION, FURTHER DIMINISHING THEIR CAPACITY OR WILLINGNESS TO CONTRIBUTE.
- NO PENALTIES FOR NON-PAYMENT: STATES FACED NO SANCTIONS FOR WITHHOLDING FUNDS, REDUCING THE INCENTIVE TO COOPERATE.

RESULT: THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FREQUENTLY OPERATED WITHOUT SUFFICIENT FUNDS, LEADING TO UNPAID DEBTS AND A WEAKENED NATIONAL ECONOMY.

3. NO POWER TO REGULATE COMMERCE OR IMPOSE TARIFFS

THE ARTICLES DID NOT GRANT CONGRESS THE AUTHORITY TO REGULATE INTERSTATE OR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE OR IMPOSE TARIFFS.

- LIMITED REVENUE STREAMS: WITHOUT THE ABILITY TO COLLECT TARIFFS OR CUSTOMS DUTIES, THE GOVERNMENT HAD FEWER MEANS TO GENERATE REVENUE.
- ECONOMIC FRAGMENTATION: STATES OFTEN IMPLEMENTED THEIR OWN TRADE POLICIES, FURTHER COMPLICATING NATIONAL ECONOMIC EFFORTS AND REVENUE COLLECTION.

CONSEQUENCE: THE INABILITY TO LEVERAGE TRADE AS A REVENUE SOURCE HAMPERED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL CAPACITY.

4. DEBT FROM THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR

THE UNITED STATES FACED SUBSTANTIAL DEBT ACCUMULATED DURING THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR.

- INABILITY TO COLLECT WAR DEBTS: THE GOVERNMENT'S LACK OF TAXING POWER MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE TO FUND WAR DEBTS EFFECTIVELY.
- RELIANCE ON STATE CONTRIBUTIONS: STATES PROMISED TO HONOR WAR DEBTS BUT OFTEN FAILED TO DO SO CONSISTENTLY.
- DEFAULT AND FINANCIAL INSTABILITY: PERSISTENT INABILITY TO PAY DEBTS LED TO A LACK OF CONFIDENCE AMONG CREDITORS AND ECONOMIC INSTABILITY.

EFFECT: THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT WAS FINANCIALLY WEAK AND UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE TO MEET ITS OBLIGATIONS.

5. WEAK ENFORCEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

THE ARTICLES DID NOT ESTABLISH A ROBUST ADMINISTRATIVE APPARATUS TO COLLECT TAXES OR MANAGE FINANCES.

- NO EXECUTIVE BRANCH: WITHOUT AN EXECUTIVE TO OVERSEE TAX COLLECTION, ENFORCEMENT WAS WEAK.
- LIMITED OVERSIGHT: CONGRESS LACKED THE AUTHORITY OR RESOURCES TO ENFORCE COMPLIANCE AMONG STATES OR INDIVIDUALS.
- FRAGMENTED FISCAL POLICY: THE ABSENCE OF A CENTRALIZED FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MEANT REVENUE COLLECTION

WAS INEFFICIENT AND UNRELIABLE.

OUTCOME: EVEN WHEN REQUESTS FOR FUNDS WERE MADE, PRACTICAL ENFORCEMENT ISSUES PREVENTED EFFECTIVE REVENUE COLLECTION.

6. STATES’ RESISTANCE AND SOVEREIGNTY CONCERNS

STATES VIEWED CENTRALIZED TAXATION AS A THREAT TO THEIR SOVEREIGNTY AND AUTONOMY.

- FEAR OF TYRANNY: MANY STATES FEARED THAT CENTRAL AUTHORITY IMPOSING TAXES COULD BECOME TYRANNICAL, REMINISCENT OF BRITISH RULE.
- PREFERENCE FOR STATE CONTROL: STATES PREFERRED TO CONTROL THEIR OWN TAXES AND FINANCES, RESISTING FEDERAL ATTEMPTS TO IMPOSE REVENUE MEASURES.
- NO FEDERAL AUTHORITY TO OVERRIDE STATE DECISIONS: THE ARTICLES DID NOT GRANT CONGRESS THE POWER TO OVERRIDE STATE TAX POLICIES OR COMPEL COMPLIANCE.

RESULT: THIS RESISTANCE FURTHER UNDERMINED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT’S EFFORTS TO RAISE FUNDS SYSTEMATICALLY.

SUMMARY OF KEY FACTORS

FACTOR	EXPLANATION	IMPACT
LACK OF POWER TO TAX	NO CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY FOR DIRECT TAXATION	INSUFFICIENT AND UNRELIABLE FEDERAL REVENUE
DEPENDENCE ON VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS	STATES COULD REFUSE OR DELAY PAYMENTS	FINANCIAL INSTABILITY AND UNPAID DEBTS
NO POWER TO REGULATE TRADE	LIMITED REVENUE FROM TARIFFS	REDUCED INCOME STREAMS
WAR DEBT BURDEN	HEAVY DEBTS FROM REVOLUTION	NEED FOR REVENUE TO SERVICE DEBTS
WEAK ENFORCEMENT CAPACITY	NO EXECUTIVE OR ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM	INEFFECTIVE REVENUE COLLECTION
STATES’ SOVEREIGNTY CONCERNS	RESISTANCE TO FEDERAL TAXATION	POLITICAL BARRIERS TO REVENUE GENERATION

THE BROADER IMPLICATIONS

THE INABILITY OF THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION TO RAISE REVENUE HAD FAR-REACHING CONSEQUENCES:

- ECONOMIC CHALLENGES: THE GOVERNMENT STRUGGLED TO PAY SOLDIERS, SETTLE DEBTS, AND FUND ESSENTIAL SERVICES.
- POLITICAL WEAKNESS: THE LACK OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES DIMINISHED THE CREDIBILITY AND AUTHORITY OF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT.
- CALLS FOR REFORM: THESE WEAKNESSES FUELED CALLS FOR A STRONGER FEDERAL STRUCTURE, CULMINATING IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION OF 1787 AND THE DRAFTING OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHICH GRANTED CONGRESS THE POWER TO TAX DIRECTLY.

CONCLUSION

UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHY WAS THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO RAISE REVENUE? CHECK ALL IS FUNDAMENTALLY ROOTED IN THE DESIGN OF THE GOVERNMENT ITSELF. BY INTENTIONALLY LIMITING FEDERAL POWER—ESPECIALLY THE POWER TO TAX—THE ARTICLES CREATED A GOVERNMENT THAT WAS STRUCTURALLY INCAPABLE OF GENERATING THE REVENUE NECESSARY FOR EFFECTIVE GOVERNANCE. THE RELIANCE ON VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS, ABSENCE OF REGULATORY AUTHORITY OVER COMMERCE, AND STATE SOVEREIGNTY CONCERNS ALL CONTRIBUTED TO A WEAK FISCAL CAPACITY. RECOGNIZING THESE SHORTCOMINGS WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN SHAPING THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHICH PROVIDED THE

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH THE AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAXES DIRECTLY ON INDIVIDUALS, ENSURING A MORE SUSTAINABLE AND EFFECTIVE FINANCIAL SYSTEM FOR THE NATION'S FUTURE.

Under The Articles Of Confederation Why Was The National Government Unable To Raise Revenue Check All

Under The Articles Of Confederation Why Was The National Government Unable To Raise Revenue Check All

Related Articles

- [Use The Accounting Number Format For Costs, And The Style To Format Numbers With A Thousands Separator.](#)
- [Try These Questions Out And Ill Give You Brainliest No Links Posted On My Question Or I Will Report You](#)
- [Using The Links For The Federal Agencies Below, Respond To The Prompts Across The Top Of The Chart.What](#)

[Back to Home](#)