

With The Aid Of The Theorem In Sec. 21 , Show That Each Of These Functions Is Nowhere Analytic: (a) (b)

With The Aid Of The Theorem In Sec. 21 , Show That Each Of These Functions Is Nowhere Analytic: (a) (b)

Introduction

In complex analysis, the concept of analyticity – or holomorphicity – of functions plays a central role. A function is said to be analytic at a point if it is differentiable in some neighborhood of that point and if it can be represented as a convergent power series there. Theorem in Sec. 21 (often associated with the Cauchy-Riemann equations and their implications) provides powerful criteria for establishing whether a given function is analytic or not. Particularly, it can be used to demonstrate that certain functions are nowhere analytic, meaning they fail to be analytic at every point in their domain.

This article aims to apply the theorem in Sec. 21 to two specific functions, labeled (a) and (b), and rigorously show that each of these functions is nowhere analytic. The process involves examining their differentiability properties, verifying the Cauchy-Riemann equations, and analyzing their behavior throughout the complex plane.

Preliminaries and Theorem in Sec. 21

Theorem Statement

While the specific statement of the theorem in Sec. 21 may vary depending on the textbook, it generally refers to the following criterion:

- **Criterion for Analyticity:** A function $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is analytic at a point $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ if and only if:
 1. Both u and v are differentiable functions of x and y in some neighborhood of (x_0, y_0) .
 2. The Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied at (x_0, y_0) :
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y},$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

Furthermore, if these conditions are not satisfied at a point or in an entire domain, the function cannot be analytic there. If the conditions fail at every point in the domain, the function is nowhere analytic.

Analyzing Function (a)

Definition of the Function

Suppose function (a) is given as:

$$f(z) = \overline{z} = x - iy$$

where $z = x + iy$. This is the complex conjugate function, a classic example used in complex analysis to illustrate non-analytic behavior.

Checking Differentiability and the Cauchy-Riemann Equations

- Express $f(z)$ in terms of real functions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= x \\ v(x, y) &= -y \end{aligned}$$

- Calculate the partial derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -1 \end{aligned}$$

- Test the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \implies 1 = -1 \quad (\text{False})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \implies \right. \\ & 0 = 0 \quad (\text{True}) \\ & \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion for (a)

Since the first Cauchy-Riemann equation fails at every point, the function $\overline{f(z)}$ does not satisfy the necessary conditions for analyticity at any point in the complex plane. Consequently, by the theorem in Sec. 21, it is nowhere analytic.

Analyzing Function (b)

Definition of the Function

Suppose function (b) is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\right. \\ & f(z) = |z|^2 = x^2 + y^2 \\ & \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

Note: Here, $\overline{f(z)}$ is a real-valued function involving the modulus squared of $\overline{z}$.

Expressing $\overline{f(z)}$ in Terms of $\overline{u}$ and $\overline{v}$

- Since $\overline{f(z)}$ is real-valued:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\right. \\ & u(x, y) = x^2 + y^2, \quad v(x, y) = 0 \\ & \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Calculate the partial derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= 2x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 2y \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Testing the Cauchy-Riemann Equations

- Check the first equation:

$$\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \implies 2x = 0 \implies x=0 \right]$$

- Check the second equation:

$$\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \implies 2y = 0 \implies y=0 \right]$$

Analysis of Conditions

1.

The Cauchy-Riemann equations are only satisfied at the single point $((x, y) = (0, 0))$. However, for a function to be analytic at a point, the Cauchy-Riemann equations must be satisfied in some neighborhood around that point, not just at the point itself.

2.

Since the equations are only satisfied at the origin and not in any open neighborhood around it, $(f(z) = |z|^2)$ is not analytic anywhere in $(\mathbb{C})$.

Conclusion for (b)

Applying the theorem in Sec. 21, because the Cauchy-Riemann equations are not satisfied in any neighborhood of any point in the complex plane, the function $(f(z) = |z|^2)$ is nowhere analytic.

Summary of Results

By analyzing both functions using the criteria provided by the theorem in Sec. 21, it is evident that:

- Function (a), the conjugate $(\overline{z})$, fails the Cauchy-Riemann equations everywhere, making it nowhere analytic.
- Function (b), the modulus squared $(|z|^2)$, only satisfies the

Cauchy-Riemann equations at a single point (the origin), but not in any neighborhood, rendering it nowhere analytic.

These examples underscore the importance of the Cauchy-Riemann equations and the theorem in Sec. 21 as tools for determining the analyticity of complex functions. Functions that do not satisfy these conditions in an open set are not analytic anywhere within that set, which is a fundamental conclusion for understanding the structure of complex functions.

Additional Remarks

Implications for Complex Analysis

- **Non-Analytic Functions:** Functions like the conjugate function and the modulus squared serve as key examples of non-analytic functions. Their properties help clarify the boundaries of holomorphicity and the necessity of satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations.
- **Holomorphic vs. Non-Holomorphic:** The theorem emphasizes that mere differentiability as a real function does not imply complex differentiability. The Cauchy-Riemann equations impose a stricter condition that often fails for many real-valued functions or conjugates.

Concluding Remarks

Using the theorem in Sec. 21 provides a systematic approach to verifying the analyticity of complex functions. The detailed analysis of functions (a) and (b) illustrates that unless the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied in an open neighborhood, the functions cannot be analytic. Recognizing such properties is fundamental in complex analysis, especially in the study of harmonic functions, conformal mappings, and complex integration.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the main objective when using the theorem in Sec. 21 to analyze the functions in parts (a) and (b)?

The main objective is to demonstrate that each of these functions is nowhere

analytic by applying the theorem from Sec. 21, which provides criteria to assess analyticity at all points.

Which key properties or conditions from Sec. 21 are utilized to show that these functions are nowhere analytic?

The theorem typically involves analyzing the behavior of the functions' derivatives, singularities, or failure to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at any point, thereby establishing that the functions are nowhere analytic.

How does one apply the theorem from Sec. 21 to a given function to determine its analyticity status?

One applies the theorem by examining the function's complex differentiability across the domain, checking for violations of the necessary conditions for analyticity, such as non-existence of derivatives at all points, or failure to satisfy Cauchy-Riemann equations everywhere.

In the context of parts (a) and (b), what characteristics of the functions indicate they are not analytic at any point?

Characteristics include the functions being non-differentiable at every point, having singularities that prevent complex differentiability, or failing to meet the conditions specified by the theorem in Sec. 21 for any point in the domain.

Can you provide an example of how the theorem in Sec. 21 applies to a specific type of function to show it's nowhere analytic?

For example, if the function involves a term like $1/z$, the theorem helps show that at $z=0$, the function is not analytic, and by analyzing its behavior elsewhere, the theorem can be used to prove the function is nowhere analytic if similar issues occur at all points.

Why is it significant to establish that these functions are nowhere analytic using the theorem in Sec. 21?

Establishing that these functions are nowhere analytic clarifies their limitations in complex analysis, informs about their singularity structures, and enhances understanding of their behavior, which is crucial for applications and further theoretical development.

What are the common pitfalls or misconceptions to avoid when applying the theorem in Sec. 21 to determine that functions are nowhere analytic?

Common pitfalls include assuming analyticity based solely on differentiability at a few points, neglecting to check the Cauchy-Riemann equations thoroughly, or misinterpreting the theorem's criteria. It's important to verify the conditions at every point to conclusively show the functions are nowhere analytic.

Additional Resources

With The Aid Of The Theorem In Sec. 21, Show That Each Of These Functions Is Nowhere Analytic: (a) (b)

In the realm of complex analysis, the concept of analyticity serves as a cornerstone that bridges the gap between algebraic functions and their profound geometric and analytical properties. The question of whether a function is analytic at a point—or, more stringently, nowhere analytic—can reveal deep insights about its structure and behavior. When examining functions that are not complex differentiable anywhere in their domain, mathematicians often turn to powerful theorems and criteria that provide clarity and rigor.

One such tool is featured in Section 21 of many complex analysis textbooks, often referred to as the Theorem in Sec. 21, which typically offers necessary and sufficient conditions or characterization criteria for analyticity. Leveraging this theorem, we can analyze specific functions to demonstrate that they are, in fact, nowhere analytic. The functions under consideration in parts (a) and (b) serve as classical examples illustrating the nuances of complex differentiability and the subtle distinctions between holomorphic functions and those that are entirely non-analytic.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive, detailed, and analytical exploration of this problem. We will begin by elucidating the core theorem and its relevance, then proceed to examine the functions in question, applying the theorem to establish their nowhere analyticity. Along the way, we will clarify the underlying principles, interpret the implications, and discuss broader contexts within complex analysis.

Understanding the Theorem in Section 21: Foundations and Significance

The Role of Theorems in Establishing Analyticity

In complex analysis, the notion of analyticity (or holomorphicity) hinges on the existence of complex derivatives at points in the domain. A function $f(z)$ is analytic at a point z_0 if it is complex differentiable in some neighborhood around z_0 . To determine whether a function is analytic throughout a domain—and, crucially, whether it is nowhere analytic—mathematicians utilize various theorems that provide necessary and sufficient conditions.

The Theorem in Section 21 (as typically outlined in standard texts) often states that:

```
> A function  $f(z) = u(x, y) + i v(x, y)$  is analytic at a point  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$  if and only if:  
> 1. The functions  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  are continuously  
differentiable in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ .  
> 2. They satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations:  
> 
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$
  
> 3. The partial derivatives are continuous.
```

The theorem thus provides a practical criterion: if the Cauchy-Riemann equations fail or are not satisfied in a neighborhood, the function cannot be analytic there. Extending this idea, if a function fails to satisfy these conditions anywhere in its domain, it is nowhere analytic.

Applying the Theorem to Functions (a) and (b): Analyzing Criteria and Outcomes

Overview of Functions in Question

Although the specific forms of functions (a) and (b) are not explicitly provided here, typical examples used in such analyses include:

- Function (a): $f(z) = \overline{z}$, the complex conjugate of z .
- Function (b): $f(z) = |z|^2 = x^2 + y^2$, a real-valued function depending on the modulus of z .

These functions are classical illustrations of functions that appear "simple" but are, in fact, not analytic anywhere in the complex plane.

Applying the Theorem to Function (a): $f(z) = \overline{z}$

Step 1: Expressing $f(z)$ in terms of x and y :

$$f(z) = \overline{z} = x - iy$$

which implies

$$u(x, y) = x, \quad v(x, y) = -y$$

Step 2: Computing the partial derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -1 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Checking the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \stackrel{?}{=} -1 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &\stackrel{?}{=} -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

The second condition holds, but the first fails. Since the Cauchy-Riemann equations are not satisfied at any point in the plane, $f(z) = \overline{z}$ is not analytic anywhere.

Conclusion: By the theorem, because the Cauchy-Riemann equations are violated everywhere, $f(z) = \overline{z}$ is nowhere analytic.

Applying the Theorem to Function (b): $(f(z) = |z|^2 = x^2 + y^2)$

Step 1: Expressing in terms of (x) and (y) :

Note that this function is real-valued:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= u(x, y) = x^2 + y^2, \quad v(x, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Computing derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= 2x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 2y \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Checking the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \rightarrow 2x = 0 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \rightarrow 2y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

These are only satisfied when:

$$x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y = 0$$

which corresponds only to the point $(z = 0)$.

Conclusion: Since the Cauchy-Riemann equations are not satisfied in any open neighborhood—only at a single point— $(f(z) = |z|^2)$ is not analytic at any point (except possibly at $(z=0)$, but analyticity requires a neighborhood). Therefore, the function is nowhere analytic in the domain.

Broader Implications and Significance of the Results

Why Are These Functions Not Analytic? An Analytical Perspective

The core reason these functions are nowhere analytic centers on their failure to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations in any open neighborhood:

- Conjugation function $(f(z) = \overline{z})$: It is anti-holomorphic rather than holomorphic. The conjugation operation fundamentally breaks the complex differentiability because it does not preserve complex structure—its partial derivatives violate the Cauchy-Riemann equations everywhere.
- Modulus squared function $(f(z) = |z|^2)$: While smooth and infinitely differentiable as a real-valued function, it inherently lacks complex differentiability because it is purely real-valued and does not satisfy the necessary conditions for complex differentiability in any open set.

This demonstrates a crucial principle in complex analysis: not all smooth or continuous functions are complex differentiable. The Cauchy-Riemann equations serve as a stringent criterion, filtering out functions that, despite their smoothness, cannot have complex derivatives anywhere in their domain.

Theoretical and Pedagogical Significance

Understanding these examples illuminates several key lessons:

- Analyticity is a strong condition: It requires more than smoothness; it demands the satisfaction of specific partial differential equations.
- Functions involving conjugation or modulus are generally non-analytic: These functions are classical counterexamples used to test the boundaries of complex differentiability.
- Theorem in Sec. 21 as a diagnostic tool: It provides a systematic method to verify analyticity by checking the Cauchy-Riemann equations, which can be extended to more complicated functions.
- Implications for complex

With The Aid Of The Theorem In Sec 21 Show That Each Of These Functions Is Nowhere Analytic A B

With The Aid Of The Theorem In Sec 21 Show That Each Of These Functions Is Nowhere Analytic A B

Related Articles

- [Wu Company Incurred \\$40,000 Of Fixed Cost And \\$50,000 Of Variable Cost When 4,000 Units Of Product Were](#)
- [When Alice\(Bob\) Wants To Communicate With Bob\(Alice\), She\(he\) Needs To Input: - Remote IP, Remote Port,](#)
- [What Processes During The Cell Cycle Ensures Appropriate Cell Growth And Rates Of Division? Malfunction](#)

[Back to Home](#)