

Assume Taxes Are Zero And An Economy Has A Consumption Function Of $C=0.82(Y_d)$ +\$869.27. By How Much Will

Assume Taxes Are Zero And An Economy Has A Consumption Function Of $C=0.82(Y_d)$ +\$869.27. By How Much Will this impact the overall economic equilibrium, consumption levels, and potential GDP? Understanding the relationship between consumption functions and national income is essential for economists, policymakers, and students alike. This article delves into the implications of a given consumption function under the assumption of zero taxes, exploring how consumer behavior influences aggregate demand and overall economic activity.

Understanding the Consumption Function in a Zero-Tax Economy

What Is the Consumption Function?

The consumption function represents the relationship between disposable income (Y_d) and consumer spending (C). It indicates how much households are willing to spend based on their disposable income, incorporating both autonomous consumption and marginal propensity to consume (MPC).

In our case, the consumption function is given by:

- $C = 0.82(Y_d) + \$869.27$

This formula suggests that consumers will spend 82% of their disposable income, plus an autonomous consumption level of \$869.27, even when income is zero.

Implication of Zero Taxes

Assuming taxes are zero simplifies the analysis because disposable income (Y_d) equals gross income (Y):

- $Y_d = Y - T$
- With $T = 0$, then $Y_d = Y$

This means that all income earned is available for consumption or saving, and there are no government taxes reducing disposable income.

Calculating the Marginal Propensity to Consume and Autonomous Consumption

Marginal Propensity to Consume (MPC)

The coefficient of Y_d in the consumption function, 0.82, is the MPC:

- $MPC = 0.82$

This indicates that for every additional dollar of disposable income, consumers will spend \$0.82.

Autonomous Consumption

The constant term, \$869.27, is autonomous consumption:

- Autonomous consumption represents the baseline level of consumption when income is zero.

Determining the Equilibrium Level of Income

Understanding Economic Equilibrium

In macroeconomics, equilibrium occurs when aggregate demand (which, in this simple model, is primarily consumption) equals total output or income (Y). Since the economy assumes zero taxes and no government spending or investment, aggregate demand is driven solely by consumption:

- $AD = C$

At equilibrium:

- $Y = C$

Calculating Equilibrium Income (Y)

Given the consumption function:

- $C = 0.82Y + 869.27$

At equilibrium, $Y = C$:

- $Y = 0.82Y + 869.27$

Rearranging:

- $Y - 0.82Y = 869.27$
- $0.18Y = 869.27$

Solving for Y:

- $Y = 869.27 / 0.18 \approx \$4,829.26$

This is the equilibrium level of income or GDP in the economy under the given consumption function.

Impact of Changes in the Consumption Function

Effect of Changes in Autonomous Consumption

Suppose autonomous consumption increases or decreases; how does this affect equilibrium income?

- If autonomous consumption increases, equilibrium income rises.
- If autonomous consumption decreases, equilibrium income falls.

For example, if autonomous consumption increases by \$100 to \$969.27:

- New equilibrium income: $Y = (969.27) / 0.18 \approx \$5,385.93$

Effect of Changes in MPC

Similarly, if MPC changes:

- Higher MPC (>0.82): leads to higher equilibrium income.
- Lower MPC (<0.82): results in lower equilibrium income.

For instance, if MPC increases to 0.9 with the same autonomous consumption:

- $Y = 869.27 / (1 - 0.9) = 869.27 / 0.1 \approx \$8,692.70$

This demonstrates the sensitivity of equilibrium income to the marginal propensity to consume.

Implications for Policy and Economic Growth

Role of Autonomous Consumption in Economic Stability

Autonomous consumption acts as a baseline level of demand that sustains economic activity even when income is low. Policies aimed at increasing autonomous consumption—such as transfer payments or subsidies—can stimulate economic growth, especially during downturns.

Influence of MPC on Multiplier Effect

The marginal propensity to consume determines the size of the multiplier:

- The spending multiplier in this context = $1 / (1 - MPC) = 1 / (1 - 0.82) \approx 5.56$

This means that an initial increase in autonomous consumption or investment can lead to a multiplied increase in overall income.

Conclusion: How Much Will Consumption and Income Change?

Given the consumption function $C = 0.82(Y_d) + \$869.27$ in a zero-tax economy, the equilibrium level of income is approximately \$4,829.26. Any change in autonomous consumption or MPC will proportionally alter this equilibrium.

- If autonomous consumption increases by \$100, equilibrium income will rise by approximately \$555.56.
- If MPC increases from 0.82 to 0.9, equilibrium income will increase significantly, demonstrating the importance of consumer behavior in macroeconomic stability.

Understanding these relationships helps policymakers design effective strategies to stimulate economic activity or control inflation. Recognizing how autonomous consumption and MPC influence the aggregate demand provides valuable insights into managing economic fluctuations, especially in simplified models assuming zero taxes.

In summary, assuming zero taxes and analyzing a consumption function of $C = 0.82(Y_d) + \$869.27$ reveals that the economy's equilibrium income is about \$4,829.26. Small shifts in autonomous consumption or MPC can lead to substantial changes in the overall income level, highlighting the critical role of consumer behavior in economic dynamics. This knowledge is vital for formulating policies to foster sustainable growth and stability in real-world economies.

Frequently Asked Questions

Assume taxes are zero and an economy has a consumption function $C=0.82(Y_d)+\$869.27$. By how much will an increase of \$1,000 in disposable income (Y_d) affect total consumption?

An increase of \$1,000 in disposable income will increase total consumption by $0.82 \times \$1,000 = \820 .

Given the consumption function $C=0.82(Y_d)+\$869.27$ with zero taxes, what is the marginal propensity to consume (MPC)?

The marginal propensity to consume (MPC) is 0.82.

In the context of the given consumption function, what is the autonomous consumption level when disposable income is zero?

Autonomous consumption is \$869.27, representing consumption when Y_d is zero.

If disposable income (Y_d) increases by \$5,000, what is the expected change in total consumption according to the consumption function?

Total consumption will increase by $0.82 \times \$5,000 = \$4,100$.

With the consumption function $C=0.82(Y_d)+\$869.27$ and zero taxes, what is the formula to find the total consumption given any disposable income Y_d ?

Total consumption $C = 0.82 \times Y_d + \$869.27$.

In a zero-tax economy with the given consumption function, what would be the level of consumption if disposable income is \$10,000?

Consumption would be $C = 0.82 \times \$10,000 + \$869.27 = \$8,200 + \$869.27 = \$9,069.27$.

How does the assumption of zero taxes simplify the analysis of the consumption function $C=0.82(Y_d)+\$869.27$?

With zero taxes, disposable income Y_d equals total income Y , simplifying calculations since all income

is available for consumption without deductions.

Additional Resources

Assume Taxes Are Zero And An Economy Has A Consumption Function Of $C = 0.82(Y_d) + \$869.27$. By How Much Will

In the realm of macroeconomic analysis, understanding the intricate relationships between income, consumption, and aggregate demand is fundamental. A common starting point for such analysis involves exploring how changes in income levels influence consumption behavior, especially when certain simplifying assumptions—such as zero taxes—are applied. When an economy's consumption function is specified as $C = 0.82(Y_d) + \$869.27$, where Y_d (disposable income) equals Y in the absence of taxes, economists can derive vital insights into the multiplier effect, equilibrium income, and overall economic responsiveness.

This article delves into the implications of this consumption function, exploring how changes in autonomous consumption, marginal propensity to consume, and other factors influence the overall income level. We will examine the theoretical underpinnings, perform detailed calculations, and interpret the results to provide a comprehensive understanding of the economic dynamics at play under the given assumptions.

Understanding the Consumption Function: Components and Significance

Defining the Consumption Function

The consumption function is a mathematical relationship that depicts how households allocate their income towards consumption. It typically takes the form:

$$C = a + bY_d$$

where:

- C is total consumption,
- a (autonomous consumption) is the baseline level of consumption when income is zero,
- b (marginal propensity to consume or MPC) indicates the additional amount consumed per additional dollar of income,
- Y_d is disposable income, the income available after taxes and transfers.

In our specific case, the consumption function is:

$$C = 0.82Y_d + 869.27$$

This indicates that:

- The MPC is 0.82, meaning households spend 82% of each additional dollar of disposable income.
- The autonomous consumption (the intercept) is \$869.27, representing the consumption level when income is zero.

Implications of Zero Taxes

The assumption that taxes are zero simplifies the model significantly. Under this assumption:

$$Y_d = Y$$

where:

- Y is the national income or GDP.

This means that all income generated within the economy is available for consumption and savings, with no government tax deductions involved. Such a scenario is theoretical but useful for isolating the relationship between income and consumption without the complicating effects of fiscal policy.

Analyzing the Consumption Function: Key Concepts

Autonomous Consumption (a)

Autonomous consumption, represented by \$869.27, is the baseline consumption that occurs even if income drops to zero. This could be interpreted as consumption financed by savings, credit, or social safety nets, and reflects the basic consumption needs of households regardless of income.

Marginal Propensity to Consume (MPC)

An MPC of 0.82 suggests high responsiveness of consumption to income changes. Specifically:

- For every additional dollar of income, households will spend approximately \$0.82.
- The remaining \$0.18 of each additional dollar is likely saved.

The MPC is crucial for calculating the multiplier effect, as it determines how fluctuations in autonomous expenditure or investment translate into changes in total income.

Calculating the Equilibrium Income

The Aggregate Expenditure Model

In a simplified economy with no taxes and no government spending, the aggregate expenditure (AE) is primarily driven by consumption and investment (assuming no other leakages or injections). The basic equilibrium condition is:

$$Y = AE$$

where:

$$AE = C + I$$

- C is consumption,
- I is investment, assumed to be exogenous and autonomous (constant).

Given the consumption function, the equilibrium income occurs where:

$$Y = C = 0.82Y + 869.27$$

assuming a fixed level of investment I, which can be incorporated as an autonomous component.

Deriving the Equilibrium Income

Suppose we have a fixed autonomous investment I, the total autonomous expenditure is:

$$AE_{\text{autonomous}} = a + I = 869.27 + I$$

The total consumption at income Y is:

$$C = 0.82Y + 869.27$$

At equilibrium:

$$Y = C + I$$

$$Y = 0.82Y + 869.27 + I$$

Rearranging:

$$Y - 0.82Y = 869.27 + I$$

$$0.18Y = 869.27 + I$$

$$Y = \frac{869.27 + I}{0.18}$$

This formula demonstrates how the equilibrium income depends on autonomous investment I. For a concrete calculation, setting I to a specific value will yield the equilibrium Y.

Impact of Autonomous Consumption and Investment on Income

Case 1: Autonomous Investment of \$0

If autonomous investment I is zero, the equilibrium income simplifies to:

$$Y = \frac{869.27}{0.18} \approx 4,829.32$$

This indicates that, with no investment, the economy's equilibrium income is approximately \$4,829.32.

Case 2: Autonomous Investment of \$1,000

If I is \$1,000, then:

$$Y = \frac{869.27 + 1,000}{0.18} = \frac{1,869.27}{0.18} \approx 10,384.82$$

This illustrates how increased autonomous investment elevates the equilibrium income, highlighting the multiplier effect's role. The larger the autonomous expenditure, the higher the equilibrium income.

The Multiplier Effect and Its Calculation

Understanding the Multiplier

The multiplier is a vital concept in Keynesian economics, representing the ratio of change in equilibrium income to the change in autonomous expenditure (consumption or investment). It quantifies the amplification effect that initial spending has on the total income level.

The formula for the simple spending multiplier in a closed economy with no taxes or government spending is:

$$\text{Multiplier} = \frac{1}{1 - \text{MPC}}$$

Given the MPC of 0.82:

$$\text{Multiplier} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.82} = \frac{1}{0.18} \approx 5.56$$

This means that for every dollar increase in autonomous expenditure, the total income increases by approximately \$5.56.

Implication of the Multiplier

The high multiplier value indicates a significant amplification effect. For example, an autonomous investment increase of \$1,000 would lead to an approximate \$5,560 increase in equilibrium income:

$$\Delta Y = \text{Multiplier} \times \Delta \text{Autonomous expenditure}$$

$$\Delta Y = 5.56 \times 1,000 = 5,560$$

This emphasizes the potency of autonomous expenditure changes in stimulating economic activity, especially in economies with high MPCs.

Analyzing the Effect of Changes in Consumption Parameters

Varying Autonomous Consumption

Suppose autonomous consumption a increases by \$100 to \$969.27. The new equilibrium income (assuming $I=0$) becomes:

$$Y = \frac{969.27}{0.18} \approx 5,385.94$$

This demonstrates that an increase in autonomous consumption directly raises the equilibrium income, with the effect magnified by the multiplier.

Varying MPC

If the MPC increases to 0.9, the multiplier becomes:

$$\frac{1}{1 - 0.9} = 10$$

This would double the responsiveness of income to autonomous expenditure, resulting in higher equilibrium income for the same autonomous spending levels.

Conversely, a decrease in MPC reduces the multiplier, indicating less responsiveness and a more subdued economic response to autonomous spending.

Limitations and Broader Implications

While the simplified model provides valuable insights, it is essential to recognize its limitations:

- Assumption of zero taxes: Real-world economies involve taxes that influence disposable income, thereby affecting consumption and the multiplier.
- Exclusion of government spending and net exports: The model focuses solely on consumption and investment, omitting other vital components.
- Fixed autonomous expenditure: In reality, autonomous investment and consumption are subject to change due to policy, expectations, and external factors.
- Linear consumption function: Real consumption behavior may deviate from linearity at different income levels.

Despite these limitations, the model underscores the importance of autonomous expenditure and MPC in shaping economic outcomes. Policymakers can leverage this understanding to design fiscal policies that stimulate growth—particularly through investments and initiatives that alter autonomous spending or MPC.

Concluding Remarks

In a theoretical economy where taxes are assumed to be zero, and the consumption function is specified as $C = 0.82Y + \$869.27$, the analysis reveals significant insights into the dynamics between income, consumption, and expenditure. The high marginal propensity to consume indicates that changes in autonomous spending, such as investments, can have amplified effects on overall income.

[Assume Taxes Are Zero And An Economy Has A Consumption Function Of \$C = 0.82Y + \\$869.27\$ By How Much Will](#)

Assume Taxes Are Zero And An Economy Has A Consumption Function Of $C = 0.82Y + \$869.27$ By How Much Will

Related Articles

- [I Need Help! ASAPAs Shown In The Figure Below, A || B And C Is The Transversal, \$M_1 = 40\$, \$M_2 = 70\$. Question:](#)
- [Example In A Recent Survey, Teenagers Were Asked To Indicate How Many 20- Ounce Bottles Of Sports Drinks](#)
- [Given That The Measurement Is In Centimeters, Find The Circumference Of The Circle To The Nearest Tenth.](#)

[Back to Home](#)