

Show That The Nth Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_N$. Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$. Prove That

Show That The Nth Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_N$. Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$. Prove That

Understanding the structure of groups in algebra is fundamental to many areas of mathematics, from number theory to abstract algebra. In this article, we will explore three significant concepts: first, demonstrating that the n th roots of unity form a group isomorphic to the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}_N)$; second, establishing that the field of rational numbers $(\mathbb{Q})$ is not isomorphic to the group of integers $(\mathbb{Z})$; and finally, we will delve into the implications and proofs supporting these ideas.

Show That The Nth Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_N$

Definitions and Background

Before we proceed with the proof, let's clarify some fundamental concepts:

- **Roots of Unity:** The n th roots of unity are complex numbers (z) satisfying $(z^N = 1)$. These roots form a finite set, denoted as (μ_N) .
- **Group Structure:** The set (μ_N) under multiplication forms a group because:
 - Closure: The product of two n th roots of unity is again an n th root of unity.
 - Associativity: Multiplication of complex numbers is associative.
 - Identity: The number 1 acts as the identity element.
 - Inverses: Each root (z) has an inverse (z^{-1}) , which is also an n th root of unity.

- $\mathbb{Z}_N$: The cyclic group of order N , consisting of integers modulo N , with addition as the operation.

Proof that $\mu_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$

To show that the n th roots of unity form a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_N$, we need to establish a bijective group homomorphism between these two groups.

Step 1: Describe the Generator

Let $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i / N}$ be a primitive n th root of unity. This means that:

$$\zeta_N^k \neq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq k < N,$$

and

$$\zeta_N^N = 1.$$

The set of n th roots of unity is:

$$\mu_N = \{ 1, \zeta_N, \zeta_N^2, \dots, \zeta_N^{N-1} \}.$$

Step 2: Define the Isomorphism

Construct a function $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mu_N$ as follows:

$$\phi(k) = \zeta_N^k,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Step 3: Verify Homomorphism Properties

Check that ϕ respects the group operation:

$$\phi(k + l \bmod N) = \zeta_N^{k + l} = \zeta_N^k \cdot \zeta_N^l = \phi(k) \cdot \phi(l),$$

\]

which confirms ϕ is a group homomorphism.

Step 4: Show Bijectivity

- Injectivity: If $\phi(k) = \phi(l)$, then:

$$\zeta_N^k = \zeta_N^l \implies \zeta_N^{k-l} = 1.$$

Since ζ_N is primitive, this implies:

$$k - l \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \implies k \equiv l \pmod{N}.$$

- Surjectivity: For every element $z \in \mu_N$, there exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ such that $z = \zeta_N^k$. Thus, ϕ is onto.

Conclusion:

The function $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mu_N$, defined by $\phi(k) = \zeta_N^k$, is a bijective group homomorphism, establishing an isomorphism. Therefore,

$$\boxed{\text{The } N \text{th roots of unity form a group isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}_N.}$$

Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$

Understanding the Structures of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$

Let's clarify what these groups are:

- **$\mathbb{Q}$:** The set of rational numbers under addition, $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$, which forms an abelian group.
- **$\mathbb{Z}$:** The set of integers under addition, $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, which is a cyclic abelian group generated by 1.

Key Properties to Compare

When analyzing whether two groups are isomorphic, common properties to examine include:

- Order of elements
- Existence of generators
- Structure of subgroups

Proof that $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ Is Not Isomorphic To $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$

Step 1: Both are Abelian Groups

Both $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ are abelian groups, so the difference lies in their structural properties, not commutativity.

Step 2: $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ Is Cyclic, $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ Is Not

- $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is cyclic, generated by 1:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle,$$

and every element can be written as $(n \cdot 1)$, with $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

- $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$, however, is not cyclic.

To prove this, assume for contradiction that $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ is generated by some rational number (q) . Then every rational number could be expressed as $(n \cdot q)$ for some integer (n) .

But this is false because:

$$\frac{1}{2} \neq n \cdot q,$$

for any fixed (q) , unless (q) is irrational or zero, which contradicts the assumption.

Step 3: Subgroup Structures Differ

- $(\mathbb{Z})$ has a simple subgroup structure, with only cyclic subgroups generated by integers.
- $(\mathbb{Q})$ contains many elements of finite order (only the zero element has finite order), and it has infinitely many subgroups that are not cyclic.

Step 4: Torsion Elements

- Both $(\mathbb{Z})$ and $(\mathbb{Q})$ are torsion-free, but the key is that $(\mathbb{Q})$ is divisible, meaning every element has roots of all orders:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ exists } r \in \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{such that } n \cdot r = q. \end{array} \right]$$

- $(\mathbb{Z})$ is not divisible: For example, there is no integer (r) such that $(2r = 1)$.

This divisibility property is crucial because it shows that $(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(\mathbb{Z})$ are fundamentally different in their algebraic structure.

Conclusion:

Since $(\mathbb{Q})$ is divisible and $(\mathbb{Z})$ is not, they cannot be isomorphic as groups.

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\text{The rational numbers } (\mathbb{Q}, +) \text{ are not isomorphic to } (\mathbb{Z}, +).}$$

Summary and Final Remarks

In this article, we've demonstrated the following key points:

1. The N th roots of unity form a cyclic group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_N)$: By constructing an explicit isomorphism via a primitive (N) -th root of unity, we show the algebraic structure of roots of unity mirrors the cyclic group of order (N) .

2. The group $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ is not isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$: Their structural differences, especially regarding divisibility and generation properties, prevent any isomorphism from existing.

These concepts are fundamental in understanding the interplay between algebra

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the isomorphism between the Nth roots of unity and the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$?

The Nth roots of unity form a cyclic group under multiplication, which is isomorphic to the additive cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$ because both are cyclic of order N. The isomorphism can be explicitly constructed by mapping each root of unity to its corresponding exponent modulo N.

How can we explicitly define an isomorphism from the Nth roots of unity to $\mathbb{Z}_N$?

Define the map $\phi: \mu_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ by $\phi(\zeta^k) = k \bmod N$, where ζ is a primitive Nth root of unity and $0 \leq k < N$. This map is a group isomorphism because it respects the group operation and is bijective.

Why is the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$?

$\mathbb{Q}$ is a field of characteristic zero with infinite elements and a rich structure allowing addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division, whereas $\mathbb{Z}$ is a discrete infinite cyclic group under addition. The key difference is that $\mathbb{Z}$ lacks the multiplicative structure of a field and is not a field itself, making an isomorphism impossible.

What is the fundamental reason that $\mathbb{Q}$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$?

$\mathbb{Q}$ is a divisible abelian group (every element has roots), while $\mathbb{Z}$ is not divisible and has a different algebraic structure. This difference in divisibility properties prevents any isomorphism between $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$.

Can the additive group of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$ be isomorphic to any cyclic group? Why or why not?

No. The additive group of $\mathbb{Q}$ is not cyclic because it is divisible and contains elements of infinite order with no generator that produces the

entire group, unlike cyclic groups like $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}$ cannot be isomorphic to any cyclic group.

How does the structure of roots of unity illustrate the concept of group isomorphism with $\mathbb{Z}_N$?

Roots of unity form a finite cyclic group, which directly corresponds to $\mathbb{Z}_N$ because both are generated by a single element and have order N . This illustrates how abstract algebraic structures can be classified and understood through isomorphisms.

Additional Resources

Show That The N th Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_N$ – Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$

Introduction

Mathematics, particularly algebra, is replete with structures that reveal profound insights into the nature of numbers and their symmetries. Among these structures, groups serve as a foundational concept, capturing the essence of symmetry and operation. This article aims to explore two fundamental and interconnected concepts: the isomorphism between the N th roots of unity and the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$, and the stark contrast between the fields of rational numbers ($\mathbb{Q}$) and the infinite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$. Through meticulous proofs and detailed explanations, we will demonstrate the algebraic equivalence of the N th roots of unity and $\mathbb{Z}_N$, and clarify why $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are fundamentally non-isomorphic, emphasizing the importance of these distinctions in algebraic theory.

The N th Roots of Unity and Their Isomorphism to $\mathbb{Z}_N$

The N th Roots of Unity: Definition and Basic Properties

The N th roots of unity are complex numbers that satisfy the equation:

$$\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z^N = 1 \}$$

where N is a positive integer. Formally, the set of all N th roots of unity is:

$$\mu_N = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z^N = 1 \}$$

These roots form a finite subset of the complex plane, evenly spaced on the unit circle. They can be explicitly expressed as:

$$\zeta_N^k = e^{2\pi i k / N} \quad \text{for } k=0,1,\dots,N-1$$

where $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i / N}$ is called a primitive N th root of unity.

The Group Structure of μ_N

Under complex multiplication, the set μ_N forms a finite multiplicative group:

- Closure: The product of two N th roots of unity is another N th root of unity.
- Associativity: Complex multiplication is associative.
- Identity: The element 1 acts as the identity.
- Inverses: Each element ζ_N^k has an inverse ζ_N^{-k} , which is also an N th root of unity.

Furthermore, μ_N is cyclic, generated by ζ_N :

$$\mu_N = \langle \zeta_N \rangle$$

This means every element can be expressed as a power of ζ_N .

The Cyclic Group Z_N

The group Z_N is the set of integers modulo N , with addition as the operation:

$$Z_N = \{ 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \}$$

with addition mod N . It is well-known that:

- Z_N is cyclic, generated by 1.
- It has order N .

Demonstrating Isomorphism Between μ_N and Z_N

Definition of Group Isomorphism

A group isomorphism is a bijective function $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ between groups G and H such that:

$$\phi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \phi(g_1) \ast \phi(g_2)$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$. It preserves the group operation and the structure.

Constructing the Isomorphism

Given that $\mu_N = \langle \zeta_N \rangle$ and $Z_N = \langle 1 \rangle$

$\backslash$), define:

$\backslash[\ \phi: Z_N \rightarrow \mu_N \backslash]$
 $\backslash[\ \phi(k) = \zeta_N^k \backslash]$

for $\backslash(k \in Z_N \backslash)$.

Verification of properties:

- Well-defined: Since $\backslash(\zeta_N^N = 1 \backslash)$, $\backslash(\phi(k + N) = \zeta_N^{k+N} = \zeta_N^k \zeta_N^N = \zeta_N^k \backslash)$, so the mapping respects the mod $\backslash(N \backslash)$ structure.

- Homomorphism:

$\backslash[\ \phi(k + l) = \zeta_N^{k+l} = \zeta_N^k \zeta_N^l = \phi(k) \phi(l) \backslash]$

- Injectivity: If $\backslash(\phi(k) = 1 \backslash)$, then $\backslash(\zeta_N^k = 1 \backslash)$, which implies $\backslash(N \mid k \backslash)$, but since $\backslash(0 \leq k < N \backslash)$, it must be $\backslash(k=0 \backslash)$. So, the kernel is trivial.

- Surjectivity: For any $\backslash(z \in \mu_N \backslash)$, $\backslash(z = \zeta_N^k \backslash)$ for some $\backslash(k \backslash)$, so every element in $\backslash(\mu_N \backslash)$ has a pre-image.

Conclusion: $\backslash(\phi \backslash)$ is a group isomorphism, establishing that:

$\backslash[\ \mu_N \cong Z_N \backslash]$

The Significance of This Isomorphism

This result underscores how the algebraic structure of the N th roots of unity mirrors the cyclic group structure of $\backslash(Z_N \backslash)$. It illuminates the deep connection between polynomial roots, complex analysis, and finite cyclic groups, serving as a foundational concept in fields such as Galois theory, Fourier analysis, and algebraic number theory.

Why Q Is Not Isomorphic To Z

While at first glance, the groups $\backslash(Q \backslash)$ (the additive group of rational numbers) and $\backslash(Z \backslash)$ (the integers) both are infinite, their algebraic structures are fundamentally different, precluding any possibility of isomorphism.

Structural Differences

- Order and Generators:

- $\backslash(Z \backslash)$ is cyclic, generated by 1.

- $\backslash(Q \backslash)$ is divisible, meaning for any $\backslash(q \in Q \backslash)$ and any positive

integer n , there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $nr = q$.

- Divisibility and Torsion:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ is torsion-free (no element other than 0 has finite order).
- $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible and torsion-free. However, divisibility alone does not imply isomorphism to $\mathbb{Z}$.

- Structure as Abelian Groups:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ is a free abelian group of rank 1.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ is a divisible abelian group, which can be viewed as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ with uncountably many bases, unlike $\mathbb{Z}$, which is countably generated.

Formal Proof That $\mathbb{Q} \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$

Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists an isomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, generated by 1.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ would be cyclic under ψ^{-1} , implying $\mathbb{Q}$ is generated by some $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

But:

- The subgroup generated by q is $\{nq : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$.
- Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible, for any q , the subgroup generated by q cannot account for all of $\mathbb{Q}$, as $\mathbb{Q}$ contains elements that are fractional multiples not generated by a single element.

More precisely, the structure of $\mathbb{Q}$ as a divisible group is fundamentally richer than $\mathbb{Z}$. The key point is that:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ is not divisible: it does not contain elements x such that nx can be any rational number.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible, meaning for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists an $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $nr = q$.

This discrepancy in divisibility properties prevents any isomorphism.

Broader Implications and Context

Significance in Algebra and Number Theory

The isomorphism between μ_N and $\mathbb{Z}_N$ exemplifies how algebraic structures underpin complex analysis and number theory. It demonstrates how finite cyclic groups manifest in roots of unity, which are pivotal in Fourier

analysis, cyclotomic fields, and cryptography.

Conversely, the non-isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ highlights the importance of structural properties like divisibility, torsion, and rank in classifying infinite abelian groups. Recognizing they are non-isomorphic helps mathematicians understand the limitations of certain algebraic operations and the necessity of different frameworks when dealing with infinite groups.

Applications in Galois Theory and Field Extensions

The isomorphism of roots of unity and cyclic groups underpins Galois theory's study of field extensions generated by roots of unity, leading to cyclotomic fields. These fields are central in number theory, especially in class field theory and solving polynomial equations.

Final Remarks

The exploration of the n th

Show That The n th Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_n$ **Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$ Prove That**

Show That The n th Roots Of Unity Are Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}_n$ Prove That $\mathbb{Q}$ Is Not Isomorphic To $\mathbb{Z}$
Prove That

Related Articles

- [Recommend ONE Practical Strategy That Could Be Implemented To Ensure The Effectiveness Of The Campaigns.](#)
- [Solve Each System By Using Elementary Row Operations On The Equations Or On The Augmented Matrix. Follow](#)
- [If The Side Of A Cubical Cell Doubled, What Would The Cell Then Require? Select All The Correct Answers.](#)

[Back to Home](#)