Using The Rankine Method Of Analysis, Calculate The Factors Of Safety With Respect To Sliding, Overturning

Using The Rankine Method Of Analysis, Calculate The Factors Of Safety With Respect To Sliding, Overturning is a fundamental procedure in geotechnical engineering to assess the stability of slopes, retaining walls, and other earth structures. The Rankine method provides a simplified yet effective approach to analyze the forces acting on a soil mass and determine the likelihood of failure due to sliding or overturning. Understanding how to apply this method is crucial for designing safe structures and preventing catastrophic failures.

This article explores the principles behind the Rankine method, outlines the procedures to calculate factors of safety with respect to sliding and overturning, and discusses practical considerations in geotechnical stability analysis.

Understanding the Rankine Method of Analysis

The Rankine method, named after the Scottish engineer William John Macquorn Rankine, is an earth pressure theory used to analyze the stability of slopes and retaining walls. It assumes a simplified model where the soil is homogeneous, isotropic, and dry, with no cohesion or with known cohesion if applicable. The method considers the failure surface as a planar slip surface and is particularly useful due to its straightforward calculations.

Key assumptions of the Rankine method include:
- The soil is dry, cohesionless or with known cohesion.
- The failure surface is planar.
- The earth pressure is active or passive depending on the structure.
- The soil's weight and surcharge loads are known.

The primary goal of the analysis is to evaluate the driving and resisting forces along potential failure surfaces to determine the stability factors.

Calculating Factors of Safety: Overview

The factor of safety (FoS) is a ratio that indicates how much stronger a

system is than it needs to be to withstand failure. For slope stability and retaining wall analysis, two main failure modes are considered:
- Sliding failure: where the soil mass moves along a failure surface.
- Overturning failure: where the structure or soil mass tips over due to moments exceeding resisting moments.

Calculating FoS involves comparing the available resisting forces or moments against the driving forces or moments.

Factors of Safety with Respect to Sliding

1. Identifying the Failure Surface

In the Rankine method, the failure surface is typically assumed as a straight plane inclined at a certain angle, often determined based on soil properties and slope geometry. For simple slope stability problems, the failure surface may extend from the crest to the toe of the slope.

2. Calculating the Resisting Force

The resisting force primarily comes from the soil's shear strength along the failure surface, which depends on:
- Soil cohesion (c)
- Soil internal friction angle (φ)
- Normal force acting perpendicular to the failure surface

The shear strength (S) along the failure plane is given by:
$$ S = c + \sigma \times \tan \phi $$
where:
- c = cohesion
- σ = normal stress on failure surface
- ϕ = angle of internal friction

For cohesionless soils, $c = 0$, simplifying the shear strength to:
$$ S = \sigma \times \tan \phi $$

The total resisting force R along the failure surface is:
$$ R = S \times \text{area of failure surface} $$

3. Calculating the Driving Force

The driving force is primarily due to the weight of the soil mass acting downslope. It can be calculated as:
$$ W = \text{volume of soil} \times \gamma $$

where γ is the unit weight of soil.

The component of weight acting downslope is:
$$W_d = W \times \sin \theta$$
with θ being the slope angle.

The lateral earth pressure exerted by the soil also contributes to the driving force, which can be estimated using Rankine’s earth pressure theory:
$$P_a = K_a \times \gamma \times H$$
where:
- P_a = active earth pressure
- $K_a = \tan^2(45^\circ - \phi/2)$ (active earth pressure coefficient)
- H = height of the slope or retaining wall

The total driving force combines the weight component and earth pressure effects.

4. Calculating the Factor of Safety Against Sliding

The factor of safety against sliding is the ratio of resisting force to driving force:
$$\text{FoS}_\text{slide} = \frac{R}{\text{Driving Force}}$$

Alternatively, in simplified form:
$$\text{FoS}_\text{slide} = \frac{c \times \text{length of failure surface} + \text{normal force} \times \tan \phi}{\text{driving force}}$$

A FoS greater than 1 indicates stability; typically, a safety factor of 1.5 or higher is desired in practice.

Factors of Safety with Respect to Overturning

1. Analyzing Moments

Overturning stability involves evaluating moments about the pivot point or the edge of the structure or slope. The overturning moment due to the driving forces (such as earth pressure or weight) must be balanced by the resisting moments (such as the weight of the structure or the anchored base).

2. Calculating the Overturning Moment

The overturning moment (M_o) is caused mainly by:
- Lateral earth pressures
- Surcharge loads

- External forces like water or seismic activity

Mathematically, the overturning moment can be expressed as:
$$ M_o = P \times \text{distance from pivot} $$
where P is the lateral force.

For example, considering earth pressure:
$$ M_o = \frac{1}{2} P_a \times H $$
assuming the pressure acts at a centroided point at $H/2$.

3. Calculating Resisting Moment

The resisting moment (M_r) comes from:
- The weight of the structure or soil mass acting through its centroid
- Any anchoring or reinforcement

Resisting moment:
$$ M_r = W \times \text{distance from pivot to centroid} $$

4. Determining the Factor of Safety Against Overturning

The factor of safety is the ratio of resisting to overturning moments:
$$ \text{FoS}_\text{overturning} = \frac{M_r}{M_o} $$

A FoS greater than 1 indicates stability against overturning. Typically, a minimum safety factor of 1.5 is recommended for structures like retaining walls.

Practical Application: Step-by-Step Calculation

To illustrate the application of the Rankine method in calculating safety factors, consider the following simplified example:

Given Data:
- Soil unit weight $\gamma = 18 \, \text{kN/m}^3$
- Cohesion $c = 0$ (cohesionless soil)
- Internal friction angle $\phi = 30^\circ$
- Slope height $H = 5\, \text{m}$
- Slope angle $\theta = 30^\circ$

Step 1: Calculate the active earth pressure coefficient:
$$ K_a = \tan^2(45^\circ - \frac{\phi}{2}) = \tan^2(45^\circ - 15^\circ) = \tan^2(30^\circ) = (0.577)^2 \approx 0.333 $$

Step 2: Compute the active earth pressure:

$$ P_a = K_a \times \gamma \times H = 0.333 \times 18 \times 5 = 30\, \text{kPa} $$

Step 3: Determine the driving force:

$$ \text{Driving Force} = P_a \times \text{Area} $$

Assuming the failure surface is a plane extending from the crest to the toe, the area can be approximated as:

$$ \text{Area} = \frac{1}{2} \times H \times \text{length of failure surface} $$

Step 4: Calculate the resisting force:

$$ R = \text{Normal force} \times \tan \phi $$

Normal force based on soil weight:

$$ N = \gamma \times H \times \text{width} $$

Step 5: Compute the factor of safety:

$$ \text{FoS}_\text{slide} = \frac{c \times \text{length} + N \times \tan \phi}{\text{Driving force}} $$

Similarly, for overturning, moments are calculated, and their ratio provides the safety factor.

Conclusion and Practical Considerations

Applying the Rankine method for stability analysis provides engineers with a straightforward way to estimate the safety margins against sliding and overturning failures. While the method involves simplifications—such as assuming planar failure surfaces and homogeneous soil properties—it remains a valuable initial assessment tool.

Key points to remember:
- Always verify assumptions and ensure they are appropriate for the specific site conditions.
- Use conservative estimates for parameters like soil strength to ensure safety.
- Combine the Rankine method with other analysis techniques and field data for comprehensive stability assessment.
- Strive for safety factors in line with local codes and standards, typically 1.5 or higher

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the primary principle behind the Rankine method of analysis for calculating factors of safety against sliding and overturning?

The Rankine method analyzes the stability of a slope or retaining structure by considering the equilibrium of forces and moments, assuming a failure plane and calculating the ratio of resisting to driving forces to determine the factor of safety against sliding and overturning.

How do you determine the factor of safety against sliding using the Rankine method?

The factor of safety against sliding is calculated as the ratio of the resisting shear strength along the potential failure surface to the driving shear force. In Rankine's approach, it is given by the ratio of the cohesion plus normal stress times tan(φ) to the shear stress exerted by the load, often simplified as $FS = (c + \sigma_N \tan \varphi) / \tau_d$, where c is cohesion, σ_N is normal stress, φ is the angle of internal friction, and τ_d is the driving shear stress.

How is the factor of safety against overturning determined using the Rankine method?

The factor of safety against overturning is calculated as the ratio of the sum of moments resisting overturning to the moments causing overturning about a pivot point. Using Rankine’s approach, it involves summing the moments due to stabilizing forces (such as weight and friction) and dividing by the moments caused by destabilizing forces (like lateral earth pressure or surcharge loads).

What assumptions does the Rankine method make when analyzing stability for sliding and overturning?

The Rankine method assumes that failure surfaces are planar and extend from the slope surface at a specific angle, that earth pressures act horizontally (for sliding) and vertically (for overturning), and that the soil is homogeneous, isotropic, and behaves elastically up to failure. It also neglects the effects of pore water pressures unless explicitly included.

What are the limitations of using the Rankine method for calculating factors of safety in real-world stability problems?

The Rankine method simplifies complex geotechnical conditions by assuming planar failure surfaces and ignoring effects like heterogeneity, anisotropy, non-planar failure surfaces, and pore water pressures unless specifically accounted for. It may also oversimplify the distribution of earth pressures, leading to conservative or non-conservative results in complex situations.

Additional Resources

Rankine Method of Analysis: Calculating Factors of Safety With Respect To Sliding and Overturning

In the realm of geotechnical engineering, ensuring the stability of slopes, retaining walls, embankments, and other earth structures is of paramount importance. The Rankine method of analysis stands as one of the foundational and most widely used approaches for evaluating the stability of such structures against two critical failure modes: sliding and overturning. This article provides an in-depth exploration of the Rankine method, detailing how it is employed to calculate factors of safety, the assumptions involved, and its practical applications.

Understanding the Rankine Method of Analysis

The Rankine method, developed by William John Macquorn Rankine in the 19th century, is a limit equilibrium approach that simplifies the analysis of earth pressures and stability problems. It assumes that the failure surface is planar, and the soil behaves as a Mohr-Coulomb material with specific parameters such as cohesion and internal friction angle.

Core Assumptions of the Rankine Method:

- The failure surface is planar.
- The earth mass behaves as a homogeneous, isotropic, and perfectly plastic material.
- The soil is dry, cohesionless, or cohesive depending on the context.
- The earth pressure acts horizontally (for walls) or along failure surfaces.
- The ground surface is horizontal or inclined as specified.

While these assumptions may not perfectly mimic real-world complexities, the simplicity and conservative nature of the Rankine method make it a valuable initial assessment tool.

Fundamentals of Stability Analysis

Before delving into calculations, it is essential to understand the key concepts:

- Factor of Safety (FoS): A ratio of resisting forces or moments to driving forces or moments. A FoS greater than 1 indicates stability.

- Sliding: Occurs when the shear resistance along a potential failure surface is insufficient to resist the shear driving forces parallel to the failure plane.

- Overturning: Happens when moments due to destabilizing forces exceed stabilizing moments, causing the structure to rotate or overturn.

The Rankine method provides a systematic way to evaluate these failure modes by analyzing the forces acting on the potential failure surface.

Calculating Factors of Safety Using the Rankine Method

The analysis involves calculating the resisting forces/moments and driving forces/moments along a potential failure surface, then deriving the factors of safety for sliding and overturning.

---

1. Factor of Safety Against Sliding

Concept:

The factor of safety against sliding (FoSsliding) quantifies how much stronger the soil's shear resistance is compared to the shear stress tending to cause sliding along the failure surface.

Calculation Steps:

a. Identify the failure surface:

- Usually a planar surface at a given inclination, often choosing the most critical (i.e., the one with the lowest FoS).

b. Determine the weight (W) of the soil mass above the failure surface:

\[
W = \gamma \times A
\]

Where:

- γ = unit weight of soil (kN/m³ or lb/ft³),
- A = cross-sectional area of the failure mass.

c. Resolve the weight into components:

- Normal component: $W \times \cos \beta$
- Shear component: $W \times \sin \beta$

Where β is the inclination of the failure surface.

d. Calculate the shear resistance along the failure surface:

$$
S_{resist} = c' \times L + \sigma_n' \times \tan \phi'
$$

Where:

- c' = effective cohesion (kPa),
- L = length of failure surface (m),
- σ_n' = normal effective stress on failure surface,
- ϕ' = internal friction angle (degrees).

e. Calculate the driving shear force:

$$
S_{drive} = W \times \sin (\beta - \alpha)
$$

Where α is the angle of the failure surface with respect to the horizontal.

f. Determine the factor of safety:

$$
FoS_{sliding} = \frac{S_{resist}}{S_{drive}}
$$

Alternatively, for a simplified case where cohesion is negligible, the factor of safety can be approximated as:

$$
FoS_{sliding} = \frac{c' + (\sigma_n' \times \tan \phi')}{\sigma_t}
$$

Where σ_t is the shear stress tending to cause sliding.

---

2. Factor of Safety Against Overturning

Concept:

The factor of safety against overturning (FoSoverturning) assesses the ratio between the stabilizing moments (usually due to soil weight and friction) and the destabilizing moments (due to external forces like water pressure, surcharge, or lateral earth pressure).

Calculation Steps:

a. Identify the overturning and resisting moments:

- Overturning moment (MO): caused by destabilizing forces such as lateral earth pressure, surcharge loads, or water pressure acting at a certain height.

- Resisting moment (MR): primarily due to the weight of the earth mass acting through its centroid, providing a stabilizing torque.

b. Calculate the overturning moment:

$$
M_O = \sum (Force \times Arm)
$$

For example, for lateral earth pressure:

$$
M_O = P_{a} \times h_{a} \times \frac{b_{a}}{2}
$$

Where:

- P_a = lateral earth pressure at height h_a,
- b_a = width or length at the pressure point.

c. Calculate the resisting moment:

$$
M_R = W \times \overline{d}
$$

Where:

- W = weight of the soil or structure,
- $\overline{d}$ = horizontal distance from the centroid of the resisting weight to the pivot point.

d. Determine the factor of safety:

$$
FoS_{overturning} = \frac{Resisting \, Moment}{Overturning \, Moment}
$$

A FoS greater than 1 indicates stability against overturning.

Application of Rankine Method in Practical Scenarios

The Rankine method finds extensive application in designing retaining walls, assessing slope stability, and evaluating embankments. Its conservative estimates act as initial checks, often complemented by more sophisticated methods like the Coulomb or Bishop methods for detailed analysis.

Example: Stability of a Gravity Retaining Wall

Suppose a gravity retaining wall is designed to hold back earth with the following parameters:

- Soil unit weight, $\gamma = 18\, \text{kN/m}^3$,
- Internal friction angle, $\phi' = 30^\circ$,
- Cohesion, $c' = 0\, \text{kPa}$,
- Slope inclination, $\beta = 45^\circ$,
- Wall height, $H = 5\, \text{m}$,
- Surcharge load, negligible.

Step 1: Calculate the weight of the soil mass:

$$
W = \frac{1}{2} \times b \times H \times \gamma
$$

Assuming unit width ($b=1\, m$):

$$
W = 0.5 \times 1 \times 5 \times 18 = 45\, \text{kN}
$$

Step 2: Determine the failure surface inclination (β) and the normal and shear components.

Step 3: Calculate the shear resistance:

$$
S_{resist} = c' \times L + \sigma_n' \times \tan \phi'
$$

Given $c' = 0$, the shear resistance depends on normal stress and ϕ'.

Step 4: Compute the driving shear force and factor of safety.

This procedure, when executed with precise values, yields the safety factors against sliding and overturning, guiding design decisions.

Advantages and Limitations of the Rankine Method

Advantages:

- Simplicity and ease of use.
- Suitable for preliminary assessments.
- Provides conservative estimates, ensuring safety margins.

Limitations:

- Assumes planar failure surfaces, which may not always be realistic.
- Ignores the effects of pore water pressure unless explicitly incorporated.
- Assumes homogeneous soil properties.
- Not suitable for complex geometries or layered soils without modifications.

Conclusion: The Expert’s Perspective

The Rankine method remains a cornerstone of geotechnical stability analysis, valued for its straightforward approach and conservative outputs. When used judiciously, it offers engineers a reliable first approximation of the safety margins against sliding and overturning. For more complex situations, it serves as a foundation upon which more detailed analyses—such as Coulomb or finite element methods—can be built.

In practice, combining the Rankine method with empirical data, site-specific conditions, and safety considerations ensures robust and reliable design of earth retaining structures. As an expert, understanding its assumptions, applications, and limitations is crucial to making informed engineering

Using The Rankine Method Of Analysis Calculate The Factors Of Safety With Respect To Sliding Overturning

Using The Rankine Method Of Analysis Calculate The Factors Of Safety With Respect To Sliding Overturning

Related Articles

- The Westchester Chamber Of Commerce Periodically Sponsors Public Service Seminars And Programs. Currently,
- A Compound Containing 17 Carbon Atoms Is Expected To Have An M 1 Signal That Is What Approximate Percentage
- 31. The Purpose Of Compensatory Damages Is To Compensate The Damaged Party By Punishing The Other Breaching

Back to Home