If An LP Has A Polygon Feasible Region, Which Of The Actions Below Would Still DEFINITELY Keep The Feasible

If An LP Has A Polygon Feasible Region, Which Of The Actions Below Would Still DEFINITELY Keep The Feasible

Understanding the nature of feasible regions in Linear Programming (LP) is crucial for optimizing solutions effectively. When an LP problem's feasible region forms a polygon—typically a convex polygon in two dimensions or a polyhedron in higher dimensions—certain actions or modifications to the problem can still guarantee that the feasible region remains unchanged or at least retains its essential characteristics. This article explores these actions in detail, providing clarity for students, practitioners, and researchers working with LP models.

Understanding the Feasible Region in Linear Programming

What Is a Feasible Region?

The feasible region in an LP problem is the set of all points in the variable space that satisfy all the constraints simultaneously. These constraints are typically linear inequalities or equations. When plotted, these constraints often intersect to form a convex polygon (in 2D) or a convex polyhedron (in higher dimensions).

Polygon Feasible Regions

A polygon feasible region means that in two-dimensional space, the intersection of constraints results in a polygon—possibly unbounded or bounded—encompassing all feasible solutions. The shape and size of this polygon are determined by the constraints specified.

Actions That May or May Not Preserve the Feasible Region

To determine which actions will definitely keep the feasible region unchanged, we analyze common modifications to LPs, such as adjusting constraints, adding new ones, or changing objective functions.

Actions That Will Definitely Keep the Feasible Region

Based on the properties of convexity and the linearity of constraints, the following actions are guaranteed to preserve the feasible region:

1. **Adding redundant constraints that do not cut off any existing feasible points**

2. Scaling all constraints by a positive scalar
3. Rearranging or rewriting constraints in an equivalent form without changing their geometric meaning
4. Removing constraints that are implied by other constraints (i.e., redundant constraints)

Let's elaborate on each of these actions:

Adding Redundant Constraints

What Are Redundant Constraints?

Redundant constraints are those that do not further restrict the feasible region because they are already implied or encompassed by the existing constraints.

Impact on the Feasible Region

- Since these constraints do not eliminate any feasible points, adding them will not alter the feasible region.
- For example, if a constraint is a consequence of other constraints, adding it explicitly maintains the existing feasible region.

Scaling Constraints by a Positive Scalar

Explanation

- Multiplying all coefficients and the right-hand side of a constraint by a positive scalar does not change the feasible region.
- This is because the inequality remains equivalent, just expressed in a different form.

Implication for Feasible Region

- The feasible region remains unchanged because the set of points satisfying the scaled constraint is identical to that satisfying the original.

Rewriting Constraints Equivalently

What Does This Mean?

- Constraints can often be rewritten or rearranged without changing their meaning—e.g., converting between different forms of inequalities or equalities.

Effect on Feasible Region

- As long as the rewritten form is equivalent, the feasible region remains the same.

Removing Redundant Constraints

Why Remove Redundant Constraints?

- Removing constraints that are implied by others simplifies the LP problem without changing the feasible region.

Ensuring the Feasible Region is Preserved

- Only remove constraints if they are proven to be redundant.
- This action maintains the original feasible set.

Actions That Would Not Guarantee Preservation of the Feasible Region

While certain actions guarantee the feasible region remains unchanged, others may alter it, either shrinking or enlarging it.

Adding Non-Redundant Constraints

- Introducing new constraints that are not implied by existing ones can reduce the feasible region, potentially eliminating some feasible solutions.

Changing the Coefficients or Constants in Constraints

- Altering constraints in a way that tightens or loosens the bounds can change the feasible region, either by restricting or expanding it.

Removing Constraints that Are Not Redundant

- Eliminating constraints that are necessary to define the boundary of the feasible region can enlarge it, possibly making it unbounded or including infeasible points.

Modifying the Objective Function

- Changing the objective function does not affect the feasible region unless it leads to a different feasible solution set, which is rare since the feasible region is determined independently of the objective.

Summary: Which Actions Will Still Definitely Keep the Feasible Region?

Action	Guarantee of Preserving Feasible Region	Explanation
Adding redundant constraints	Yes	These do not restrict the feasible region further.
Scaling constraints by positive scalar	Yes	Equivalence preserves the feasible set.
Rewriting constraints equivalently	Yes	Same geometric meaning, same feasible set.
Removing redundant constraints	Yes	Eliminates unnecessary restrictions without changing the feasible region.

Practical Implications for LP Modeling

Understanding which actions preserve the feasible region helps in simplifying LP models, improving computational efficiency, and ensuring the integrity of solutions. For example:

- Model Simplification: Removing redundant constraints streamlines computations.
- Constraint Scaling: Facilitates numerical stability without altering the solution space.
- Constraint Rewriting: Provides clearer interpretations or easier implementation.

Conclusion

When dealing with LP problems where the feasible region is a polygon, certain modifications can be confidently made without affecting the solution space. Actions such as adding redundant constraints, scaling constraints by positive factors, rewriting constraints equivalently, and removing redundant constraints are safe choices that preserve the feasible region. Conversely, changes that tighten or loosen constraints without these properties can alter the feasible solutions, potentially leading to suboptimal or infeasible results.

A thorough understanding of these operations enables practitioners to manipulate LP models effectively, ensuring optimal solutions are found efficiently while maintaining the integrity of the feasible region.

Remember: Always analyze the implications of any modification on the feasible region before implementing it in your LP model to avoid unintended consequences.

Frequently Asked Questions

If an LP has a polygon feasible region, which actions will definitely keep the feasible region unchanged?

Actions such as adding redundant constraints that do not cut off any part of the feasible region will keep it unchanged.

Does increasing the right-hand side of a constraint in a polygon feasible LP region always preserve the feasible region?

Not necessarily; increasing the RHS may expand the feasible region or make it larger, but if it causes the feasible region to include points outside the original, it remains feasible, so it can keep the feasible region unchanged if it doesn't remove any existing feasible points.

Would removing a redundant constraint from an LP with a polygon feasible region always keep the feasible region unchanged?

Yes, removing a redundant constraint that does not define a boundary of the feasible region will keep the feasible region unchanged.

If a constraint in an LP is active at the optimal vertex, does removing or relaxing it definitely keep the feasible region unchanged?

No, removing or relaxing an active constraint at the optimal vertex can enlarge the feasible region, so it does not necessarily keep it unchanged.

Would adding a constraint that is already implied by existing constraints keep the feasible region unchanged?

Yes, adding a constraint implied by existing constraints (a redundant constraint) will keep the feasible region unchanged.

Is tightening a constraint in an LP with a polygon feasible region guaranteed to keep the feasible region?

No, tightening a constraint can reduce the feasible region, so it may shrink or eliminate parts of the original feasible region.

If an LP has a polygon feasible region, which of the following actions will definitely keep the feasible region?

Adding redundant constraints that do not exclude any feasible points will definitely keep the feasible region unchanged.

Additional Resources

If An LP Has A Polygon Feasible Region, Which Of The Actions Below Would Still DEFINITELY Keep The Feasible

Linear Programming (LP) serves as a cornerstone of optimization in various fields, from economics to engineering. At its core, LP involves maximizing or minimizing a linear objective function subject to a set of linear constraints. The solution space—comprising all points satisfying these constraints—is termed the feasible region. When the feasible region forms a polygon, it indicates a particular geometric structure that offers both intuitive insight and practical implications. A common question among students and practitioners alike concerns the robustness of this feasible region: specifically, which modifications or actions, if applied, would definitely preserve the integrity of the feasible region's polygonal shape? Answering this involves understanding the geometric and algebraic properties of linear constraints and how they interact under various transformations.

This article explores the question in depth, dissecting the nature of polygonal feasible regions in linear programming, examining different actions that could alter the constraints, and identifying which of these actions would unequivocally keep the feasible region polygonal. Such an understanding is essential for designing resilient optimization models and ensuring solutions remain valid under perturbations or modifications.

The Geometric Nature of the Feasible Region in LP

Before delving into actions that preserve the feasible region's polygonal shape, it's crucial to understand what makes a feasible region polygonal in LP.

Linear Constraints and Polyhedral Shapes

Linear programming problems are defined by a set of linear inequalities:

- $a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{in}x_n \leq b_i$, for $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Each inequality defines a half-space in the n-dimensional space. The intersection of these half-spaces forms the feasible region.

When the constraints are all linear, the feasible region is a convex polyhedron (or polytope if bounded). In two dimensions, this is visualized as a polygon; in three dimensions, a polyhedron; in higher dimensions, a convex polytope.

Key Point: The feasible region is always a convex polyhedron (or polytope) when constraints are linear inequalities, which inherently have polygonal (or polyhedral) shapes.

Polygonal Feasible Regions in Two Dimensions

In two-variable LPs, the feasible region is typically a convex polygon. This polygon is bounded if the constraints form a closed shape and unbounded if the feasible region extends infinitely in some direction. The vertices of this polygon are the basic feasible solutions—points where constraint boundaries intersect.

Implication: The polygonal shape arises directly from the linearity of constraints, and maintaining linearity is key to preserving the polygonal nature.

Actions That Affect the Feasible Region: Which Keep the Polygonal Shape?

Understanding how various modifications to the constraints influence the feasible region is central to determining which actions are "safe" in terms of preserving the polygonal shape.

1. Adding or Removing Linear Constraints

Adding Constraints

- Effect: Introducing a new linear inequality further restricts the feasible region, potentially reducing its size or making it empty.

- Polygonal Preservation: Since adding a linear inequality intersects the existing region with a half-space, the resulting feasible region remains the intersection of linear inequalities—thus, a convex polyhedron/polygon.
- Conclusion: Adding a linear constraint definitely preserves the polygonal shape, provided the feasible region remains non-empty.

Removing Constraints

- Effect: Removing a constraint relaxes the feasible region, possibly enlarging it.
- Polygonal Preservation: The intersection of fewer inequalities remains a convex polygon, so the shape remains polygonal.
- Conclusion: Removing a linear constraint definitely keeps the feasible region polygonal.

Summary: Both adding and removing linear constraints, as long as the feasible region remains non-empty, guarantee the preservation of the polygonal shape.

2. Changing the Coefficients of Constraints (Scaling or Slight Adjustments)

Scaling Constraints

- Effect: Multiplying a constraint by a positive scalar alters the boundary line but does not change the half-space it defines.
- Polygonal Preservation: The feasible region remains the same; thus, polygonality is preserved.
- Slight Coefficient Changes: Small perturbations in coefficients do not alter the fundamental linearity; the region remains a convex polygon unless the changes cause the feasible region to become empty or unbounded in a different manner.

Conclusion: Scaling or making small adjustments to the coefficients of existing linear constraints definitely preserves the polygonal shape.

3. Altering the Objective Function

While the objective function impacts the solution process, it does not modify the feasible region itself.

- Effect: No change to the feasible region, which remains a polygon.
- Conclusion: Changing the objective does not affect the polygonal nature of the feasible region; it remains polygonal.

4. Introducing Nonlinear Constraints or Nonlinear Changes

Adding Nonlinear Constraints

- Effect: Nonlinear constraints (e.g., quadratic inequalities, exponential, or trigonometric functions) typically produce curved boundary segments, transforming the feasible region into a non-polyhedral shape.
- Conclusion: Introducing nonlinear constraints would not guarantee the feasible region remains polygonal; it generally ceases to be a polygon.

Altering existing constraints to become nonlinear

- Effect: Same as above; the polygonal shape is lost.

Summary: Maintaining linearity of all constraints is essential; any nonlinear modifications definitely destroy the polygonal nature.

Summary of Actions That Preserve the Polygonal Feasible Region

Based on the above analysis, the actions that definitely keep the feasible region polygonal in an LP are:

- Adding linear constraints: Because the intersection of linear inequalities remains convex and polygonal.
- Removing linear constraints: As the intersection of fewer inequalities still yields a convex polygon.
- Scaling existing constraints by positive factors: Since the boundary lines stay the same, the feasible region remains unchanged.
- Making small linear adjustments to coefficients: Preserves linearity and polygonal shape, barring any drastic changes that could render the feasible region empty or unbounded in a different manner.

Actions that could jeopardize the polygonal shape include:

- Introducing nonlinear constraints or transformations that produce curved boundaries.
- Applying nonlinear modifications to existing constraints.

In essence, the key is the linearity of the constraints. Any action preserving linearity—adding, removing, or scaling linear inequalities—guarantees the feasible region remains a convex polygon in two-dimensional LPs (or a polyhedron in higher dimensions).

Practical Implications and Final Thoughts

Understanding which actions preserve the feasible region's polygonal shape is more than an academic exercise; it directly influences how optimization models are built and modified in real-world scenarios. For example, in supply chain management, manufacturing, or financial modeling, constraints often evolve due to new policies, resource availability, or strategic shifts. Knowing that linear adjustments—adding or removing linear constraints—do not alter the fundamental geometric nature of the feasible region provides confidence in how models respond to such changes.

Moreover, this insight emphasizes the importance of maintaining linearity when modeling problems that require a clear geometric interpretation or when using solution algorithms optimized for polyhedral feasible regions, such as the simplex method.

In conclusion, if an LP has a polygon feasible region, actions that definitely keep the feasible region polygonal include adding, removing, or scaling linear constraints—any operation that does not introduce nonlinearity. Conversely, introducing nonlinear constraints or non-linear transformations will typically alter or destroy the polygonal nature, leading to curved or more complex feasible regions. Recognizing these nuances ensures that optimization models remain both robust and interpretable, aligning geometric intuition with algebraic manipulations.

[If An Lp Has A Polygon Feasible Region Which Of The Actions Below Would Still Definitely Keep The Feasible](#)

If An Lp Has A Polygon Feasible Region Which Of The Actions Below Would Still Definitely Keep The Feasible

Related Articles

- [Jamal Is A Student In The Health Care Professions Class. He Had A Very Exciting Day At Clinical And Decided](#)

- Deepak Randomly Chooses Two Marbles From The Bag, One At A Time, And Replaces The Marble After Each Choice.
- The Kingdoms Of Kongo And Axum Both Had Leadership That Refused To Participate In The Slave Trade Converted

Back to Home