

IF MY TEST STATISTIC IS $Z = 2.5$ AND MY ALPHA IS 0.05, AND I AM DOING A TWO-SIDED TEST, WHAT IS MY DECISION?

IF MY TEST STATISTIC IS $Z = 2.5$ AND MY ALPHA IS 0.05, AND I AM DOING A TWO-SIDED TEST, WHAT IS MY DECISION?

THIS QUESTION TOUCHES ON FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS IN HYPOTHESIS TESTING, A STATISTICAL METHOD USED TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO REJECT A NULL HYPOTHESIS. UNDERSTANDING HOW TO INTERPRET A TEST STATISTIC, THE SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL (ALPHA), AND THE TYPE OF TEST (ONE-SIDED OR TWO-SIDED) IS CRUCIAL FOR MAKING ACCURATE DECISIONS IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, QUALITY CONTROL, OR DATA ANALYSIS. IN THIS ARTICLE, WE WILL EXPLORE WHAT THE GIVEN VALUES MEAN, HOW TO INTERPRET A Z-TEST IN THIS CONTEXT, AND HOW TO ARRIVE AT A DECISION BASED ON THE PROVIDED INFORMATION.

UNDERSTANDING THE KEY COMPONENTS: TEST STATISTIC, ALPHA, AND TWO-SIDED TESTS

WHAT IS A TEST STATISTIC?

A TEST STATISTIC IS A STANDARDIZED VALUE CALCULATED FROM SAMPLE DATA DURING HYPOTHESIS TESTING. IT MEASURES HOW FAR THE SAMPLE DATA DEVIATES FROM THE NULL HYPOTHESIS, SCALED BY THE STANDARD ERROR. IN THE CASE OF A Z-TEST, THE TEST STATISTIC FOLLOWS A STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION (MEAN 0, STANDARD DEVIATION 1) UNDER THE NULL HYPOTHESIS.

FOR EXAMPLE, A Z VALUE OF 2.5 INDICATES THAT THE SAMPLE STATISTIC IS 2.5 STANDARD ERRORS AWAY FROM THE HYPOTHESIZED POPULATION PARAMETER, ASSUMING THE NULL HYPOTHESIS IS TRUE.

SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL (ALPHA)

THE SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL, DENOTED BY ALPHA (α), REPRESENTS THE THRESHOLD FOR DECIDING WHETHER TO REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS. IT IS THE PROBABILITY OF MAKING A TYPE I ERROR—INCORRECTLY REJECTING A TRUE NULL HYPOTHESIS.

IN THIS SCENARIO, $\alpha = 0.05$, MEANING THERE IS A 5% RISK OF CONCLUDING THAT THERE IS AN EFFECT OR DIFFERENCE WHEN THERE ACTUALLY ISN'T ONE.

TWO-SIDED TEST EXPLAINED

A TWO-SIDED (OR TWO-TAILED) TEST EVALUATES DEVIATIONS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS—WHETHER THE SAMPLE STATISTIC IS SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER OR LOWER THAN THE NULL HYPOTHESIS VALUE. THIS CONTRASTS WITH A ONE-SIDED TEST, WHICH CONSIDERS ONLY ONE DIRECTION.

FOR TWO-SIDED TESTS, THE CRITICAL REGIONS ARE SPLIT EQUALLY BETWEEN THE TWO TAILS OF THE DISTRIBUTION, EACH TAIL REPRESENTING $\alpha/2$ (HERE, 0.025).

INTERPRETING THE Z-SCORE IN THE CONTEXT OF A TWO-SIDED TEST

CRITICAL VALUES FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST AT $\alpha = 0.05$

TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE TEST STATISTIC IS SIGNIFICANT, YOU NEED THE CRITICAL VALUES THAT CORRESPOND TO $\alpha/2 = 0.025$ IN EACH TAIL OF THE STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION.

FROM STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION TABLES OR USING STATISTICAL SOFTWARE, THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES ARE APPROXIMATELY:

- UPPER CRITICAL VALUE: $+1.96$
- LOWER CRITICAL VALUE: -1.96

THESE VALUES DIVIDE THE DISTRIBUTION INTO THE ACCEPTANCE REGION (WHERE WE DO NOT REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS) AND THE REJECTION REGIONS (WHERE WE DO).

COMPARING THE TEST STATISTIC TO CRITICAL VALUES

GIVEN THE TEST STATISTIC $Z = 2.5$, WE COMPARE IT TO THE CRITICAL VALUES:

- Is $2.5 > 1.96$? YES.
- Is $-2.5 < -1.96$? NO, BUT SINCE 2.5 EXCEEDS THE UPPER CRITICAL VALUE, IT FALLS INTO THE REJECTION REGION ON THE UPPER TAIL.

BECAUSE THE ABSOLUTE VALUE OF Z ($|2.5| = 2.5$) EXCEEDS THE CRITICAL VALUE OF 1.96 , THE TEST STATISTIC LIES IN THE REJECTION REGION FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST AT THE 0.05 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

DECISION-MAKING BASED ON THE TEST STATISTIC AND CRITICAL VALUES

REJECTION OF THE NULL HYPOTHESIS

SINCE $Z = 2.5$ EXCEEDS THE CRITICAL VALUE OF 1.96 , THE EVIDENCE SUGGESTS THAT THE OBSERVED DATA IS UNLIKELY UNDER THE NULL HYPOTHESIS. THEREFORE, YOU WOULD REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE 0.05 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

THIS DECISION IMPLIES THAT THERE IS STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS, WHETHER IT INDICATES AN INCREASE OR DECREASE DEPENDING ON YOUR SPECIFIC TEST.

CALCULATING THE P-VALUE

ALTERNATIVELY, YOU CAN INTERPRET THE RESULTS BY CALCULATING THE P-VALUE, WHICH REPRESENTS THE PROBABILITY OF OBSERVING A TEST STATISTIC AS EXTREME OR MORE EXTREME THAN THE ONE CALCULATED, ASSUMING THE NULL HYPOTHESIS IS TRUE.

FOR $Z = 2.5$:

- THE P-VALUE FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST IS:
 $P = 2 P(Z > 2.5)$

FROM STANDARD NORMAL TABLES:

- $P(Z > 2.5) \approx 0.0062$

THUS,

- $P \approx 2 \times 0.0062 = 0.0124$

SINCE $0.0124 < 0.05$, THE P-VALUE IS LESS THAN ALPHA, LEADING TO REJECTION OF THE NULL HYPOTHESIS.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

REJECTING THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE 0.05 LEVEL INDICATES THAT THE OBSERVED DATA PROVIDES SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CONCLUDE THAT THE EFFECT OR DIFFERENCE EXISTS, CONSIDERING THE CONTEXT OF YOUR STUDY. HOWEVER, IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT THIS DOES NOT PROVE THE NULL HYPOTHESIS IS FALSE—ONLY THAT THE DATA IS INCONSISTENT WITH IT AT THE SPECIFIED SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

SUMMARY: WHAT IS YOUR DECISION?

PUTTING ALL THESE PIECES TOGETHER:

- YOUR TEST STATISTIC $Z = 2.5$
- SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL $\alpha = 0.05$
- CONDUCTING A TWO-SIDED TEST

THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES ARE ± 1.96 . SINCE 2.5 EXCEEDS 1.96, THE TEST STATISTIC FALLS INTO THE REJECTION REGION. THE P-VALUE (~ 0.0124) IS LESS THAN 0.05, REINFORCING THE DECISION TO REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS.

THEREFORE, THE CONCLUSION IS:

YOU SHOULD REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE 0.05 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

THIS DECISION SUGGESTS THAT THE OBSERVED DATA PROVIDES STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE AGAINST THE NULL HYPOTHESIS, SUPPORTING THE ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS IN YOUR ANALYSIS.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS AND BEST PRACTICES

CONTEXT AND PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

WHILE THE STATISTICAL DECISION INDICATES SIGNIFICANCE, ALWAYS CONSIDER THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF YOUR FINDINGS. A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULT MAY NOT ALWAYS TRANSLATE INTO A MEANINGFUL OR IMPACTFUL REAL-

WORLD CHANGE.

ASSUMPTIONS AND CONDITIONS

ENSURE THAT THE ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE Z-TEST ARE SATISFIED, SUCH AS:

- THE DATA ARE APPROXIMATELY NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED (ESPECIALLY FOR SMALL SAMPLE SIZES).
- THE SAMPLE SIZE IS LARGE ENOUGH FOR THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM TO APPLY (TYPICALLY $n > 30$).

MULTIPLE TESTING AND ADJUSTMENTS

IF CONDUCTING MULTIPLE HYPOTHESES TESTS, ADJUST YOUR SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL ACCORDINGLY (E.G., BONFERRONI CORRECTION) TO CONTROL FOR TYPE I ERROR INFLATION.

REPORTING YOUR RESULTS

WHEN PRESENTING YOUR FINDINGS, INCLUDE:

- THE TEST STATISTIC ($Z = 2.5$)
- THE P-VALUE (~ 0.0124)
- THE SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL ($\alpha = 0.05$)
- YOUR DECISION (REJECT OR FAIL TO REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS)
- CONTEXTUAL INTERPRETATION OF WHAT THIS MEANS FOR YOUR RESEARCH OR ANALYSIS

CONCLUSION

UNDERSTANDING HOW TO INTERPRET A Z-TEST RESULT IS FUNDAMENTAL FOR MAKING INFORMED DECISIONS IN STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. IN THIS SCENARIO, WITH A Z-VALUE OF 2.5 AND AN ALPHA OF 0.05 IN A TWO-SIDED TEST, THE EVIDENCE SUGGESTS REJECTING THE NULL HYPOTHESIS. REMEMBER TO ALWAYS CONSIDER THE BROADER CONTEXT OF YOUR DATA, THE ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING YOUR TESTS, AND THE PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF YOUR FINDINGS TO DRAW MEANINGFUL CONCLUSIONS FROM YOUR STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT DOES A Z VALUE OF 2.5 INDICATE IN A TWO-SIDED HYPOTHESIS TEST?

A Z VALUE OF 2.5 SUGGESTS THAT THE TEST STATISTIC IS 2.5 STANDARD DEVIATIONS AWAY FROM THE NULL HYPOTHESIS, INDICATING POTENTIAL EVIDENCE AGAINST THE NULL IF IT EXCEEDS CRITICAL VALUES.

GIVEN AN ALPHA OF 0.05 IN A TWO-SIDED TEST, WHAT ARE THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES?

THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES ARE APPROXIMATELY ± 1.96 , DIVIDING THE REJECTION REGIONS FROM THE NON-REJECTION REGION AT A 5% SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

BASED ON THE TEST STATISTIC $Z=2.5$ AND $\alpha=0.05$, SHOULD THE NULL HYPOTHESIS BE REJECTED?

YES, BECAUSE $Z=2.5$ EXCEEDS THE CRITICAL VALUE OF 1.96 IN ABSOLUTE VALUE, SO YOU REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE 0.05 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

HOW DOES THE TWO-SIDED NATURE OF THE TEST AFFECT THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS HERE?

SINCE IT IS A TWO-SIDED TEST, REJECTION OCCURS IF THE TEST STATISTIC IS LESS THAN -1.96 OR GREATER THAN 1.96 ; $Z=2.5$ FALLS INTO THE REJECTION REGION ON THE POSITIVE SIDE.

WHAT IS THE P-VALUE ASSOCIATED WITH $Z=2.5$ IN THIS CONTEXT?

THE P-VALUE IS APPROXIMATELY 0.0124 (TWO-TAILED), WHICH IS LESS THAN 0.05 , LEADING TO REJECTION OF THE NULL HYPOTHESIS.

CAN WE CONCLUDE THAT THE RESULT IS STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT?

YES, BECAUSE THE P-VALUE IS LESS THAN THE ALPHA LEVEL OF 0.05 , INDICATING A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULT.

WHAT IS THE FINAL DECISION BASED ON THE GIVEN Z VALUE AND α ?

REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS, AS $Z=2.5$ IS BEYOND THE CRITICAL Z -VALUE OF ± 1.96 FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST AT $\alpha=0.05$.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

STATISTICAL DECISION-MAKING

IN THE REALM OF STATISTICAL HYPOTHESIS TESTING, MAKING THE CORRECT DECISION HINGES ON UNDERSTANDING THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN YOUR TEST STATISTIC, SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL (α), AND THE TYPE OF TEST YOU'RE CONDUCTING. WHEN FACED WITH A SCENARIO SUCH AS A TEST STATISTIC $Z = 2.5$, AN ALPHA OF 0.05 , AND A TWO-SIDED TEST, IT'S CRUCIAL TO INTERPRET THESE COMPONENTS ACCURATELY TO REACH A VALID CONCLUSION. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE WILL WALK YOU THROUGH EACH ELEMENT, ELUCIDATE THE DECISION PROCESS, AND HELP YOU CONFIDENTLY INTERPRET RESULTS IN SIMILAR SITUATIONS.

UNDERSTANDING THE COMPONENTS OF HYPOTHESIS TESTING

BEFORE DIVING INTO THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO UNDERSTAND THE KEY ELEMENTS INVOLVED:

TEST STATISTIC ($Z = 2.5$)

THE TEST STATISTIC QUANTIFIES HOW FAR YOUR SAMPLE DATA DEVIATES FROM THE NULL HYPOTHESIS, SCALED BY THE STANDARD ERROR. IN THIS CASE, A Z -VALUE OF 2.5 INDICATES THAT THE SAMPLE RESULT IS 2.5 STANDARD ERRORS AWAY FROM THE NULL HYPOTHESIS EXPECTATION, SUGGESTING SOME EVIDENCE AGAINST THE NULL.

SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL (ALPHA = 0.05)

ALPHA REPRESENTS THE THRESHOLD FOR DETERMINING STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE. AN ALPHA OF 0.05 CORRESPONDS TO A 5% RISK OF COMMITTING A TYPE I ERROR (REJECTING A TRUE NULL HYPOTHESIS). IT ESSENTIALLY SETS THE BOUNDARY FOR WHAT CONSTITUTES SUFFICIENTLY STRONG EVIDENCE TO REJECT THE NULL.

TYPE OF TEST: TWO-SIDED (TWO-TAILED)

A TWO-SIDED TEST EXAMINES FOR DEVIATIONS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS—WHETHER THE SAMPLE MEAN IS SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER OR LOWER THAN THE HYPOTHESIZED VALUE. THIS IS COMMON WHEN YOU ARE INTERESTED IN ANY DIFFERENCE, REGARDLESS OF DIRECTION, RATHER THAN A SPECIFIC ONE.

STEP-BY-STEP DECISION MAKING PROCESS

NOW, LET'S EXPLORE HOW TO INTERPRET THE GIVEN DATA AND REACH A DECISION.

1. ESTABLISH THE NULL AND ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESES

- NULL HYPOTHESIS (H_0): THERE IS NO EFFECT OR DIFFERENCE (E.G., THE POPULATION MEAN EQUALS A SPECIFIC VALUE).
- ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS (H_1): THERE IS AN EFFECT OR DIFFERENCE (E.G., THE POPULATION MEAN IS NOT EQUAL TO THAT VALUE).

2. DETERMINE THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST WITH ALPHA = 0.05

SINCE THE TEST IS TWO-SIDED, THE TOTAL ALPHA OF 0.05 IS SPLIT EQUALLY BETWEEN THE TWO TAILS:

- ALPHA PER TAIL = 0.025

USING STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION TABLES OR STATISTICAL SOFTWARE, THE CRITICAL Z-VALUES ARE:

- LOWER CRITICAL VALUE: -1.96
- UPPER CRITICAL VALUE: +1.96

THIS MEANS:

- IF THE TEST STATISTIC Z FALLS BELOW -1.96, THE RESULT IS STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT IN THE LOWER TAIL.
- IF Z EXCEEDS +1.96, THE RESULT IS STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT IN THE UPPER TAIL.

3. COMPARE YOUR TEST STATISTIC TO CRITICAL VALUES

YOUR CALCULATED $Z = 2.5$.

- IS $2.5 > 1.96$? YES, IT EXCEEDS THE UPPER CRITICAL VALUE.
- IS $2.5 < -1.96$? NO, IT IS NOT IN THE LOWER TAIL.

THEREFORE, THE TEST STATISTIC FALLS INTO THE REJECTION REGION ON THE POSITIVE SIDE.

4. MAKE THE DECISION

SINCE $Z = 2.5$ EXCEEDS THE CRITICAL VALUE OF 1.96, YOU REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE 0.05 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL IN

A TWO-SIDED TEST.

ALTERNATIVELY, YOU CAN CONSIDER P-VALUES FOR A MORE PRECISE DECISION.

CALCULATING THE P-VALUE: QUANTIFYING THE EVIDENCE

THE P-VALUE INDICATES THE PROBABILITY OF OBSERVING A TEST STATISTIC AS EXTREME AS THE ONE OBTAINED, ASSUMING THE NULL HYPOTHESIS IS TRUE.

HOW TO FIND THE P-VALUE FOR $Z = 2.5$

USING STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION CALCULATORS OR TABLES:

- THE CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY FOR $Z = 2.5$ IS APPROXIMATELY 0.9938.
- SINCE THE TEST IS TWO-SIDED, THE P-VALUE IS:

$$P = 2 \times (1 - \text{CDF}(Z)) = 2 \times (1 - 0.9938) = 2 \times 0.0062 = 0.0124$$

INTERPRETATION:

- THE P-VALUE OF APPROXIMATELY 0.0124 IS LESS THAN THE ALPHA OF 0.05.
- THEREFORE, THE EVIDENCE AGAINST THE NULL HYPOTHESIS IS STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT, SUPPORTING THE REJECTION OF H_0 .

IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

BASED ON BOTH THE CRITICAL VALUE APPROACH AND THE P-VALUE METHOD, THE CONCLUSION IS CONSISTENT:

- REJECT H_0 : THE DATA PROVIDE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CONCLUDE THAT THERE IS A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT EFFECT OR DIFFERENCE, IN EITHER DIRECTION, SINCE THE TEST IS TWO-SIDED.

THIS DECISION CARRIES PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE, MEANING THE OBSERVED DATA ARE UNLIKELY TO HAVE OCCURRED IF THE NULL HYPOTHESIS WERE TRUE, AT THE 5% SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS AND CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

WHILE THE ABOVE DECISION PROCESS IS STRAIGHTFORWARD, SEVERAL ADDITIONAL FACTORS AND BEST PRACTICES ARE WORTH NOTING:

1. EFFECT SIZE AND PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE DOES NOT NECESSARILY EQUATE TO PRACTICAL IMPORTANCE. A $Z = 2.5$ INDICATES A NOTABLE DEVIATION FROM THE NULL, BUT WHETHER THIS HAS REAL-WORLD IMPLICATIONS DEPENDS ON CONTEXT, EFFECT SIZE, AND DOMAIN CONSIDERATIONS.

2. ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE Z-TEST

THE Z-TEST RELIES ON CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS:

- THE SAMPLE SIZE IS SUFFICIENTLY LARGE FOR THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM TO HOLD.
- THE DATA ARE INDEPENDENT AND IDENTICALLY DISTRIBUTED.
- THE POPULATION STANDARD DEVIATION IS KNOWN (OR THE SAMPLE SIZE IS LARGE ENOUGH TO APPROXIMATE IT).

VIOLATIONS OF THESE ASSUMPTIONS CAN AFFECT THE VALIDITY OF THE TEST.

3. MULTIPLE TESTING AND ADJUSTMENTS

IF MULTIPLE HYPOTHESES ARE TESTED SIMULTANEOUSLY, ADJUSTMENTS TO ALPHA (LIKE BONFERRONI CORRECTION) MAY BE NECESSARY TO CONTROL FOR TYPE I ERROR INFLATION.

4. REPORTING RESULTS EFFECTIVELY

WHEN COMMUNICATING FINDINGS:

- CLEARLY STATE THE TEST STATISTIC, P-VALUE, AND CONCLUSION.
- PROVIDE CONTEXT ABOUT THE HYPOTHESES AND THE SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL.
- DISCUSS PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS.

SUMMARY: THE FINAL VERDICT

- WITH A Z-VALUE OF 2.5, WHICH EXCEEDS THE CRITICAL VALUE OF 1.96 FOR A TWO-SIDED TEST AT $\alpha = 0.05$, YOU REJECT THE NULL HYPOTHESIS.
- THE CORRESPONDING P-VALUE (~ 0.0124) REINFORCES THIS DECISION, BEING LESS THAN 0.05.
- THIS INDICATES THAT YOUR SAMPLE PROVIDES STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE AGAINST H_0 , IN BOTH DIRECTIONS.

IN ESSENCE, THE DATA SUGGEST A MEANINGFUL DEVIATION FROM THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AT THE SPECIFIED SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL, GUIDING YOUR CONCLUSION CONFIDENTLY AND JUSTIFIABLY.

CONCLUSION

UNDERSTANDING THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS IN HYPOTHESIS TESTING IS VITAL FOR ACCURATELY INTERPRETING STATISTICAL RESULTS. THE SCENARIO OF A $Z = 2.5$ WITH $\alpha = 0.05$ IN A TWO-SIDED TEST EXEMPLIFIES HOW CRITICAL VALUES AND P-VALUES WORK TOGETHER TO INFORM YOUR CONCLUSION. REMEMBER, STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE IS A TOOL, NOT AN ENDPOINT—ALWAYS CONSIDER THE BROADER CONTEXT, EFFECT SIZES, AND ASSUMPTIONS TO MAKE WELL-ROUNDED JUDGMENTS. ARMED WITH THIS KNOWLEDGE, YOU CAN CONFIDENTLY NAVIGATE SIMILAR TESTING SCENARIOS AND MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS GROUNDED IN STATISTICAL RIGOR.

If My Test Statistic Is Z 2 5 And My Alpha Is 0 05 And I Am Doing A Two Sided Test What Is My Decision

If My Test Statistic Is Z 2 5 And My Alpha Is 0 05 And I Am Doing A Two Sided Test What Is My

Decision

Related Articles

- [Convert The Following \(6 Points\) A. \$100.0011_{\(2\)}\$ To Octal, Decimal, And Hexadecimal B. 146 To Binary.](#)
- [If We Apply Apriori\(Association Rule Mining\) Algorithm To Given Dataset \(Minsupport = %30 And Minconfidence](#)
- [It Costs Sunland Company \\$12 Of Variable And \\$5 Of Fixed Costs To Produce One Bathroom Scale Which Normally](#)

[Back to Home](#)