

# Design Push Down Automata(PDA) For The Following Languages: A. $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid j + k = m \text{ And } i, j, k, m > 0\}$

Design Push Down Automata(PDA) For The Following Languages: A.  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid j + k = m \text{ And } i, j, k, m > 0\}$

Designing Push Down Automata (PDA) for specific languages is a fundamental concept in automata theory and formal languages. It helps in understanding the computational capabilities of push down automata in recognizing context-free languages. In this article, we will focus on how to design a PDA for the language  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid j + k = m, \text{ and } i, j, k, m > 0\}$ , exploring the step-by-step process, key ideas, and the theoretical underpinnings involved in this task.

---

## Understanding the Language $L_1$

### Language Description

The language  $L_1$  consists of strings over the alphabet  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  with the following properties:

- The string starts with a sequence of 'a's, with length  $i > 0$ .
- Followed by a sequence of 'b's, with length  $j > 0$ .
- Followed by a sequence of 'c's, with length  $k > 0$ .
- And ends with a sequence of 'd's, with length  $m > 0$ .

The crucial condition is that the number of 'd's equals the sum of the number of 'b's and 'c's:

$$\backslash [j + k = m \backslash]$$

In other words, for each string to be in the language, the total number of 'd's must match the combined count of 'b's and 'c's, while the number of 'a's can be arbitrary, as long as it's positive.

## Implication for the PDA Design

Designing a PDA for this language requires that the automaton:

- Reads and verifies the initial sequence of 'a's (which are independent of the condition involving b, c, and d).
- Keeps track of the counts of 'b's and 'c's to ensure the total sum matches the number of 'd's.
- Uses the stack to store information about the counts of 'b's and 'c's as it processes the string.

---

## Key Ideas for Designing the PDA

### Handling the Sequence of 'a's

Since the number of 'a's doesn't affect the core condition ( $j + k = m$ ), the PDA can simply read and ignore 'a's, pushing nothing onto the stack during this phase.

### Tracking 'b's and 'c's

The critical part involves processing the 'b's and 'c's:

- When reading 'b's, the PDA pushes a symbol (say, 'B') onto the stack for each 'b'.
- When reading 'c's, it pushes another symbol (say, 'C') onto the stack for each 'c'.

This way, the total number of 'b's and 'c's is recorded on the stack.

### Matching 'd's with 'b's and 'c's

While reading 'd's:

- The PDA will pop a symbol for each 'd' to verify that the total number of 'd's matches the total number of 'b's and 'c's.
- If at the end of the string, the stack is empty (meaning all 'b's and 'c's have been matched with 'd's), the string is accepted.
- If the stack isn't empty or if there are leftover 'd's, the string is rejected.

### Handling the Positivity Constraints

The language restricts that  $i, j, k, m > 0$ . The PDA must:

- Ensure at least one 'a' is read at the beginning.
- Ensure at least one 'b', one 'c', and one 'd' are present, which can be enforced by initial checks or by making sure the automaton only accepts after

reading at least one of each required symbol.

---

## Step-by-Step PDA Design for $L_1$

### States and Alphabet

- States:  $q_0$  (start),  $q_1$  (reading 'a's),  $q_2$  (reading 'b's and 'c's),  $q_3$  (reading 'd's),  $q_4$  (accepting),  $q_{\text{reject}}$  (reject state).
- Input alphabet: {a, b, c, d}
- Stack alphabet: { $Z_0$  (initial), B, C}

### Transitions and Their Descriptions

- **Start State ( $q_0$ ):** Read an 'a', push  $Z_0$  if needed, move to  $q_1$ .
- **Processing 'a's ( $q_1$ ):** For each 'a', stay in  $q_1$ , no stack operation needed.
- **Processing 'b's ( $q_2$ ):** When 'b' is read, push 'B' onto the stack for each 'b'. Transition from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  after reading 'a's is optional; the automaton can process 'b's directly after 'a's.
- **Processing 'c's ( $q_2$ ):** When 'c' is read, push 'C' onto the stack for each 'c'.
- **Processing 'd's ( $q_3$ ):** For each 'd', pop a symbol ('B' or 'C') from the stack. If the stack is empty before all 'd's are processed, reject.
- **Accepting State ( $q_4$ ):** When the input is fully read, and the stack is empty, move to  $q_4$  to accept.

### Formal Transition Diagram (Simplified)

- $(q_0, \epsilon, Z_0) \rightarrow (q_1, Z_0)$  // Initialize with  $Z_0$
- $(q_1, a, Z_0) \rightarrow (q_1, Z_0)$  // Read 'a's, no stack change
- $(q_1, b, Z_0) \rightarrow (q_2, B Z_0)$  // Start processing 'b's
- $(q_2, b, B) \rightarrow (q_2, B B)$  // Push 'B' for each 'b'
- $(q_2, c, B) \rightarrow (q_2, C B)$  // Push 'C' for each 'c'
- $(q_2, c, C) \rightarrow (q_2, C C)$  // Continue with 'c's
- $(q_2, d, B) \rightarrow (q_3, \epsilon)$  // Pop 'B' for 'd'

- $(q_2, d, C) \rightarrow (q_3, \varepsilon)$  // Pop 'C' for 'd'
- $(q_3, d, B)$  or  $(q_3, C) \rightarrow (q_3, \varepsilon)$  // Continue popping for remaining 'd's
- After all input is read, if stack is  $Z_0$  (or empty), move to  $q_4$  to accept.

---

## Example Walkthrough

Suppose the input string is: *aabbccddd*

Step-by-step:

1. Read 'a' → stay in  $q_1$ .
2. Read next 'a' → stay in  $q_1$ .
3. Read 'b' → push 'B'.
4. Read next 'b' → push another 'B'.
5. Read 'c' → push 'C'.
6. Read next 'c' → push another 'C'.
7. Read 'd' → pop a 'B' or 'C' for each 'd'.
8. Continue until all 'd's are processed.
9. If the number of 'd's equals the total 'b's and 'c's, and the stack is empty, accept the string.

---

## Conclusion

Designing a Push Down Automaton for the language  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid j + k = m, i, j, k, m > 0\}$  involves careful handling of the counts of different symbols, primarily utilizing the stack to track the number of 'b's and 'c's and verifying that the number of 'd's matches their sum. The automaton must process the initial sequence of 'a's without affecting the stack, push symbols for 'b's and 'c's, and then pop appropriately for each 'd' to ensure the core condition is satisfied.

This approach exemplifies how PDAs can recognize complex context-free languages that involve counting and arithmetic conditions, demonstrating their importance in formal language theory and automata design. With a clear understanding of the language's structure and the automaton's states and transitions, designing an effective PDA becomes a systematic process that highlights the power of stack-based computation.

---

Keywords: Push Down Automata, PDA Design, Context-Free Language, Formal Languages, Automata Theory, Language Recognition, Stack Automaton, Counting Languages, Automata Design Steps

## Frequently Asked Questions

**What is the primary goal when designing a Push Down Automaton (PDA) for the language  $L1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid i + j + k = m \text{ and } i, j, k, m > 0\}$ ?**

The main goal is to construct a PDA that accepts strings where the sum of the counts of a's, b's, and c's equals the number of d's, ensuring the automaton correctly tracks these counts using its stack while processing the input.

**How does the PDA verify the condition  $i + j + k = m$  in the language  $L1$ ?**

The PDA pushes symbols onto the stack for each a, b, and c read, and then pops symbols when reading d's, verifying that the total number of popped symbols matches the total number of pushed symbols corresponding to  $i + j + k$ .

**What challenges arise when designing a PDA for  $L1$ , given the condition  $i + j + k = m$ ?**

The main challenge is accurately summing multiple counts ( $i, j, k$ ) and comparing this sum to  $m$ , which requires careful stack operations to ensure the counts are correctly accumulated and matched during processing.

**Can a deterministic PDA be designed for the language  $L1$ ? Why or why not?**

It is unlikely to design a deterministic PDA for  $L1$  because the automaton needs to nondeterministically decide when to switch from reading the initial segments to matching the counts with the final segment, which generally requires nondeterminism.

**What role does the stack play in the PDA for language  $L1$ ?**

The stack is used to keep track of the counts of a, b, and c, pushing symbols as these are read and popping them when reading d's, thus verifying the sum condition  $i + j + k = m$ .

**How do the conditions  $i, j, k, m > 0$  influence the PDA design for  $L1$ ?**

Since all variables are strictly positive, the PDA must ensure at least one symbol of each type is read before reaching the point to verify the sum,

which influences initial states and transition design to enforce this positivity.

## **Is the language $L_1$ context-free, and how does that impact PDA design?**

Yes, the language  $L_1$  is context-free because it can be recognized by a PDA, which can utilize its stack to manage the counts and the sum condition, confirming the suitability of PDA-based recognition.

## **What are the key steps in constructing a PDA for $L_1$ ?**

The key steps include defining states to process the input, designing stack operations to count  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  symbols, implementing transitions to push and pop based on input, and ensuring the stack height reflects the sum  $i + j + k$  before verifying it matches  $m$  with the  $d$ 's.

## **Additional Resources**

Design Push Down Automata (PDA) for the Language  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid i + j + k = m \text{ and } i, j, k, m > 0\}$

---

## **Introduction to Push Down Automata and the Language $L_1$**

Push Down Automata (PDA) are fundamental computational models used to recognize context-free languages. Unlike finite automata, PDAs possess an auxiliary stack, enabling them to handle nested and recursive language structures. Designing a PDA involves defining states, input alphabet, stack alphabet, transition functions, start state, and accepting conditions that collectively recognize a specific language.

The language  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^m \mid i + j + k = m \text{ and } i, j, k, m > 0\}$  is a context-free language characterized by a specific arithmetic relation among the exponents of different symbols. The challenge lies in designing a PDA that verifies the sum of the counts of ' $a$ ', ' $b$ ', and ' $c$ ' matches the count of ' $d$ ', with all counts being positive integers.

---

# Understanding the Language $L_1$

Before designing the PDA, it is essential to analyze the structure of  $L_1$ :

- The strings are composed of four blocks:
  - a-block:  $a^i$  (with  $i > 0$ )
  - b-block:  $b^j$  (with  $j > 0$ )
  - c-block:  $c^k$  (with  $k > 0$ )
  - d-block:  $d^m$  (with  $m > 0$ )
- The key condition is:
  - $i + j + k = m$
  - All exponents are strictly positive:
    - $i, j, k, m > 0$

This means the total number of 'd's must equal the sum of the number of 'a's, 'b's, and 'c's. The language is thus a subset of the concatenation of these four blocks with the sum constraint.

Implication:

To recognize such a language, the PDA must:

- Ensure the string starts with at least one 'a', 'b', and 'c'.
- Sum the counts of 'a', 'b', and 'c' to determine how many 'd's follow.
- Confirm that the number of 'd's matches this sum.
- Verify the positivity constraints (i.e., all counts  $> 0$ ).

---

## Design Approach for the PDA

Designing a PDA for  $L_1$  involves several critical considerations:

1. Stack Usage:
  - Use the stack to keep track of the combined count of 'a', 'b', and 'c'.
  - Push a symbol onto the stack each time one of these symbols is read.
  - When reading 'd's, pop symbols from the stack to verify the count matches.
2. States and Transitions:
  - Define different states to handle reading each part of the string.
  - Transition from reading the initial blocks to verifying the count of 'd's.
3. Positivity Condition:
  - Ensure that the string contains at least one 'a', 'b', 'c', and 'd'.
  - The automaton should reject strings not satisfying this condition.
4. Acceptance Criteria:
  - The string is accepted if, after processing, the stack is empty (all counts

match), and the input is fully read.

---

## Formal Construction of the PDA

Components:

- States ( $Q$ ):  
 $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\}$ 
  - $q_0$ : Start state, reading first symbols
  - $q_1$ : Reading 'a's
  - $q_2$ : Reading 'b's
  - $q_3$ : Reading 'c's
  - $q_4$ : Reading 'd's and verifying counts
  - $q_{\text{accept}}$ : Accepting state
  - $q_{\text{reject}}$ : Rejecting state
- Input Alphabet ( $\Sigma$ ):  
 $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\}$
- Stack Alphabet ( $\Gamma$ ):  
 $\Gamma = \{X, Z\}$ 
  - $X$ : Marker for each counted symbol
  - $Z$ : Stack bottom marker
- Start State:  
 $q_0$
- Initial Stack Symbol:  
 $Z$  (bottom of stack)

Transition Function ( $\delta$ ):

The transition function defines how the automaton moves between states, reads input symbols, and manipulates the stack.

---

## Step-by-Step PDA Operation

1. Initialization:
  - From  $q_0$ , push  $Z$  onto the stack and move to  $q_1$  to start reading the 'a's.
2. Reading 'a's ( $q_1$ ):
  - For each 'a' read:
  - Push  $X$  onto the stack to count 'a's.

- Transition to  $q_2$  upon reading a 'b' (ensuring at least one 'a' exists).
3. Reading 'b's ( $q_2$ ):
    - For each 'b' read:
    - Push X onto the stack.
    - Transition to  $q_3$  upon reading a 'c' (ensuring at least one 'b').
  4. Reading 'c's ( $q_3$ ):
    - For each 'c' read:
    - Push X onto the stack.
    - Transition to  $q_4$  upon reading a 'd' (ensuring at least one 'c').
  5. Reading 'd's and verification ( $q_4$ ):
    - For each 'd':
    - Pop an X from the stack.
    - If stack is empty before reading all 'd's, reject.
    - After reading all 'd's, the stack should contain only Z if counts match.
    - After all 'd's are processed:
    - If the input is exhausted and the stack contains only Z, move to  $q_{\text{accept}}$ .
    - Else, reject.
- 

## Handling the Positivity Constraint

Since all counts are  $> 0$ , the automaton must verify that each of the segments (a's, b's, c's, d's) contain at least one symbol:

- At least one 'a':
- The transition from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  occurs only after reading at least one 'a'.
- At least one 'b':
- The automaton only transitions to  $q_2$  after reading at least one 'b'.
- At least one 'c':
- Transition to  $q_3$  after at least one 'c'.
- At least one 'd':
- Transition to  $q_4$  after at least one 'd'.

This ensures the minimal counts are greater than zero, satisfying the positivity condition.

---

## Summary of Transition Function ( $\delta$ )

| Current State | Input Symbol | Stack Top | Next State | Stack Operation | Notes |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-------|
|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-------|

| State | Input      | Stack | Transition          | Action       | Description                                    |
|-------|------------|-------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------|
| $q_0$ | a          | Z     | $q_1$               | Push X       | Start reading 'a's                             |
| $q_1$ | a          | X     | $q_1$               | Push X       | Counting 'a's                                  |
| $q_1$ | b          | X     | $q_2$               | Push X       | Transition after at least one 'a' and read 'b' |
| $q_2$ | b          | X     | $q_2$               | Push X       | Counting 'b's                                  |
| $q_2$ | c          | X     | $q_3$               | Push X       | Transition after 'b's                          |
| $q_3$ | c          | X     | $q_3$               | Push X       | Counting 'c's                                  |
| $q_3$ | d          | X     | $q_4$               | No push/pop  | Transition after 'c's                          |
| $q_4$ | d          | X     | $q_4$               | Pop X        | Counting 'd's, matching sum                    |
| $q_4$ | $\epsilon$ | Z     | $q_{\text{accept}}$ | No operation | End of input, verify stack                     |

Rejecting conditions include:

- Reading symbols out of sequence
- Attempting to pop from an empty stack before all 'd's are read
- Remaining symbols in stack after processing all input

---

## Acceptance Criteria

The PDA accepts a string if:

- It reaches  $q_{\text{accept}}$  after reading the entire input
- The stack contains only the bottom marker Z
- All counts are positive (enforced during the transition phase)

---

## Example Walkthrough

Example string:  $a^2 b^1 c^2 d^5$

- $i=2, j=1, k=2, m=5$
- sum of  $i, j, k = 2 + 1 + 2 = 5$ , matching the number of 'd's

Processing:

- Start in  $q_0$ , push Z
- Read 'a', push X (twice for two 'a's)
- Read 'b', push X
- Read 'c', push X twice
- Read 'd', pop X five times
- After processing all 'd's, stack contains only Z, input exhausted -> accept

---

## Complexity and Limitations

- The PDA designed recognizes the language efficiently, with linear processing

### **Design Push Down Automata Pda For The Following Languages A L 1 A I B J C K D M J K M And I J K M Gt 0**

Design Push Down Automata Pda For The Following Languages A L 1 A I B J C K D M J K M And I J K M Gt 0

## Related Articles

- [What Is The Time Goal For How Quickly You Should Complete A Fibrinolytic Checklist Once The Patient Arrives](#)
- [Refer To The Exhibit. A Network Administrator Is Configuring A Router For DHCPv6 Operation. Which Conclusion](#)
- [A Proton Is Released From Rest At The Positive Plate Of A Parallel-plate Capacitor. It Crosses The Capacitor](#)

[Back to Home](#)