4. Find A Mobius Transformation F Such That F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1, F(x) = 2, Or Explain Why Such A Transformation

4. Find A Mobius Transformation F Such That F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1, F(x) = 2, Or Explain Why Such A Transformation

Mobius transformations, also known as linear fractional transformations, are fundamental in complex analysis, geometric function theory, and various applications in mathematics and engineering. They are functions of the form $F(z) = (az + b) / (cz + d)$, where a, b, c, and d are complex numbers with $ad - bc \neq 0$. One interesting problem involves determining whether a Mobius transformation exists that satisfies specific mapping conditions. In particular, we may ask: can we find a Mobius transformation F such that $F(0) = 0$, $F(1) = 1$, and $F(x) = 2$ for some particular value of x? Or, more generally, why such a transformation may or may not exist?

This article explores this problem step-by-step, analyzing the conditions needed to find such a transformation and explaining the theoretical constraints that determine the possibility of existence.

Understanding Mobius Transformations

Definition and Properties

A Mobius transformation F(z) is a complex function of the form:

- $F(z) = (az + b) / (cz + d)$
- where a, b, c, d ⍰ ⍰ and $ad - bc \neq 0$

Some key properties include:

- Conformal: Preserves angles locally.
- Bijective: One-to-one and onto on the extended complex plane (including ⍰).
- Preserves Circles: Circles and lines are mapped to circles and lines.

Basic Mapping Conditions

Given three distinct points in the extended complex plane, there is a unique Mobius transformation mapping these points to any other three distinct points. This property is central to our problem because it provides a way to construct transformations explicitly when the conditions are compatible.

Formulating the Problem

Suppose we want to find a Mobius transformation F(z) such that:

- $F(0) = 0$

- $F(1) = 1$
- $F(x) = 2$

where x is a complex number, possibly real, and not equal to 0 or 1 (to ensure the points are distinct).

The question is: Does such a transformation exist? If yes, how can it be constructed? If not, why is it impossible?

Constructing the Transformation: Step-by-Step Approach

Step 1: General Form of the Transformation

Start by assuming the general form:

$F(z) = (az + b) / (cz + d)$, with $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Our goal is to determine constants a, b, c, and d such that the mapping conditions hold.

Step 2: Applying the Known Conditions

Applying the conditions:

1. $F(0) = 0 \Rightarrow (a0 + b) / (c0 + d) = 0 \Rightarrow b/d = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$

2. $F(1) = 1 \Rightarrow (a1 + 0) / (c1 + d) = 1 \Rightarrow a / (c + d) = 1$

3. $F(x) = 2 \Rightarrow (ax + 0) / (cx + d) = 2 \Rightarrow ax / (cx + d) = 2$

From the first condition, we have $b = 0$, simplifying the transformation to:

$F(z) = (az) / (cz + d)$

Now, from the second condition:

$a / (c + d) = 1 \Rightarrow a = c + d$

From the third condition:

$ax / (cx + d) = 2$

Substitute $a = c + d$:

$(c + d) x / (cx + d) = 2$

Step 3: Solving for the Constants

Express the above as:

$(c + d) x = 2(cx + d)$

Expand both sides:

$cx + dx = 2cx + 2d$

Bring all to one side:

$cx + dx - 2cx - 2d = 0$

Group similar terms:

$(cx - 2cx) + (dx - 2d) = 0$

Simplify:

$-cx + dx - 2d = 0$

Factor:

$cx - 2d + dx = 0$

Rewrite:

$(cx + dx) - 2d = 0$

Factor x:

$x(c + d) - 2d = 0$

Recall from earlier that $a = c + d$, so:

$xa - 2d = 0$

Express d in terms of a and c:

$d = a - c$

Substitute into the equation:

$xa - 2(a - c) = 0$

Expand:

$xa - 2a + 2c = 0$

Group terms:

$(xa - 2a) + 2c = 0$

Factor:

$a(x - 2) + 2c = 0$

Express c in terms of a:

$2c = -a(x - 2)$ ⍰ $c = -(a/2)(x - 2)$

Recall that $a = c + d$, and $d = a - c$, so:

$d = a - c = a - [-(a/2)(x - 2)] = a + (a/2)(x - 2)$

Factor out a:

$d = a [1 + (x - 2)/2] = a [(2 + x - 2) / 2] = a (x / 2)$

Now, the key is to verify whether the constants satisfy the initial conditions and the invertibility condition $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Existence Conditions and Constraints

Step 4: Checking the Determinant Condition

Recall that the determinant $ad - bc \neq 0$ is necessary for the transformation to be well-defined and invertible.

Given the constants:

- $b = 0$
- a remains as a parameter
- $c = - (a/2)(x - 2)$
- $d = a (x / 2)$

Calculate $ad - bc$:

$ad - bc = a\, d - 0\, c = a\, d$

Substitute d:

$a\, d = a [a (x / 2)] = a^2 (x / 2)$

For the transformation to be valid, this must not be zero:

$a^2 (x / 2) \neq 0$

Assuming $a \neq 0$, the key is the factor $x / 2$:

- If $x \neq 0$, then $x / 2 \neq 0$, and the determinant is non-zero provided $a \neq 0$.

- If $x = 0$, then the determinant is zero, and the transformation cannot be invertible; thus, no such transformation exists in that case.

Step 5: Final Conditions and Interpretation

- For $x \neq 0$, we can choose any non-zero a, and the corresponding transformation exists.

- For $x = 0$, no such transformation exists because the determinant becomes zero, violating the invertibility condition.

Therefore, the existence of the Mobius transformation F such that $F(0) = 0$, $F(1) = 1$, and $F(x) = 2$ depends critically on the value of x.

- When $x \neq 0$, such a transformation can be explicitly constructed.

- When $x = 0$, it is impossible to find such a transformation because it would violate the fundamental invertibility criterion.

Explicit Formula for the Transformation When $x \neq 0$

Choosing a convenient non-zero value for a (for example, $a = 1$), the constants are:

- $c = -(1/2)(x - 2)$
- $d = (x / 2)$

The transformation becomes:

$$F(z) = (a z) / (c z + d) = z / [-(1/2)(x - 2) z + (x/2)]$$

Simplify the denominator:

$$-(1/2)(x - 2) z + (x/2) = (1/2)[-(x - 2) z + x]$$

Thus,

$$F(z) = z / [(1/2) (-(x - 2) z + x)] = (2 z) / [-(x - 2) z + x]$$

This explicit form confirms the construction and demonstrates how the parameters relate to the initial conditions and the chosen point x.

Summary and Key Takeaways

- Existence depends on the value of x: For the specified conditions, a Mobius transformation exists if and only if $x \neq 0$.

- Construction is explicit: When $x \neq 0$, the transformation can be explicitly constructed using the parameters derived above.

- Special case $x = 0$: No such transformation exists because it would violate the invertibility criterion (determinant

Frequently Asked Questions

How do I determine a M� bius transformation F that satisfies $F(0) = 0$, $F(1) = 1$, and $F(x) = 2$?

To find such a M� bius transformation, set up the general form $F(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d)$ and use the given points to create equations: $F(0) = b/d = 0$, $F(1) = (a + b)/(c + d) = 1$, and $F(x) = 2$ for some x. Solving these simultaneously helps determine the parameters a, b, c, d.

Is it always possible to find a M� bius transformation passing through three specified points with given images?

Yes, any three distinct points in the complex plane can be mapped to any other three distinct points via a M� bius transformation, due to their conformal and bijective properties. For the specific points 0, 1, and x, and images 0, 1, and 2, existence depends on whether the images are distinct and the points are appropriately chosen.

Why might there be no M� bius transformation F such that F(0)=0, F(1)=1, and F(x)=2?

Because M� bius transformations are determined by three point-image pairs, if the conditions lead to conflicting constraints—such as attempting to map three points to images that can't be achieved simultaneously—such a transformation may not exist. In particular, if the points or images violate the conditions for a conformal automorphism, no such F exists.

Can you explain the process of verifying whether a M� bius transformation exists for specific point-image mappings?

Yes, the process involves setting up equations based on the general form of the transformation and solving for parameters to satisfy the given mappings. If the equations are consistent and yield a solution, then such a M� bius transformation exists; otherwise, it does not.

What are the key properties of M� bius transformations that help determine if one can map specific points to specific images?

Key properties include their conformality, bijectivity, and the fact that they are uniquely determined by three point-image pairs. These properties allow us to construct or rule out transformations by checking whether the given mappings satisfy the necessary conditions for a M� bius transformation.

Additional Resources

Find A M� bius Transformation F Such That F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1, F(x) = 2, Or Explain Why Such A Transformation Cannot Exist

---

When exploring the fascinating world of M� bius transformations, one naturally encounters questions about their ability to map specific points in the complex plane to desired images. Among these, a common problem is: "Find a M� bius transformation F such that F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1, and F(x) = 2". Alternatively, it is equally insightful to understand why such a transformation may or may not exist. This article offers a comprehensive guide to approaching this problem, exploring the underlying principles, step-by-step solution strategies, and the key reasons that determine the existence or impossibility of such a transformation.

Understanding M� bius Transformations

Before delving into the specific problem, it's essential to revisit what M� bius transformations are and their fundamental properties.

What Is a M� bius Transformation?

A M� bius transformation (also called a linear fractional transformation) is a function of the form:

$$F(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Key properties:

- They are conformal (angle-preserving) wherever defined.
- They map circles and lines in the complex plane to circles or lines.

- They are bijections from the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself.

Basic Constraints and Degrees of Freedom

Given three points in the complex plane, a Möbius transformation is uniquely determined unless the points are mapped to the same image or the conditions are inconsistent. The key idea is that Möbius transformations are determined by their action on three points.

The Core Problem: Mapping Specific Points to Specific Images

The problem we consider involves finding a Möbius transformation F such that:

- $F(0) = 0$
- $F(1) = 1$
- $F(x) = 2$

where x is a complex number not necessarily equal to 0 or 1.

This is a classic interpolation problem: can we find a Möbius transformation satisfying these three point-mapping conditions?

Step-by-Step Approach to Finding the Transformation

1. Recognize the Fixed Points

Given the conditions:

- $F(0) = 0$
- $F(1) = 1$

these imply that 0 and 1 are fixed points of F.

2. Deduce the Form of F

Möbius transformations that fix 0 and 1 have a particular form. Let's explore what this form might be.

Suppose $F(z)$ fixes 0 and 1:

- $F(0) = 0$

- $F(1) = 1$

Since these are fixed points, the transformation must satisfy:

$$ F(0) = \frac{a \cdot 0 + b}{c \cdot 0 + d} = \frac{b}{d} = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0 $$

Similarly,

$$ F(1) = \frac{a \cdot 1 + 0}{c \cdot 1 + d} = \frac{a}{c + d} = 1 \Rightarrow a = c + d $$

Now, the general form simplifies to:

$$ F(z) = \frac{a z}{c z + d} $$

with $b=0$, and $a = c + d$.

3. Simplify Using the Fixed Points

Since $a = c + d$, we can write:

$$ F(z) = \frac{(c + d) z}{c z + d} $$

Our goal is to determine c and d such that $F(x) = 2$.

4. Apply the Third Condition: $F(x) = 2$

Plugging $z = x$:

$$ F(x) = \frac{(c + d) x}{c x + d} = 2 $$

Cross-multiplied:

$$ (c + d) x = 2(c x + d) $$

Expand:

$$ c x + d x = 2 c x + 2 d $$

Bring all to one side:

$$ c x + d x - 2 c x - 2 d = 0 $$

Simplify:

$$ (c x - 2 c x) + (d x - 2 d) = 0 $$

$$ - c x + d x - 2 d = 0 $$

Group:

$$ (- c x + d x) = 2 d $$

Factor:

$$ x (- c + d) = 2 d $$

Now, express d in terms of c:

$$ x (d - c) = 2 d $$

Rearranged:

$$ x d - x c = 2 d $$

Bring all d terms to one side:

$$ x d - 2 d = x c $$

$$ d (x - 2) = x c $$

Therefore:

$$ d = \frac{x c}{x - 2} $$

5. Express a, c, and d

Recall $a = c + d$:

$$ a = c + \frac{x c}{x - 2} = c \left(1 + \frac{x}{x - 2}\right) $$

Simplify the expression inside parentheses:

$$ 1 + \frac{x}{x - 2} = \frac{x - 2 + x}{x - 2} = \frac{2x - 2}{x - 2} $$

So,

$$ a = c \cdot \frac{2x - 2}{x - 2} $$

Now, the transformation:

$$ F(z) = \frac{a z}{c z + d} $$

becomes:

$$ F(z) = \frac{\left(c \cdot \frac{2x - 2}{x - 2}\right) z}{c z + \frac{x c}{x - 2}} $$

Factor out c:

$$ F(z) = \frac{\frac{2x - 2}{x - 2} c z}{c z + \frac{x c}{x - 2}} $$

Divide numerator and denominator by c:

$$ F(z) = \frac{\frac{2x - 2}{x - 2} z}{z + \frac{x}{x - 2}} $$

Final Expression and Conditions for Existence

The transformation simplifies to:

$$ F(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{2x - 2}{x - 2}\right) z}{z + \frac{x}{x - 2}} $$

Key observations:

- The transformation is well-defined provided the denominator is not zero, i.e., $z + \frac{x}{x - 2} \neq 0$.

- The Möbius transformation is uniquely determined by these conditions, assuming $x \neq 2$ (to avoid division by zero).

When Does Such a Transformation Exist?

In the derivation above, we assumed the fixed points at 0 and 1, and then enforced $F(x) = 2$. The critical point is whether the initial conditions and the value at x are compatible.

Compatibility Conditions:

- For the transformation to exist, the three points $\{0, 1, x\}$ must be mapped to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ via some Möbius transformation.

- Since Möbius transformations are determined by their action on three points, the key question reduces to whether the cross-ratio is preserved.

Cross-Ratio Consideration:

The cross-ratio of four points (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) is:

$$\operatorname{CR}(z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4) = \frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_1 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)}$$

Möbius transformations preserve cross-ratios. Therefore, the following relation must hold:

$$\operatorname{CR}(0, 1; x, \infty) = \operatorname{CR}(F(0), F(1); F(x), \infty)$$

Given that:

- $\operatorname{CR}(0, 1; x, \infty) = \frac{(0 - x)}{(1 - x)} = - \frac{x}{1 - x}$

- $F(0) = 0$, $F(1) = 1$, $F(x) = 2$

- The cross-ratio becomes:

\[\operatorname{CR}(0, 1; 2, \infty) = \frac{(0 - 2)}{(1 - 2)} = \frac{-2

[4 Find A Mobius Transformation F Such That F 0 0 F 1 1 F X 2 Or Explain Why Such A Transformation]

4 Find A Mobius Transformation F Such That F 0 0 F 1 1 F X 2 Or Explain Why Such A Transformation

Related Articles

- [A Standard Painkiller Is Known To Bring Relief In 3. 5 Minutes On Average (). A New Painkiller Is Hypothesized]
- [When Preparing A Dilute Solution From A More Concentrated One, Be Sure To Carry Out The Necessary Calculations]
- [Read The Sentence. Each Of The Uncles Had Lost Invitation. Which Pronoun Agrees With The Underlined Indefinite]

[Back to Home]