

(17.17) + a test of $H_0: \mu = 0$ against $H_a: \mu \neq 0$ has test statistic $z = 1.876$. Is this test significant at the 5% level ($\alpha = 0.05$)?

(17.17) + a test of $H_0: \mu = 0$ against $H_a: \mu \neq 0$ has test statistic $z = 1.876$. Is this test significant at the 5% level ($\alpha = 0.05$)?

Understanding the Hypothesis Test: H_0 vs. H_a

Introduction to Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing is a fundamental statistical method used to determine whether there is enough evidence in a sample data to infer that a certain condition holds for the entire population. It involves formulating two competing hypotheses:

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** The default assumption, often representing no effect or no difference (e.g., the population parameter equals a specified value).
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H_a):** The statement that contradicts H_0 , representing the presence of an effect or difference.

In our specific scenario, the hypotheses are:

- $H_0: \mu = 0$ (the population mean equals zero)
- $H_a: \mu \neq 0$ (the population mean does not equal zero)

This is a two-tailed test because we are testing for any deviation from zero, either positive or negative.

The Test Statistic: Understanding Z-Score

What is the Z-Score?

The Z-score, or standard score, measures how many standard deviations an element is from the population mean under the null hypothesis. It is calculated as:

$$z = \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

where:

- $\hat{\mu}$ is the sample mean
- μ_0 is the hypothesized population mean (here, 0)
- σ is the population standard deviation
- n is the sample size

In our case, the test statistic is given as $z = 1.876$.

Interpreting the Z-Score

A z-value of 1.876 indicates that the sample mean is approximately 1.876 standard deviations away from the hypothesized mean of zero.

Significance Level and Critical Values

What is the Significance Level (α)?

The significance level, denoted as α , is the threshold probability for rejecting the null hypothesis. Commonly used levels include:

- 0.01 (1%) — very strict
- 0.05 (5%) — standard in many scientific studies
- 0.10 (10%) — more lenient

In our scenario, $\alpha = 0.05$, meaning there is a 5% risk of rejecting H_0 when it is actually true (Type I error).

Critical Values for a Two-Tailed Z-Test at $\alpha=0.05$

For a two-tailed test at the 5% significance level, the critical Z-values are approximately ± 1.96 . That is:

- If the calculated z is less than -1.96 or greater than 1.96, reject H_0 .
- If the z falls between -1.96 and 1.96, fail to reject H_0 .

Decision Making: Is the Test Significant?

Comparing the Test Statistic to Critical Values

Given:

- $z = 1.876$
- Critical values: ± 1.96

Since $1.876 < 1.96$, the test statistic does not reach the critical value threshold for rejection.

Calculating the P-Value

The p-value indicates the probability of obtaining a test statistic as extreme as the one observed, assuming H_0 is true.

- For $z = 1.876$, the p-value for a two-tailed test can be found using standard normal distribution tables or statistical software.
- The area to the right of $z = 1.876$ is approximately 0.0300 (3.00%).
- Since it's a two-tailed test, the total p-value is approximately $2 \times 0.0300 = 0.0600$ (6.00%).

Conclusion Based on P-Value and Significance Level

- P-value ≈ 0.0600
- $\alpha = 0.05$

Because the p-value (0.0600) exceeds the significance level (0.05), we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Implications of the Test Result

Understanding the Outcome

Failing to reject H_0 does not necessarily mean H_0 is true; rather, it indicates that there is insufficient evidence to support the alternative hypothesis at the 5% significance level.

Practical Significance vs. Statistical Significance

Even if the test statistic had been significant, it is essential to consider whether the observed effect size is meaningful in a real-world context. Statistical significance does not always equate to practical importance.

Summary of Key Points

- The test statistic $z = 1.876$ is close but does not exceed the critical value of ± 1.96 for a two-tailed test at $\alpha = 0.05$.
- The corresponding p-value (~ 0.0600) is slightly above the 0.05 threshold.
- Therefore, at the 5% significance level, there is insufficient evidence to reject H_0 .
- The conclusion is that the data does not provide strong enough evidence to claim a significant deviation from zero.

Additional Considerations

Sample Size and Power

The power of a hypothesis test—the probability of correctly rejecting a false null hypothesis—depends on the sample size, effect size, and significance level. Smaller samples may lead to less reliable results, increasing the risk of Type II errors (failing to reject H_0 when it is false).

Assumptions Underlying the Z-Test

For the results to be valid, certain assumptions must hold:

- The sample data are randomly selected.
- The population from which the sample is drawn is normally distributed or the sample size is large enough (typically $n > 30$).
- The population standard deviation σ is known. If unknown, a t-test should be used instead.

When to Use Alternative Tests

If the assumptions are violated, or if the sample size is small and σ is unknown, consider using:

- Student's t-test
- Non-parametric tests

Conclusion

In summary, based on the provided test statistic $z = 1.876$ and the standard critical values at a 5% significance level, the result is not statistically significant. The p-value (~ 0.0600) exceeds the 0.05 threshold, leading to the conclusion that there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis that $\mu = 0$. Researchers should interpret this result cautiously, considering both statistical and practical significance, and ensure that the assumptions underlying the test are satisfied before drawing definitive conclusions.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the null hypothesis (H_0) in this test?

The null hypothesis (H_0) states that the population parameter equals zero, i.e., $H_0: \beta = 0$.

What does the alternative hypothesis (H_a) specify in this test?

The alternative hypothesis (H_a) specifies that the population parameter is not equal to zero, i.e., $H_a: \beta \neq 0$.

What is the test statistic given, and what does it represent?

The test statistic given is $z = 1.876$, which measures how many standard deviations the estimate is from the null hypothesis value.

How do you determine if the test is statistically significant at the 5% level?

Compare the absolute value of the test statistic to the critical z-value for a 5% significance level, which is approximately 1.96 for a two-tailed test.

Based on the test statistic $z = 1.876$, is the result significant at the 5% level?

No, because $1.876 < 1.96$, so the test does not reach the threshold for significance at the 5% level.

What is the p-value associated with $z = 1.876$ in a two-tailed test?

The p-value is approximately 0.060, which is greater than 0.05, indicating the result is not statistically significant at the 5% level.

Why is the test result considered not significant at the 5% level?

Because the p-value exceeds 0.05 and the absolute value of z is less than the critical value, so we fail to reject H_0 .

Can this test result lead to accepting the null hypothesis?

No, we do not accept H_0 ; we only fail to reject it, meaning there is not enough evidence to support H_a at the 5% significance level.

What would be the conclusion if the test statistic had been $z = 2.5$?

Since $2.5 > 1.96$, the result would be significant at the 5% level, leading to rejection of H_0 in favor of H_a .

Additional Resources

(17.17)+a+test+of+h0:++=+0+against+ha:+++0+has+test+statistic+z+=+1.876.+is+this+test+significant+at+the+5%+level+(+=+0.05)?

Introduction

The question at hand involves interpreting the results of a hypothesis test based on a computed test statistic. Specifically, we are asked whether a z-value of 1.876 indicates a statistically significant result at the 5% significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$). This situation is common in inferential statistics, where researchers assess whether observed data provide enough evidence to reject a null hypothesis (H_0) in favor of an alternative hypothesis (H_a). Understanding how to interpret the z-value and the associated p-value is essential for making informed decisions about the data.

Understanding the Hypotheses

Before diving into the test statistic, it's important to clarify the hypotheses involved:

- Null Hypothesis (H_0): Typically, H_0 states that there is no effect or difference. In this case, $H_0: \beta = 0$, which suggests that the parameter of interest (possibly a regression coefficient or mean difference) is zero.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H_a): Usually represents the presence of an effect or difference. Here, $H_a: \beta \neq 0$, indicating a two-tailed test where deviations in either direction are considered significant.

The structure of these hypotheses indicates a two-tailed test, where we check whether the observed data significantly deviate from the null value in either positive or negative direction.

The Test Statistic: Z-Value of 1.876

The test statistic in question is a z-value of 1.876. The z-test is used when the sample size is large enough to invoke the normal approximation or when the population standard deviation is known. The z-value measures how many standard deviations the observed estimate is from the hypothesized null value.

- Interpreting the z-value:
- A positive z-value (here, 1.876) indicates that the observed data are above the null hypothesis value.
- The magnitude of the z-value indicates the strength of evidence against H_0 : larger absolute values suggest stronger evidence.

Significance Level and Critical Values

The significance level (α) determines the threshold for deciding whether a result is statistically significant. In this case, $\alpha = 0.05$, which means there's a 5% risk of rejecting H_0 when it is actually true (Type I error).

- Critical Z-Values for Two-Tailed Tests:
- For $\alpha = 0.05$, the critical z-values are approximately ± 1.96 .
- This is derived from the standard normal distribution, with 2.5% of the probability in each tail.

Any test statistic with an absolute value greater than 1.96 would lead us to reject H_0 at the 5% significance level.

Calculating the P-Value

The p-value is the probability of observing a test statistic as extreme as, or more extreme than, the one calculated, assuming H_0 is true. It provides a measure of evidence against H_0 .

- For $z = 1.876$:
- The p-value in a two-tailed test is calculated as:

$$p\text{-value} = 2 \times P(Z > |1.876|)$$

- Using Standard Normal Tables or Software:
- $P(Z > 1.876) \approx 0.0300$ (or 3.00%)
- Therefore, $p\text{-value} \approx 2 \times 0.0300 = 0.0600$ (or 6.00%)

Is the Result Significant at the 5% Level?

Given the p-value of approximately 0.06, we compare it to our significance threshold:

- Since $0.0600 > 0.05$, the p-value exceeds the significance level.
- Conclusion: The data do not provide sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level.

In other words, although the test statistic indicates some deviation from H_0 , this deviation is not statistically significant enough to conclude a real effect with 95% confidence.

Visualizing the Results

It helps to visualize the findings:

- Distribution of the test statistic:
- The critical values at $\alpha=0.05$ are ± 1.96 .
- Our z-value of 1.876 is just shy of the cutoff.
- The p-value (~ 0.06) reflects this proximity, indicating marginal significance.
- Implication:
- The observed result is close to significance, but it doesn't meet the strict cutoff.

Broader Context and Practical Significance

While statistical significance is important, it isn't the sole consideration. Researchers should also evaluate:

- Effect Size: Is the magnitude of the observed effect practically meaningful?
- Sample Size: Larger samples can detect smaller effects; the power of the test influences conclusions.
- Confidence Intervals: These can provide a range of plausible values for the parameter and help interpret the importance of the findings.

In our case, with a p-value just above 0.05, there might be a trend worth exploring further, perhaps with larger samples or additional data.

Summary of Key Takeaways

- The test statistic of $z = 1.876$ corresponds to a p-value of approximately 0.06 in a two-tailed test.
- Since $0.06 > 0.05$, the result is not statistically significant at the 5% significance level.
- The findings suggest that, based on this test, there is insufficient evidence to reject $H_0: \beta = 0$.
- Marginal results like this warrant cautious interpretation and possibly further investigation.

Final Thoughts

Interpreting hypothesis tests involves understanding the interplay between the test statistic, p-value,

significance level, and the context of the research question. In this scenario, the computed z-value indicates a result that is close to significance but falls short of the conventional threshold. It reminds researchers of the importance of considering both statistical and practical significance, and the value of replicating studies or increasing sample sizes to clarify findings.

As data analysts and researchers, the goal is not only to determine whether results are statistically significant but also to interpret what they mean in real-world terms. While this test does not provide definitive evidence to reject H_0 at the 5% level, it highlights an area worthy of further study and underscores the nuanced decision-making process inherent in statistical inference.

17 17 A Test Of $H_0: 0$ Against $H_a: 0$ Has Test Statistic $Z = 1.876$ Is This Test Significant At The 5 Level 0.05

17 17 A Test Of $H_0: 0$ Against $H_a: 0$ Has Test Statistic $Z = 1.876$ Is This Test Significant At The 5 Level 0.05

Related Articles

- [Help Meeeeeeeeeeeeee Pleaseee
Rnnnnn!!](#)
- [Using The Rule Of 72, Approximate The Following Amounts. A. If the Value Of Land In An Area Is Increasing"](#)
- [What Organizational Structure Has Everything Approved By The Management?centralizeddivisionaldecentralizedfunctional](#)

[Back to Home](#)